

Although it's a safer method of driving, I do not believe Google should be making self-driving cars. Self-driving cars would increase unemployment rates, ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~not~~ <sup>and</sup> not understand the imperfect world us humans do. ~~and~~

"There will be problems for businesses that don't adjust fast enough. [...] hundreds of billions of dollars (if not trillions) will be lost by automakers, suppliers, dealers, insurers, parking companies, licencing fees, taxes, ~~to~~ tolls, and by personal injury lawyers and health insurers." (Text 1). These cars would hurt businesses to a more greater extent than we know and understand. According to text 3, not only will people lose a lot of money, millions of people will become unemployed. People such as truck drivers, taxi drivers, ~~and~~ delivery people, bus drivers, postal service drivers, and more. Self-driving cars would put all of these people and more into unemployment, which is not good for our economy. They also don't understand how humans work in an imperfect world.

Due to programs, self-driving cars are meant to be error free. The issue with that is we live in a world of error. People speed, run through red lights, make illegal U-turns, and more. The programming in the car does not really understand that. Text 2 mentions that a lot of the google self-driving car accidents were due to humans. Some of the accidents were because of the sudden stopping of the google ~~cars~~ cars. These cars are just ~~to~~ perfect to ~~be~~ survive in this world.

There are benefits to ~~this~~ the self-driving cars. You would be able to sleep or play on your ~~mobile~~ mobile

---

**Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 3 – A**

---

device on long car trips. It would help disabled people to drive without taking the time to find a driver. However I still believe self-driving cars are not a good idea. They are too perfect for our world.

**Anchor Level 3–A**

The essay introduces a reasonable claim, as directed by the task (*I do not believe Google should be making self-driving cars*). The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts (*Self-driving cars would increase unemployment rates, and not understand the imperfect world us humans do*), but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims, only mentioning some benefits during *long car trips* and for *disabled people* but dismissing them (*However I still believe self-driving cars are not a good idea*). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence to support analysis, supplying information about *problems for businesses that don't adjust fast enough*, projected *unemployment rates*, and problems with *programming* the cars. The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material, identifying three texts but failing to supply line numbers [(*Text 1*) and (*text 3*)]. The essay exhibits some organization of ideas and information to create a mostly coherent essay, stating the claim in the first paragraph, devoting a second paragraph to both business problems and unemployment, offering a poorly supported observation (*These cars are just too perfect to survive in this world*) and concluding with the identification of a few benefits and restatement of the claim. The essay implies, but does not directly connect human driving habits to the argument being made. The essay establishes but fails to maintain a formal style, using primarily basic language (*us humans do, which is not good, too*) and structure (*People such as truck drivers, taxi drivers, delivery people, bus drivers, postal service drivers, and more*). The essay demonstrates partial control, exhibiting occasional errors (*licencing; more greater extant; more. Self-driving; cars ... It; However I*) that do not hinder comprehension.

Today, more than any other period in history, technology is in our lives: television, medicine, phones. The same goes for cars. Google is trying to make a car that will run completely on its own without any human interaction. This action taken by Google is positive and negative. The research in the articles show that the good effects outweighs the bad effects.

As stated in Text I "Over 90% of accidents today are caused by driver error" With the new autonomous cars into society the percentage of car related accidents would drop this would allow for fewer death accidents and safer traveling. Another use for autonomous cars is the easy ~~access~~ access of these cars - the amount of money saved by people riding in these cars. As shown in Text III graph with the use of autonomous technology the need for taxi drivers, truck drivers and chauffeurs would drop. Money spent for these workers would slowly be put back into the pockets of the people-riding in these types of autonomous cars. Along with being cheaper, these cars would diminish the amount of cars on the road and eradicate traffic jams and pollution due to an excess of cars.

Although many positive effects have come from the technology to make autonomous cars, some people criticize autonomous cars (Text II).

Text I, line 49 "These vehicles are coming fast" So be prepared for more of these types of autonomous cars.

