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Rating the Essay Question

(1) Follow your school’s procedures for training raters. This process should include:

Introduction to the task—
- Raters read the task
- Raters identify the answers to the task
- Raters discuss possible answers and summarize expectations for student responses

Introduction to the rubric and anchor papers—
- Trainer leads review of specific rubric with reference to the task
- Trainer reviews procedures for assigning holistic scores, i.e., by matching evidence from the response to the rubric
- Trainer leads review of each anchor paper and commentary

Practice scoring individually—
- Raters score a set of five papers independently without looking at the scores and commentaries provided
- Trainer records scores and leads discussion until the raters feel confident enough to move on to actual rating

(2) When actual rating begins, each rater should record his or her individual rating for a student’s essay on the rating sheet provided, not directly on the student’s essay or answer sheet. The rater should not correct the student’s work by making insertions or changes of any kind.

(3) Each essay must be rated by at least two raters; a third rater will be necessary to resolve scores that differ by more than one point.

Rating the Scaffold (open-ended) Questions

(1) Follow a similar procedure for training raters.

(2) The scaffold questions are to be scored by one rater.

(3) The scores for each scaffold question must be recorded in the student’s examination booklet and on the student’s answer sheet. The letter identifying the rater must also be recorded on the answer sheet.

(4) Record the total Part III A score if the space is provided on the student's Part I answer sheet.

Schools are not permitted to rescore any of the open-ended questions (scaffold questions, thematic essay, DBQ essay) on this exam after each question has been rated the required number of times as specified in the rating guides, regardless of the final exam score. Schools are required to ensure that the raw scores have been added correctly and that the resulting scale score has been determined accurately. Teachers may not score their own students’ answer papers.

Schools are not permitted to rescore any of the open-ended questions (scaffold questions, thematic essay, DBQ essay) on this exam after each question has been rated the required number of times as specified in the rating guides, regardless of the final exam score. Schools are required to ensure that the raw scores have been added correctly and that the resulting scale score has been determined accurately. Teachers may not score their own students’ answer papers.

The scoring coordinator will be responsible for organizing the movement of papers, calculating a final score for each student’s essay, recording that score on the student's Part I answer sheet, and determining the student's final examination score. The conversion chart for this examination is located at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/assessment/ and must be used for determining the final examination score.
… The Southeastern Indians were particularly vulnerable. The traffic in deerskins, in which they had been intimately involved, had increasingly been replaced by a thriving cotton trade—spurred on by the invention of the cotton gin...[1793]—in which they were at best marginal producers and at worst obstacles to land-hungry whites. Much of their remaining territory was rich farmland, and the more they ‘improved’ it in the hope of turning themselves into acceptable neighbours, the more desirable they made it to would-be plantation-owners. A popular song at the time went:

All I ask in this creation
Is a pretty little wife and a big plantation
Way up yonder in the Cherokee Nation….

Source: James Wilson, The Earth Shall Weep: A History of Native America, Grove Press, 1998 (adapted)

1 According to James Wilson, what was one reason Native American Indians in the Southeast were removed from their native lands?

Score of 1:
- States a reason Native American Indians in the Southeast were removed from their native lands according to James Wilson
  Examples: the cotton gin had made their land more valuable for cotton production; the cotton gin had helped to increase the cotton trade in which they were marginal producers; they were obstacles to land-hungry whites; they had improved their land, making it desirable; their rich farmland was desirable to would-be plantation owners; traffic in deerskins had increasingly been replaced by the cotton trade; they did not produce much cotton and cotton could be produced on their land

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  Examples: traffic in deerskins had increased; cotton would not grow on their land; their farmland was poor; they were acceptable neighbors; turning themselves into acceptable neighbors
- Vague response
  Examples: there was traffic; they were involved; there was a popular song; vulnerable
- No response
President Andrew Jackson had signed the Indian Removal Act on May 28, 1830.

