FOR TEACHERS ONLY

The University of the State of New York

REGENTS HIGH SCHOOL EXAMINATION

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION IN ITALIAN

Tuesday, June 22, 2004 — 9:15 a.m. to 12:15 p.m., only

SCORING KEY

Any clarifications or changes to this scoring key or this examination will be posted on the New York State Education Department web site at <u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/osa/</u>. Check this web page before starting your scoring process and several times throughout the Regents examination period.

Mechanics of Rating

- Use only *red* ink or *red* pencil in rating Regents examination papers. Do *not* attempt to *correct* the student's work by making insertions or changes of any kind.
- Use check marks [✓] to indicate incorrect or omitted answers in Parts 2 and 3. Do *not* place a check mark beside a correct answer.
- Record the credit for each part in the appropriate credit box on the student's answer booklet.
- Record the total examination score (the sum of the credits the student received for each part) in the appropriate space in the box in the upper right corner of the answer booklet.
- Check carefully for mechanical errors (e.g., addition). Using a calculator might be helpful.
- Write your initials clearly in the appropriate space on the answer booklet.

Part 1

Record the credit for Part 1: Speaking, as previously reported to the building principal.

Part 2

Allow a total of 30 credits, two credits for each of the following:

a (1) 1	(4) 2	$(7) \ 3$	b (10) 1	(13) 1
(2) 4	(5) 1	(8) 4	(11) 4	$(14) \ 3$
(3) 3	(6) 2	(9) 2	(12) 4	(15) 2

Part 3

Allow a total of 30 credits, two credits for each of the following:

a (16) 1	b (21) 3	c (26) 3
(17) 4	(22) 1	(27) 4
(18) 2	(23) 4	(28) 1
(19) 3	(24) 4	(29) 3
(20) 1	(25) 2	(30) 2

Comprehensive Italian—continued

Part 4

In this part of the examination, students are asked to demonstrate the ability to write in the target language using the four functions of language (socializing, getting others to adopt a course of action, getting and providing information, and expressing personal feelings) as the vehicle for communication.

Students are instructed to choose two of the three tasks provided and write a response of at least 100 words in the target language to achieve a specified communication purpose. A word is defined as a letter or collection of letters, surrounded by space, that in the target language is comprehensible and contributes to the development of the task. This definition applies even when words are grammatically incorrect. When counting words, please note that numbers, unless written as words, and names of people are not to be counted. Place names and brand names from the target culture count as one word (all other places and brand names are disregarded), and contractions are counted as one word. In addition, salutations and closings are counted, as well as commonly used abbreviations in the target language.

Additional information concerning word count guidelines, how to apply the writing rubric, and use of the scoring rubric for students with disabilities who have a spelling exemption listed on an IEP or 504 plan is provided in the document, *Comprehensive Regents Examination in Modern Foreign Languages Test Changes and Sampler Draft*, which is available on the Department website at http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/ciai/testing/lotegre/lotesam.pdf.

The responses to the Part 4 writing tasks must be written in the student's own words; no credit should be given for a response that is copied or substantially the same as material from other parts of the examination. Part 4 is worth a total of 16 credits. Each response is worth a maximum of eight credits and must be rated according to the writing rubric for Part 4, which is provided on the next page. This writing rubric measures five dimensions: purpose/task, organization, vocabulary, structure/conventions, and word count. The dimensions of purpose/task, organization, vocabulary, and structure/conventions are measured on a zero to four scale; the dimension of word count is measured on a zero to two scale. A writing checklist is also provided for use in rating student responses. The writing checklist requires reference to the full writing rubric for the definitions of each dimension at each level and is not intended as a substitute for the writing rubric.

After rating the student's response for each dimension, the scores for the five dimensions must be added, resulting in a total raw score for the response. The conversion chart must be applied to that total raw score so that the proper credit is given to the student for the question. For example, if a student received a performance level score of 3 on the dimension of purpose/task, a score of 3 on the dimension of organization, a score of 2 on the dimension of vocabulary, a score of 3 on the dimension of structure/conventions, and a score of 2 on the dimension of word count, the student's total raw score would equal 13 (the sum of the five performance level scores). According to the conversion chart, a raw score of 13 represents a converted score of 6 credits for the question.