### Anchor Level 3–B

The essay introduces a reasonable claim, as directed by the task (*This action taken by Google is positive and negative. The research in the articles show that the good effects outweighs the bad effects*). The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts (*With the new autonomous cars into society the percentage of car related accidents would drop*), but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims (*Although many positive effects have come from the technology to make autonomous cars some people criticize autonomous cars*). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (*Along with being cheaper, these cars would deminish the amount of cars on the road and eradicating traffic jams and pollution due to an access of cars*). The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism, sometimes failing to use line numbers after the text, when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material (*Text 1; Text 11; Text 1, line 49*). The essay exhibits some organization of ideas and information to create a mostly coherent essay by introducing a claim, followed by one body paragraph that supports the claim, then a brief paragraph that acknowledges the counterclaim, and concluding with a single sentence of advice (*So be prepared for more of these types of autonomous cars*). The essay establishes but fails to maintain a formal style, using primarily basic language and structure (*this would allow for fewer death accidents and safer traveling; be put back into the pockets of the people; access for “excess”*). The essay demonstrates emerging control of conventions, exhibiting occasional errors (*research ... show; drop this would; cars: is; cars – the; chauffers; deminish ... eradicating; cars some*) that hinder comprehension.

As the "future" approaches with all sorts of new technology, we ask ourselves "What about us?" All the automation being developed is putting citizens out of jobs. So when asked "Should self-driving cars replace humans?" The answer should be no.

The reason why some may say no the self-driving cars replacing human drivers is because in text 2 the author says "biggest challenges facing automated cars is blending them in to a world in which humans don't behave as the book" (text 2, lines 13-14) ~~not every~~ which basically says that humans don't drive like they should, they speed, try and show off, text and drive. So for a smart self-driving car if "senses danger" all around causing evasive maneuvers.

In text 3 there is a chart the states an or most jobs that would become automated and leave humans unemployed. If all those jobs were taken over by automated cars, that would leave almost 4 million people unemployed. It's hard enough to find a job nowadays, taking those jobs from humans would be catastrophic. Homeless rates would increase and so would crime rates.

Another problem not discussed in the passages is human obesity. Although driving does not take much, I imagine human obesity rates going up because you don't have to park your car like what text 1 said when "who needs a parking spot close to work if your car can drive you there, park itself miles away, only to pick you up later?" There's no walking involved, it's a foot that you own.

Some may disagree and say it's a great idea and that less accidents will happen. Although that is true, there wouldn't be accidents if people drove the way they are supposed to. Not speeding or texting. The objective of driving is to get from point A to point B as safe as possible but some do not see this.

### Anchor Level 3–C

The essay introduces a reasonable claim, as directed by the task (So when asked “Should self driving cars replace humans?” The answer should be no). The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts (humans don't drive like they should and taking those jobs from humans would be catastrophic), but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims (Some may disagree and say it's a great idea and that less accidents will happen). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence from Text 2 (“biggest challenges facing automated cars is blending them in to a world in which humans don't behave by the book”) and from Text 3 (that would leave almost 4 million people unemployed) to support analysis. The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material [(Text 2, lines 13–14) and what text 1 said ...]. The essay exhibits some organization of ideas and information to create a mostly coherent essay, with an introductory paragraph establishing the claim, three body paragraphs which move from discussing human driving skills to the loss of jobs, then to the problems of obesity connected to self-driving cars, and finally to the recognition of an alternate claim. The essay establishes but fails to maintain a formal style, using primarily basic language and structure, that is sometimes imprecise and ambiguous (their is a chart the states all or most jobs that would become automated and leeve humans unemployed and Although driving does not take much). The essay demonstrates a lack of control of conventions, exhibiting frequent errors (approchs; So when; humans?”; the auther says “biggest challenges; in to; dont; speed. try; manovers; involved, its) that make comprehension difficult.

Should self-driving cars replace human drivers?

I don't think self-driving cars should replace human drivers. Self-driving cars will get rid of jobs and they can also malfunction. On the other hand relaxing in a car without anyone or yourself driving would be nice.