… The consequences of a speedy removal will be important to the United States, to individual States, and to the [Native American] Indians themselves. The pecuniary [financial] advantages which it promises to the Government are the least of its recommendations. It puts an end to all possible danger of collision between the authorities of the General [Federal] and State Governments on account of the Indians. It will place a dense and civilized population in large tracts of country now occupied by a few savage hunters. By opening the whole territory between Tennessee on the north and Louisiana on the south to the settlement of the whites it will incalculably [greatly] strengthen the SW [southwestern] frontier and render the adjacent States strong enough to repel future invasions without remote aid. It will relieve the whole State of Mississippi and the western part of Alabama of Indian occupancy, and enable those States to advance rapidly in population, wealth, and power. It will separate the Indians from immediate contact with settlements of whites; free them from the power of the States; enable them to pursue happiness in their own way and under their own rude [primitive] institutions; will retard [slow] the progress of decay, which is lessening their numbers, and perhaps cause them gradually, under the protection of the Government and through the influence of good counsels, to cast off their savage habits and become an interesting, civilized, and Christian community. These consequences, some of them so certain and the rest so probable, make the complete execution of the plan sanctioned by Congress [Indian Removal Act] at their last session an object of much solicitude [concern].…

Source: President Andrew Jackson, Second Annual Message to Congress, December 6, 1830
2 According to President Andrew Jackson, what were two reasons for establishing an Indian removal policy?

Score of 2 or 1:
• Award 1 credit (up to a maximum of 2 credits) for each different reason for establishing an Indian removal policy according to President Andrew Jackson
  
  Examples: it will be financially advantageous to the government; it will put an end to all possible danger of collision between the authorities of the general and state governments on account of Native American Indians; it will free the Native American Indians from the power of the states; it will open territory to the settlement of whites; it will place a dense and civilized population in large tracts of country occupied by a few hunters; it will strengthen the Southwestern frontier; it will render states adjacent to Tennessee and Louisiana strong enough to repel future invasions without remote aid; it will relieve the state of Mississippi and the western part of Alabama of Native American Indian occupancy; it will enable the state of Mississippi and the western part of Alabama to advance rapidly in population or wealth or power; it will separate the Native American Indians from immediate contact with settlements of whites; it will enable Native American Indians to pursue happiness in their own way/to pursue happiness under their own institutions; it may help Native American Indians to cast off their savage habits; it may help Native American Indians become a civilized and Christian community; it will slow the progress of decay, which is lessening the number of Native American Indians

Note: To receive maximum credit, two different reasons for establishing an Indian removal policy must be stated. For example, it will be financially advantageous to the government and it will give wealth to the government is the same reason expressed in different words. In this and similar cases, award only one credit for this question.

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  Examples: it will increase contact with white settlements; it will put the government in debt; it will increase collision between the general and state governments

• Vague response
  Examples: there were consequences; it will be a speedy removal; it will be important; execution of the plan is an object of much concern; the progress of decay; lessening their numbers

• No response
Document 3a

Indian Removal, 1830–1854

Source: Irving F. Ahlquist et al., *United States History*, Addison-Wesley, 1984 (adapted)

Document 3b

…It was natural, at a time when the national territory seemed almost illimitable [unlimited] and contained many millions of acres far outside the bounds of civilized settlements, that a policy should have been initiated which more than aught [anything] else has been the fruitful source of our [Native American] Indian complications.

I refer, of course, to the policy of dealing with the various Indian tribes as separate nationalities, of relegating them by treaty stipulations to the occupancy of immense reservations in the West, and of encouraging them to live a savage life, undisturbed by any earnest and well-directed efforts to bring them under the influences of civilization.

The unsatisfactory results which have sprung from this policy are becoming apparent to all.

As the white settlements have crowded the borders of the reservations, the Indians, sometimes contentedly and sometimes against their will, have been transferred to other hunting grounds, from which they have again been dislodged whenever their new-found homes have been desired by the adventurous settlers.