Part 4 Conversion Chart									
Total Raw Score	17–18	15–16	13–14	11–12	8–10	6–7	4–5	2–3	0–1
Total Credits	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

The conversion chart for Part 4 is shown below.

After each of the two questions has been scored, the two converted scores must be added together to determine the total Part 4 score. This total Part 4 score should be entered in the last box on the last page of the student answer booklet and also under the "Credit Earned" section for Part 4, on the upper right corner of the first page of the student answer booklet.

Comprehensive Italian—continued

The writing rubric for Part 4 is shown below.

Part 4 Writing Rubric

Note that a zero can be given in any of the dimensions when the student's performance falls below the criteria described for the performance level of 1.

	Performance Level						
Dimension	4	3	2	1			
Purpose/Task	Accomplishes the task; includes many details that are clearly connected to the development of the task, but there may be minor irrelevancies.	Accomplishes the task; includes some details that are generally connected to the development of the task, but there may be some irrelevancies.	Accomplishes the task; includes few details, some of which may be only loosely connected to the task. There are many irrelevancies.	Attempts to accomplish the task; makes some reference to it but provides few or no supporting details.			
Organization The extent to which the response exhibits direction, shape, and coherence	Exhibits a logical and coherent sequence throughout; provides a clear sense of a beginning, middle, and end. Makes smooth transitions between ideas.	Exhibits a logical sequence; provides a beginning, middle, and end.	Attempts to provide a logical sequence and/or the beginning or ending is abrupt or unclear. Exhibits little order; provides a series of separate sentences and/or disconnected ideas.				
Vocabulary	Includes a wide variety of vocabulary that expands the topic, but there may be minor inaccuracies.	Includes a variety of vocabulary related to the topic.	Includes basic vocabu- lary; some vocabulary may be inaccurate or unrelated to the topic.	Includes limited vocabulary and/or most vocabulary is inaccurate or unrelated to the topic.			
Structure/ Conventions • Subject-verb agreement • Tense • Noun-adjective agreement • Correct word order • Spelling/ diacritical marks	Demonstrates a high degree of control of Checkpoint B structure/conventions: • subject-verb agreement • present, past, future ideas expressed as appropriate • noun-adjective agreement • correct word order • spelling/diacritical marks Errors do not hinder overall comprehensibility of the passage.	Demonstrates some control of Checkpoint B structure/ conventions: • subject-verb agreement • present, past, future ideas expressed as appropriate • noun-adjective agreement • correct word order • spelling/diacritical marks Errors do not hinder overall comprehensibility of the passage.	Demonstrates some control of Checkpoint B structure/conventions. Errors do hinder overall comprehensibility and/or there are numerous Checkpoint A errors. OR Demonstrates a high degree of control, but uses only Checkpoint A structure/conventions.	Demonstrates little control of Checkpoint A or B structure/conventions: • subject/verb agreement • present, past, future ideas expressed as appropriate • noun-adjective agreement • correct word order • spelling/diacritical marks Errors impede overall comprehensibility of the passage.			
Word Count			Uses 100 or more comprehensible words in the target language that contribute to the development of the task.	Uses 50–99 or more comprehensible words in the target language that contribute to the development of the task.			

Comprehensive Italian—continued

The writing checklist for Part 4 is shown below.

Part 4 Writing Checklist

Please refer to the full writing rubric for definitions of each level.

Note that a zero can be given in any of the dimensions when the student's performance falls below the criteria described for the performance level of 1.