One problem with a self-driving car is hackers in text 1 (lines 45-49). These hackers could take control over these vehicles. This could end up with a lot of car accidents. There is definitely a high risk of hackers because of this technology age. Once something is found out there is no stopping it. In text 3 it shows all of the jobs drivers have. If they replace all of them with self-driven cars/trucks 3,971,356 people would lose their jobs in the US. In text 2 (lines 6-11) says "One google car, in a test in 2009 couldn't get through a 4-way stop because its sensors kept waiting for other drivers to stop completely and let it go". This could be a big problem because not everyone stops at a stop sign.

Self-driving cars is probably going to be the future, but not mine. I want to be in control of what I'm doing while in a car.

## Anchor Level 2–A

The essay introduces a reasonable claim (*I don't think self-driving cars should replace human drivers*), as directed by the task. The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts (*These hackers could take control over these vehicles and 3,971,350 people would lose their jobs in the US*), but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims, only making one reference to a positive aspect of self-driving cars (*On the other hand relaxing in a car without anyone or yourself driving would be nice*). The essay presents ideas inconsistently, in an attempt to support analysis, making use of some evidence that may be irrelevant (*I want to be incontrol of what I'm doing 24/7, in a car*). The essay demonstrates inconsistent use of citations to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material [*in text 1 (lines 45–49) and In text 3*], including line references that extend beyond the cited material. The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent essay by first stating a claim and identifying both a negative and a positive aspect of self-driving cars, next supplying a paragraph that addresses *hackers*, self-driving cars' effect on jobs, and unconnected references to a *4-way stop*, and concluding with a paragraph devoted to personal opinion. The essay lacks a formal style, using some language that is inappropriate or imprecise (*hackers in text 1, something is found out, 24/7*). The essay demonstrates a lack of control of conventions, exhibiting frequent errors (*jobs and; mountfunction; accedents; definitely; cars/trucks 3,971,350; In text 2 (lines 6–11) says "One; senors; completly; stopp; cars is probally*) that make comprehension difficult.



yes i agree that we should have self-driving cars and they should replace human drivers. I think that would be a good idea because our world is going into the future <sup>and</sup> if we have self-driving cars ~~it will be less accidents~~ <sup>it will</sup> be less accidents.

And also over 90% of accidents are caused by driver error. That will also mean fewer cars will be on the road. People will be able to share a car. The car will <sup>take them</sup> ~~take~~ <sup>where</sup> ever they need to go. But self-driving cars "There regulatory and legislative obstacles to widespread use of self-driving cars and substantial concerns about privacy."

Public transportation drivers will become obsolete <sup>because</sup> <sup>technology</sup> <sup>is</sup> <sup>getting</sup> <sup>more</sup> <sup>advance.</sup> "and even postal service driver such as UPS FedEx may also face extinction. if they're not replaced by Amazon's delivery drones or perhaps they'll develop a combined system where self-driving trucks bring packages from the warehouse to their destination, and a drone delivers them the last few yards from curbside to doorstep."

Anchor Level 2-B

The essay introduces a claim (Yes i agree that we should have self-driving cars and They should replace human drivers). The essay demonstrates unclear analysis of the texts (I Think That would be a good idea Because are world is going into the furture and And also over 90 % of accidents are caused by driver error. That will also mean fewer cars will be on the road), failing to distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims although an unsupported quote from Text 1 contains some negative information. The essay presents ideas inconsistently, in an attempt to support analysis, making use of some evidence from Text 1 (over 90% of accidents are caused by driver error and "There regulatory and Legislative obstacles to widespread use of self driveing cars and substantial concerns about Privacy") and from Text 3 (Public transportation Drivers will become obsolete ... and even Postal service driver such as UPS Fed Ex may also face extinction) that is contradictory. The essay does not make use of citations. The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent essay by first introducing a claim about self-driving cars, then stating some general supportive information about such cars (People will be able to share a car. The car will take them where ever they need to go), followed by an unsupported quote from Text 1, and concluding with a quote from Text 3, both of which go against the claim. Because of the amount of quoted material, original student writing is somewhat compromised. The essay lacks a formal style, using some language that is inappropriate and imprecise (are world and if we have self-driving car it will be less accidents). The essay demonstrates a lack of control of conventions, exhibiting frequent errors (Yes, i argee, cars and, furture and, cars "There regulatory and Legislative, abstackes, obsolete Because) that make comprehension difficult.