These removals and the frontier collisions by which they have often been preceded have led to frequent and disastrous conflicts between the races.…

Source: President Chester A. Arthur, Message to Congress, December 6, 1881
3 Based on these documents, what were two effects of federal removal policies on Native American Indians?

Score of 2 or 1:
• Award 1 credit (up to a maximum of 2 credits) for each different effect of federal removal policies on Native American Indians

Examples: Native American Indians were removed to Indian territory/Native American Indians were relegated to reservations in the West/tribes living east of the Mississippi River were moved west/many tribes were moved west of the Mississippi/they migrated west/they lost their homelands; former Indian lands were claimed by white settlers by white settlers in Wisconsin/Michigan/Indiana/Illinois/Tennessee/Mississippi/Alabama/Florida/Georgia/North Carolina; different Indian nations/tribes were moved to the same territory; Indians were encouraged to live a savage life/to live undisturbed by any efforts to bring them under the influence of civilization/they have not been civilized; they have been transferred, sometimes against their will, to other hunting grounds; white settlements crowded the borders of reservations sometimes forcing them to move/Native American Indians have been forced to move when their new-found homes were desired by white settlers; they have been involved in frontier collisions; conflicts between races have been frequent or disastrous; Trail of Tears; white settlers crowded them out; Indian lands were ceded

Note: To receive maximum credit, two different effects of federal removal policies on Native American Indians must be stated. For example, white settlements crowded the borders of reservations sometimes forcing them to move and white settlers have forced them to move when their new-found homes were desired are the same effect expressed in different words. In this and similar cases, award only one credit for this question.

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
   Examples: they stayed east of the Mississippi River; they became separate nationalities; they received all the land in the West; national territory seemed unlimited
• Vague response
   Examples: there were millions of acres; there were complications; settlers were adventurous
• No response
Document 4a

In 1906 President Theodore Roosevelt appointed a commission to investigate practices in meatpacking plants. This is an excerpt from the commission’s findings that were presented to Congress.

...The results of our observations have already been partly given. Other instances of how products may be made up, and still secure the stamp of government inspection, are here given. In one well-known establishment we came upon fresh meat being shoveled into barrels, and a regular proportion being added of stale scraps that had lain on a dirty floor in the corner of a room for some days previous. In another establishment equally well known, a long table was noted covered with several hundred pounds of cooked scraps of beef and other meats. Some of these meat scraps were dry, leathery and unfit to be eaten; and in the heap were found pieces of pigskin, and even some bits of rope strands and other rubbish. Inquiry evoked the frank admission from the man in charge that this was to be ground up and used in making “potted ham.”...

Source: Locomotive Firemen’s Magazine, July–December, 1906

Document 4b

A NAUSEATING JOB, BUT IT MUST BE DONE.

Source: Utica Saturday Globe, 1906 (adapted)
4 Based on these documents, what is one reason President Theodore Roosevelt supported consumer protection laws?

Score of 1:
• States a reason President Theodore Roosevelt supported consumer protection laws based on these documents
  
  Examples: bad practices in meatpacking plants had been found by investigators; he learned that in some plants fresh meat was shoveled into barrels with a regular proportion of stale scraps that had lain on a dirty floor; some meat products were made from scraps unfit to be eaten/some meat scraps were dry or leathery or unfit to be eaten/bad things were being ground up and put in the meat; the commission reported that pieces of pigskin/bits of rope strands/rubbish were found with meat scraps/were ground up to make “potted ham”; to deal with the meat scandal; Roosevelt was nauseated by the meat scandal; he learned poor quality meat was being sold to the public; report of commission investigating meatpacking plants showed terrible conditions

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  
  Examples: to stop the investigation of meatpacking plants; to end government inspection; to issue stamps of government inspection

• Vague response
  
  Examples: to complete observations; establishments were well known; a nauseating job; unfit to be eaten; rope strands and other rubbish

• No response
5 Based on this advertisement, state one way the Pure Food and Drug Act would protect consumers.