	Question No		-	Question No				_		
Dimension Performance Level	4	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0
 Purpose/Task Accomplishes the task Includes details Connects ideas to task/purpose 										
Organization Exhibits a logical and coherent sequence Has a beginning, middle, and end Makes smooth transitions 										
VocabularyIncludes a variety of vocabularyUses relevant and accurate words										
Structure (degree to which errors hinder overall comprehensibility) • Subject-verb agreement • Present, past, future ideas expressed as appropriate • Noun-adjective agreement • Correct word order • Spelling/diacritical marks										
Word Count Comprehensible In target language 			100+	50– 99	<50			100+	50– 99	<50

This writing checklist is provided solely for the teacher's convenience. This form is not required to be completed and should not be returned to the State Education Department. In addition, when scoring Part 4 responses, no marks should be placed on the student's paper as such marks may interfere with the ability of the rater to properly apply the scoring rubric.

If a student's response receives a performance level score of zero on the dimension of purpose/task, the entire response should receive a score of zero. Please note, however, that in order to receive a score of zero on the dimension of purpose/task, the student's response must be completely unrelated to the topic. A student's response must not be given a zero on the dimension of purpose/task if the response can be associated with the task in any manner whatsoever. In such an instance, the student's response must be rated on each of the dimensions of the writing rubric.

Part 4

A sample of an 8-credit response for each question in Part 4 follows:

31 Caro Professore,

Per la gita da fare con la nostra classe io propongo di andare a Ellis Island. È un posto interessante dove possiamo imparare tante cose a proposito degli emigranti in America. Possiamo prendere il treno fino a New York e poi il traghetto. Il treno non dovrebbe costare molto. Forse possiamo partire la mattina presto e tornare la sera tardi. Se abbiamo abbastanza soldi possiamo passare una notte a New York e andare a vedere il nuovo Planetario al Museo di Storia Naturale o possiamo visitare uno dei musei. Ci sono tante cose interessanti da fare a New York! Sono sicuro che tutti verranno volentieri. Possiamo tutti trovare qualche lavoro da fare: baby-sitting, lavare le macchine, ripulire i giardini... Dobbiamo avere un po' di soldi noi, poi speriamo che i genitori ci aiutino. Professore, le piace la mia proposta? Spero di sì.

> Distinti saluti, Mario Petrelli

32 Ho saputo che Claudia andrà ad abitare in un'altra città; partirà con la famiglia alla fine della scuola. Suo padre ha un nuovo impiego in Rhode Island e Claudia è molto preoccupata. A me dispiace moltissimo perdere la mia grande amica. Claudia è una ragazza molto intelligente, buona e generosa. Frequentiamo la stessa scuola da tre anni e spesso facciamo i compiti insieme. Abbiamo molti interessi in comune, ci piace la musica e suoniamo la chitarra, studiamo italiano e speriamo di fare un viaggio in Italia insieme.

Claudia non sa come sarà la nuova scuola e non vorrebbe andar via. Io sono sicura che avrà presto dei nuovi amici, perchè è una ragazza meravigliosa ed è impossibile non volerle bene. A me Claudia mancherà moltissimo! Meno male che c'è l'internet e potremo scambiare messaggi senza spendere tanto!

Comprehensive Italian—concluded

33 Antonio e Franca hanno deciso di andare al cinema, ma non hanno il giornale e non sanno se c'è un bel film da vedere. Vanno al centro commerciale dove ci sono tante sale cinematografiche e incominciano a leggere la lista dei film. Ma Antonio e Franca hanno gusti differenti. Ad Antonio piacciono i film di guerra e di avventura, Franca preferisee i film d'amore. Come si fa? Bisogna trovare un film molto bello anche se non è né di guerra, né di avventura, né d'amore. Antonio chiede consiglio alla persona che vende i biglietti, che suggerisce un film storico del tempo degli antichi Romani. Ma Franca non è interessa. C'è un film di fantascienza, ma non piace ad Antonio. Allora Antonio e Franca tornano a casa.

Regents Comprehensive Examinations in Modern Languages Map to Learning Standards

Key Ideas	Part of Test/Item Numbers				
Speaking	Part 1, Speaking Test (administered prior to the written test)				
Listening	Part 2, Listening Comprehension Items: 1–15				
Reading	Part 3, Reading Comprehension Items: 16–30				
Writing	Part 4, Writing Items: 31–33				
Culture	Embedded in each item of test				