Yes, Self driving Car ~~could~~ ~~will~~ should replace Human Drivers because there are alot of obstacles to the use of Human drivers ~~you will never know who is driving you around~~ there's also Concerns about privacy. you will never know who is driving you around. ~~there's also question~~ there's also question about your Security. However these Self driving Cars are Coming and they are Coming Soon. the Companies that plan ahead will be the biggest And will Survive But these other Companies that will invest in the old technology will need to evolve or they will risk in killing their company. Although Self driving Car sounds pretty good there are some bad things about it. you can never know who is controlling your car. in Since 2009 Google Cars Have been in 16 Car accienat.

**Anchor Level 2–C**

The essay introduces a claim (*Yes, Self driving car should replace Human drivers because there are alot of obstacles to the use of Human drivers*). The essay demonstrates unclear analysis of the texts (*you will never know who is driving you around and you can never know who is controlling your car*), failing to distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims beyond making a vague statement (*Although self driving car sounds pretty good their are some bad things about it*). The essay presents little evidence from the texts (*Companies that plan ahead ... will survive, Companies that will invest in the old technology will need to evolve, in Since 2009 Google Cars Have been in 16 car accienat*). The essay does not make use of citations. The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent essay by providing one paragraph that contains a claim, followed by references to privacy and security, including some evidence from Text 1 and Text 2, and ending abruptly. The essay lacks a formal style, using some language that is imprecise (*alot, will risk in killing, pretty good, their for "there", in Since*). The essay demonstrates a lack of control of conventions, exhibiting frequent errors (*Self driving car; drivers there's; However these; Coming and they; soon. the; Survive But; good their; accienat*) that make comprehension difficult.

The amount of drivers in the world are so far up there is Billions of people handling personal cars, trucks, suvs, and heavy equipment. Most young teens and younger adult/rookie or inexperience drivers are not safe on the road. The way the driving works in a weird way. First you get your permit test when you turn 16 years of age then you get your 5 hour driving course then you take your road test with a brownie. Some people shouldn't be behind the wheel they don't know how to handle a car. I grew up driving everything you can think of from trucks cars to tractors four wheelers go karts dirtbikes boat snow bikes. I have experience behind the wheel I have drove for 11 years prior to most people, who ~~don't~~ ~~to~~ get behind the wheel for the first time after their permit test which is honestly scary with no prior experience of being behind the wheel. People need to practice driving on private land before getting their permit. People should have to pass more tests than they do know to be able to drive and you should be able to do drive after 9PM because that is just stupid. Self driving cars are junk and can malfunction.

## Anchor Level 1-A

The essay does not introduce a claim, only referring to *self driving cars* in the final sentence. The essay does not demonstrate analysis of the texts. The essay presents no evidence from the texts beyond the statement that *self driving cars are Junk and can malfunction*. The essay does not make use of citations. The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, first addressing the fact that *Billions of people* are handling personal cars, truck, suvs, and heavy equipment and stating that *most young teens and younger adult/rookie or inexperience drivers are not safe on the road*, then explaining the steps taken to get a license and finding fault with people who *don't know how to handle a car*, touting the benefit of practicing *driving on private land*, and concluding with negative statements about the law restricting driving *after 9PM* and about *self driving cars*. The essay lacks a formal style, using some language that is inappropriate (*stupid* and *junk*) or imprecise (*amount of Drivers, so far up, the don't, know* for “now”). The essay demonstrates emerging control of conventions, exhibiting occasional errors that hinder comprehension (*up their is Billions; way. first; age then; wheel the; wheel I have drove; malfunction*). The essay is a personal response, making little to no reference to the texts, and can be scored no higher than a 1.