Score of 1:
• States a way the Pure Food and Drug Act would protect consumers based on this advertisement  
    Examples: it would guarantee that all packages of Postum Cereal/Grape-Nuts/Elijah’s Manna would not be adulterated or misbranded; the manufacture/sale/transportation of adulterated or misbranded or poisonous or deleterious foods, drugs, medicines, liquors, and for regulating traffic therein for other purposes.

Score of 0:  
• Incorrect response  
    Examples: only cereal products had to comply with the law; Postum Cereal would not have to meet the standards of the Pure Food and Drug Act; Postum Cereal has always been truthful; they can be sold by any jobber or retailer; it was signed by a notary public; Congress approved it

• Vague response  
    Examples: it is guaranteed; there are other purposes

• No response

*Pure Food and Drug Act
Eight months ago I signed into law the Wholesome Meat Act of 1967. That landmark bill capped a crusade that had begun 60 years ago—in the presidency of Theodore Roosevelt—to assure American housewives that the meat they served their families was pure, not harmful or dirty or diseased.

Today I am proud to sign a bill that will extend the same coverage to all poultry products. It is the fulfillment of a promise I made to every housewife—in my first consumer message just after I became President.

In the early days of this century, Americans took for granted that there were risks in buying food. They even joked about it in print. One newspaper printed a little poem:

“Mary had a little lamb,
And when she saw it sicken,
She shipped it off to packing town,
And now it’s labeled chicken.”

In 1968, we cannot tolerate the image, or the fact, of unwholesome food:
—Not when Americans last year consumed more than 12 billion pounds of poultry.
—Not when a full 13 percent of that supply—or 1.6 billion pounds—was subject to little or no inspection because it didn’t cross State lines….

The Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968 will insure that dirty plants will have to clean up or close down….

Source: President Lyndon B. Johnson, Statement Upon Signing the Wholesome Poultry Products Act, August 19, 1968

6 Based on this document, how did President Lyndon B. Johnson continue the consumer protection action begun by President Theodore Roosevelt?

Score of 1:
- States how President Lyndon B. Johnson continued the consumer protection action begun by President Theodore Roosevelt according to this document
  
  Examples: he signed into law the Wholesome Meat Act of 1967; he capped Roosevelt’s crusade to assure American housewives that the meat they served their families was pure/is not harmful or is not dirty or diseased; he acted to make sure that unwholesome food would not be tolerated; his bill extended coverage to all poultry products; he insured poultry that does not cross state lines would be inspected; he insured dirty plants would have to clean up; he insured dirty plants would have to close down; he signed the Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  
  Examples: he did not sign the Wholesome Meat Act/Wholesome Poultry Products Act; his bill reduced coverage of poultry products; he put a stop to Roosevelt’s crusade

- Vague response
  
  Examples: capped the crusade; gave a message; the newspaper printed a poem

- No response
…It ought to be possible, in short, for every American to enjoy the privileges of being American without regard to his race or his color. In short, every American ought to have the right to be treated as he would wish to be treated, as one would wish his children to be treated. But this is not the case….

The heart of the question is whether all Americans are to be afforded equal rights and equal opportunities, whether we are going to treat our fellow Americans as we want to be treated. If an American, because his skin is dark, cannot eat lunch in a restaurant open to the public, if he cannot send his children to the best public school available, if he cannot vote for the public officials who represent him, if, in short, he cannot enjoy the full and free life which all of us want, then who among us would be content to have the color of his skin changed and stand in his place? Who among us would then be content with the counsels of patience and delay?…

Now the time has come for this Nation to fulfill its promise. The events in Birmingham and elsewhere have so increased the cries for equality that no city or State or legislative body can prudently choose to ignore them….

We face, therefore, a moral crisis as a country and as a people. It cannot be met by repressive police action. It cannot be left to increase demonstrations in the streets. It cannot be quieted by token moves or talk. It is a time to act in the Congress, in your State and local legislative body and, above all, in all of our daily lives….

Source: President John F. Kennedy, Radio and Television Report to the American People on Civil Rights, June 11, 1963

7 According to President John F. Kennedy, what is one inequality African Americans continued to face in 1963?

Score of 1:
• States an inequality African Americans continued to face in 1963 according to President John F. Kennedy
  Examples: lack of equal rights; lack of equal opportunities; African Americans are not able to eat lunch in a restaurant open to the public; they cannot send their children to the best public school available; they cannot vote for public officials who represent them; African Americans are unable to enjoy the privileges of being American; they cannot enjoy the full and free life; they are not treated as we want to be treated; repressive police action

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  Examples: they have no opportunities; they cannot send their children to school; demonstrations have increased; they enjoy the privileges of being American; they should be content with the counsel of patience and delay
• Vague response
  Examples: to fulfill a promise; we/they are facing a moral crisis
• No response
President Lyndon B. Johnson addresses the nation as he prepares to sign the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

My fellow Americans:

I am about to sign into law the Civil Rights Act of 1964. I want to take this occasion to talk to you about what that law means to every American.…. 

Americans of every race and color have died in battle to protect our freedom. Americans of every race and color have worked to build a nation of widening opportunities. Now our generation of Americans has been called on to continue the unending search for justice within our own borders.

We believe that all men are created equal. Yet many are denied equal treatment…. 

We believe that all men are entitled to the blessings of liberty. Yet millions are being deprived of those blessings—not because of their own failures, but because of the color of their skin.

The reasons are deeply imbedded in history and tradition and the nature of man. We can understand—without rancor or hatred—how this all happened.

But it cannot continue. Our Constitution, the foundation of our Republic, forbids it. The principles of our freedom forbid it. Morality forbids it. And the law I will sign tonight forbids it…. 

Source: President Lyndon B. Johnson, Radio and Television Remarks upon Signing the Civil Rights Bill, July 2, 1964, Lyndon B. Johnson Library & Museum (adapted)

8 Based on this document, what is one reason President Lyndon B. Johnson supported the Civil Rights Act of 1964?

Score of 1:
• States a reason President Lyndon B. Johnson supported the Civil Rights Act of 1964 according to this document

  Examples: Americans of every race and color have died in battle to protect our freedom; Americans of every race and color have worked to build a nation of widening opportunities; to increase justice/to continue the unending search for justice within our own borders; we/he believed all men are created equal; no one should be denied equal treatment/to be sure that the denial of equal treatment does not continue; because all men are entitled to the blessings of liberty; to ensure that the millions who are being deprived because of the color of their skin get the blessings of liberty; the Constitution or the foundations of our Republic forbid denial of equal treatment; principles of our freedom/morality forbid denial of equal treatment

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response

  Examples: it is not in the Constitution; foundations of our Republic forbid equal treatment; it continues the denial of equal treatment; he wanted to talk about the law

• Vague response

  Examples: it is the foundation; it means everything; it cannot continue; deeply imbedded in our history

• No response
...The Civil Rights Act of 1964 also revealed that Congress really can change conditions in the United States if it truly wishes to do so. The act ended virtually immediately and completely all forms of public segregation in the nation, both North and South. The threat of cutting off U.S. funds to government programs and business concerns that discriminate against minorities has made “equal employment opportunity” and “affirmative action in hiring” fixed institutions in American life. The act empowered the attorney general of the United States to sue for the desegregation of schools, a program that has resulted in the use of school busing to achieve racial balance in the nation's schools. The act was the first national law to guarantee significant equal rights for women, and it set the precedent for using cloture to stop a filibuster on a civil rights bill—a precedent that was used in...[1968] to pass a national law [the Fair Housing Act] guaranteeing equal housing opportunity....


9a According to Robert D. Loevy, what were two effects of the Civil Rights Act of 1964?