---

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 1 – B

---

~~Google should make flying cars~~ Google should make flying cars because it would be beneficial for the upcoming generations.

**Anchor Level 1–B**

The essay introduces a claim about *flying cars*, but does not address self-driving cars (*Google should make flying cars because it would be beneficial for the upcoming generations*). The essay does not demonstrate analysis of the texts. The essay presents no evidence from the texts and does not make use of citations. The essay exhibits little organization of ideas and information. The single sentence makes it minimal, making assessment of language unreliable. The essay is minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable.

Cars are viewed as an essential to society. The development of self-driving cars can effect society terribly. ~~There are~~ ~~ways~~ The ~~for~~ following evidence which will help explain this thesis is "How Google's Self-Driving Car Will Change Everything", "Google's Driverless Cars Run into Problem: Cars with Drivers", and "Autonomous Vehicles Will Replace Taxi Drivers, But That's Just the Beginning. The following themes are people losing jobs, ~~business~~ <sup>business</sup> starting to fail, and more accidents.

The idea of self-driving cars replacing humans have been thrown around for years. If self-driving cars replaced human drivers, a ~~lot~~ ~~of~~ numerous amount of individuals will be out of a job. There are 3,971,350 individuals in the United States with jobs dealing with cars (text 3). If ~~all~~ these individuals lose their jobs to autonomous vehicles, it will slowly ruin ~~the~~ ~~corrupt~~ ~~businesses~~ ~~businesses~~ businesses. The self-driving cars will create a ~~lot~~ ~~of~~ great loss of money.

Various people believe autonomous vehicles will make society better. The use of these cars will cause ~~a~~ hundreds of billions of dollars to be lost.

~~It~~ It is going to ~~a~~ effect ~~enterprises~~ <sup>enterprises</sup> like dealers, automakers, insurers, parking companies ~~a~~ and suppliers (text 1). These enterprises is what ~~is~~ keeps society booming and stable. Without these businesses the ~~economy~~ economy will diminish. Not only will autonomous vehicles ~~effect~~ <sup>effect</sup> businesses it will also ~~effect~~ cause more ~~effect~~ accidents and ~~effect~~ serious ~~effect~~ harm the ~~effect~~ safety of humans.

Humans are ~~perceived~~ perceived to also be at fault for car accidents. The autonomous vehicles are considered to be too safe. The cars do not know have to ~~be~~ <sup>be</sup> capable with human drivers. In two of the test, the cars have proven to be ~~too~~ too safe. One of the cars were trying to ~~avoid~~ avoid a ~~poorly~~ <sup>poorly</sup> parked ~~car~~ car and swerved into the ~~street~~ street.

Street (text 2). The self-driving car trying to avoid a poorly parked car could of hit another car.

The evidence proved how self-driving cars ~~will~~ will effect ~~society~~ society and the economy great. The technology can cause serious problems in the future. Self-driving cars should ~~just~~ remain an idea.

Many drivers ~~are~~ think that  
mostly increase car utilization. For instance  
mostly of drivers encourage the term  
of replacing self drivers to human drivers.  
A lot of human drivers want to be  
replace by self drivers.

Self-driving cars were once thoughts of the future; now they are a very real possibility. Google Inc. says it has an integrated system ready to market in 2017. This will be a drastic change, and can also face some big risks and hurdles.

Autonomous cars should replace human drivers, though many would probably disagree. The major reason ~~I believe~~ self-driving cars should be implemented is that they will reduce the amount and severity of crashes. Google cars have been in 16 crashes since 2009. "In every single case, the company says, a human was at fault" (Text 2, Line 27-28). Human errors cause most crashes, therefore eliminating human drivers will cause injuries or deaths due to ~~dr~~ car crashes to plummet. Google will allow you to "just order a shared [car] and it'll drive up minutes later" (Text 1, Line 23). This means Google cars will also be environmentally friendly, since there will be much less fossil fuels being plundered.

There are ~~also~~ also many reasons that to argue against autonomous cars. First of all many argue that it is a violation of privacy. Who knows what driving information can be accessed by Google employees? There is also the possibility that hackers could take



over the cars. These are both very real problems, but are highly unlikely. The main concern ~~for~~ is for professions that employ someone driving a car. ~~Many~~<sup>All</sup> of these drivers will lose their jobs and ~~will be~~ ~~then~~ will be unable to support themselves. An estimated 3,970,000 jobs will be lost (Text 3, Graphic 1). Still, the pros outweigh the cons. The amount of injuries and deaths that will be prevented are a good enough reason to implement these cars, nevermind the new jobs that will open up to complement the technology.

Within the past decade, we have developed technology that has changed the way the world functions. Some of these changes have been positive, some negative. Overall, technology has made a significant impact in our society. With the introduction of self-driving cars, we could be subjected to an entirely different type of advancement. Some may argue that these cars are dangerous, but the pros outweigh the cons. Self-driving cars have the ability to make the roads a safer place and eliminate 90% of fatal accidents (Text 1, line 34).

Since 2009, Google has been testing the autonomous vehicle. The first real launch of the cars will be around 2017 (KAW), and could spark a revolution that carries into the 2020's. (Text 1, lines 8-10). The major concerns for the adaptation of autonomous cars are the businesses that won't adjust fast enough (Text 1, line 12). According to Text 1, there are predictions that "hundreds of billions (if not trillions)" of dollars will be lost by major automotive companies (Text 1, lines 12-13). This includes automakers, suppliers, dealers, insurers, parking companies, licensing fees, taxes and tolls, lawyers, and health insurers (Text 1, lines 12-15).

However, "90% of accidents today are caused by driver error" (Text 1, line 34), and although self-driving cars could affect businesses, they could make for safer travel. As stated in Text 2, line 4, "the real problem is that the car is too safe." Since 2009, Google cars have only been in 16 accidents, and every single one was caused by a driver error (Text 2, lines 27-28). If self-driving cars were the only cars on the road, there would be a significant decrease in accidents. According to the NHTSA, 33,561 people were killed in car crashes in the US in 2012, and over 2 million were injured (Text 4, lines 2-4). The integration of self-driving cars could greatly impact the amount of accidents and save millions of people.

Although many people agree that self-driving cars are a positive and safe alternate way to travel, there are many issues that come up regarding their safety. Just like computers, developers are concerned about the security of the cars. ~~They~~ Hackers could tap into the car and do anything with them that could cause destruction (Text 1, lines 47-48). According to Text 3, graphic, the integration of these vehicles could eliminate millions of jobs. Taxi and bus drivers, post and UPS men, and delivery service could

become obsolete. The idea of the self-driving car may also instill anxiety and stress to some (Text 4, lines 17-19), or "passive fatigue" in others (Text 4, line 31). Even though there are some negative aspects regarding the self-driving vehicles, they are a positive change to our world.

Autonomous vehicles have the possibility to alter our world and make roads a safer place. Technology has rapidly increased in the past 10 years, and these vehicles are the next step. They have the ability to save millions of people from injury or death, and they will significantly impact the world.

I do not agree on self-driving cars, because I believe it is a safety hazard to the humans that been driving cars for century and centuries.

One of the biggest problems for the self-driver is "security" someone could possibly hack take over and do what they want, time is getting up and technology is increasing in better ways anything could happen. Automation cars would crush our economy because of "\$\$\$" money it would decrease a lot of value; put business out of stake jobs such as mechanics and car insurance, because of those cars. It will effect our society because us humans also use our senses we are visual we make "eye to eye contact" and agree when its safe to go the google car is to safe it will allow cars to go along giving them the right away. These cars shouldn't be included because it would interfere with human life dramatically.

**Practice Paper A – Score Level 3**

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 3.

**Practice Paper B – Score Level 1**

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 1.

**Practice Paper C – Score Level 4**

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 4.

**Practice Paper D – Score Level 5**

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 5.

**Practice Paper E – Score Level 2**

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 2.