Score of 2 or 1:
• Award 1 credit (up to a maximum of 2 credits) for each different effect of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 according to Robert D. Loevy

  Examples: it ended all forms of public segregation in the nation/it ended all forms of public segregation in the North and the South; government programs that discriminate against minorities face the threat of a cut-off of United States funds; business concerns that discriminate against minorities face the threat of a cutoff of United States funds; equal employment opportunity has become a fixed institution in American life; affirmative action in hiring has become a fixed institution in American life; the attorney general of the United States is empowered to sue for desegregation of schools; desegregation of schools resulted in the use of school busing to achieve racial balance; significant equal rights were guaranteed for women; it set the precedent for using cloture to stop a filibuster on a civil rights bill; affirmative action; the precedent of cloture was used to pass the Fair Housing Act

Note: To receive maximum credit, two different effects of the Civil Rights Acts of 1964 must be stated. For example, school desegregation efforts were strengthened and school busing was implemented to achieve racial balance are the same effect since school busing was implemented to achieve racial balance is a subset of school desegregation efforts were strengthened. In this and similar cases, award only one credit for this question.

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  Examples: it increased public segregation in the United States; it ended affirmative action programs; desegregation of schools ended school busing

• Vague response
  Examples: it was revealed to Congress; it ended; it guaranteed; threat to funds; racial balance

• No response
The Voting Rights Act was signed by President Lyndon B. Johnson in 1965.

…The [social and economic] system was working hard, by the late sixties and early seventies, to contain the frightening explosiveness of the black [African American] upsurge. Blacks were voting in large numbers in the South, and in the 1968 Democratic Convention three blacks were admitted into the Mississippi delegation. By 1977, more than two thousand blacks held office in eleven southern states (in 1965 the number was seventy-two). There were two Congressmen, eleven state senators, ninety-five state representatives, 267 county commissioners, seventy-six mayors, 824 city council members, eighteen sheriffs or chiefs of police, 508 school board members. It was a dramatic advance. But blacks, with 20 percent of the South’s population, still held less than 3 percent of the elective offices. A New York Times reporter, analyzing the new situation in 1977, pointed out that even where blacks held important city offices: “Whites almost always retain economic power.” After Maynard Jackson, a black, became mayor of Atlanta, “the white business establishment continued to exert its influence.”…


9b Based on this document, what was one effect of the Voting Rights Act of 1965?

Score 1:
- States an effect of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 based on this document
  Examples: blacks voted in large numbers in the South; at the 1968 Democratic convention, three African Americans were admitted into the Mississippi delegation; by 1977, more than 2,000 blacks held office in eleven states; by 1977, blacks who held office included two congressmen/11 state senators/95 state representatives/267 county commissioners/76 mayors/824 city council members/18 sheriffs or chiefs of police/508 school board members; African Americans/blacks held more elective offices than before in the South; Maynard Jackson, a black, became the mayor of Atlanta; the Act contributed to an African American political upsurge

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  Examples: African Americans did not vote; by 1977, only 72 blacks held offices; the white business establishment no longer had any influence
- Vague response
  Examples: exerted influence; the social/economic system was working hard; was a dramatic advance; the frightening explosiveness
- No response
Historical Context: Throughout United States history, presidents have taken actions that have had a significant impact on the United States and American society. Three such presidential actions were Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy, Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection, and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights.

Task: Choose two presidential actions mentioned in the historical context and for each
• Describe the historical circumstances surrounding the president’s action
• Discuss the impact of the president’s action on the United States and/or on American society

Scoring Notes:

1. This document-based question has a minimum of four components (discussing the historical circumstances surrounding each of two presidents’ actions and the impact of each president’s action on the United States and/or on American society).
2. The impact of the president’s action may be on the United States, on American society, or on both the United States and American society.
3. The impact of the president’s action on the United States or on American society may be immediate or long term.
4. The impact of the presidential action on the United States or on American society may be discussed from a variety of perspectives as long as the positions taken are supported by accurate historical facts and examples.
5. Only two actions should be chosen from the historical context. If three actions are addressed, only the first two actions may be rated.
6. For the purposes of meeting the criteria of using at least four documents in the response, documents 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 9a, and 9b may be considered as separate documents if the response uses specific separate facts from each document.

All sample student essays in this rating guide are presented in the same cursive font while preserving actual student work, including errors. This will ensure that the sample essays are easier for raters to read and use as scoring aids.

Raters should continue to disregard the quality of a student’s handwriting in scoring examination papers and focus on how well the student has accomplished the task. The content-specific rubric should be applied holistically in determining the level of a student’s response.
Score of 5:
• Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth by discussing the historical circumstances surrounding each of two presidents’ actions and the impact of each president’s action on the United States and/or American society
• Is more analytical than descriptive (analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., Roosevelt: connects the influence of Progressive reform, the influence of muckraker Upton Sinclair’s The Jungle on the public, and President Theodore Roosevelt’s awareness of lax sanitary and labeling standards in the meatpacking industry to Roosevelt’s support for passage of the Meat Inspection Act and Pure Food and Drug Act, establishing a precedent for the expanded and continuing role of the federal government’s involvement in promoting food safety and public health; Johnson: connects the continuing African American struggles for social, economic, and political equality and the reasons for growing moral and political support for the goals of civil rights activists to President Johnson’s Great Society goals and his support for passage of the Civil Rights Act that resulted in the end of segregation in public accommodations, and to the increased momentum for voting rights legislation that led to the passage of the Voting Rights Act of 1965
• Incorporates relevant information from at least four documents (see Key Ideas Chart)
• Incorporates substantial relevant outside information related to presidential actions (see Outside Information Chart)
• Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details, e.g., Roosevelt: role of Progressives; definition of muckrakers; terms of Wholesome Meat Act of 1967; terms of Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968; Johnson: leadership of Martin Luther King Jr.; role of James Meredith; description of March on Washington; definition of de jure segregation; role of Freedom Riders; terms of Civil Rights Acts; role of President Kennedy; role of civil rights activists; ruling in Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States; use of school busing; role of Great Society
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Score of 4:
• Develops all aspects of the task but may do so somewhat unevenly by discussing all aspects of the task for one presidential action more thoroughly than for the other presidential action or by discussing one aspect of the task less thoroughly than the other aspects of the task
• Is both descriptive and analytical (applies, analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., Roosevelt: discusses the role played by Upton Sinclair in creating public awareness of lax sanitary and food labeling standards in meatpacking, President Theodore Roosevelt’s support for the Meat Inspection Act and the Pure Food and Drug Act, and the expansion of the role of the federal government in promoting food safety and protecting the consumer that continues to the present day; Johnson: discusses the political, economic, and social inequalities that African Americans continued to face, the moral and political support for civil rights, the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the further integration of public schools, and the integration of other public accommodations that resulted in greater opportunities for African Americans
• Incorporates relevant information from at least four documents
• Incorporates relevant outside information
• Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme
Score of 3:

- Develops all aspects of the task with little depth or develops at least three aspects of the task in some depth
- Is more descriptive than analytical (applies, may analyze and/or evaluate information)
- Incorporates some relevant information from some of the documents
- Incorporates limited relevant outside information
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some minor inaccuracies
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that may be a restatement of the theme

Note: If all aspects of the task have been developed evenly and in depth for one presidential action and the response meets most of the other Level 5 criteria, the overall response may be a Level 3 paper.

Score of 2:

- Minimally develops all aspects of the task or develops at least two aspects of the task in some depth
- Is primarily descriptive; may include faulty, weak, or isolated application or analysis
- Incorporates limited relevant information from the documents or consists primarily of relevant information copied from the documents
- Presents little or no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some inaccuracies
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion

Score of 1:

- Minimally develops some aspects of the task
- Is descriptive; may lack understanding, application, or analysis
- Makes vague, unclear references to the documents or consists primarily of relevant and irrelevant information copied from the documents
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, or details; may include inaccuracies
- May demonstrate a weakness in organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion

Score of 0:

Fails to develop the task or may only refer to the theme in a general way; OR includes no relevant facts, examples, or details; OR includes only the historical context and/or task as copied from the test booklet; OR includes only entire documents copied from the test booklet; OR is illegible; OR is a blank paper

*The term create as used by Anderson/Krathwohl, et al. in their 2001 revision of Bloom’s Taxonomy of Educational Objectives refers to the highest level of the cognitive domain. This usage of create is similar to Bloom’s use of the term synthesis. Creating implies an insightful reorganization of information into a new pattern or whole. While a Level 5 paper will contain analysis and/or evaluation of information, a very strong paper may also include examples of creating information as defined by Anderson and Krathwohl.
## Andrew Jackson and Indian Removal Policy

### Key Ideas from Documents 1–3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Circumstances</th>
<th>Impact of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 1</strong>—Increases in cotton trade replacing Southeastern Indians’ traffic in deerskins. Invention of cotton gin spurring cotton trade. Southeastern Indians as marginal producers of cotton and as obstacles to whites wanting land for cotton plantations. Improvements of rich farmland of Southeastern Indians making it more desirable to would-be plantation owners.</td>
<td><strong>Doc 2</strong>—Passage and signing of Indian Removal Act. Placing dense and civilized population in large tracts of country, formerly occupied by a few savage hunters. Opening of territory between Tennessee and Louisiana to settlement of whites. Strengthening of the southwestern frontier and adjacent states, allowing them to repel future invasions without aid. Mississippi and western part of Alabama to be relieved of Indian occupancy. Separating Native American Indians from immediate contact with settlements of whites and freeing them from the power of the states. <strong>Doc 3</strong>—Removal of many tribes to Indian territory west of the Mississippi River. Relegating Native American Indians by treaty stipulations to reservations in the West. Transfer of Native American Indians to other hunting grounds as white settlements crowded borders of reservations. Removal of Native American Indians from newfound homes when land desired by settlers. Development of frequent and disastrous conflicts between races because of removals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Theodore Roosevelt and Consumer Protection**

**Key Ideas from Documents 4–6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Circumstances</th>
<th>Relevant Outside Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Doc 4** — Appointment of commission by President Roosevelt to investigate practices in meatpacking plants  
Issuance of the stamp of government inspection for contaminated products  
Discovery of fresh meat in barrels with a regular proportion of stale scraps from dirty floor  
Discovery of scraps of beef and other meats lying on tables; dry, leathery meat scraps unfit to be eaten; pigskin, bits of rope strands, and other rubbish in meat scraps  
Disgust of President Roosevelt over meat scandal  
**Doc 5** — Adulteration or misbranding of many products  
Lack of truthful statements of ingredients | Threat of European governments to ban American meat products  
Setting of new precedents in 1890s for federal standards regarding meat inspection  
Demand by public for government regulation of meatpacking as a result of muckraker publications  
Influence of Upton Sinclair’s novel, *The Jungle*  
Support for passage of Meat Inspection Act by President Theodore Roosevelt after confirmation of accuracy of Sinclair’s book  
Contamination of food and medicines with additives (borax, alcohol, opium, cocaine, arsenic)  
Misleading information with false product labeling  
Protection of consumers a goal of Progressive movement |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of Action</th>
<th>Impact of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Doc 5** — Compliance by Postum Cereal with provisions of Pure Food and Drug Act  
Prevention of manufacture, sale, and transportation of adulterated or misbranded or poisonous or deleterious foods, drugs, medicines, liquors  
**Doc 6** — Assurance that meat served to families would not be harmful, dirty, or diseased with signing of Wholesome Meat Act of 1967  
Assurance that Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968 would clean up or close down dirty plants | Support by meatpacking companies for legislation to restore market confidence  
Court appeal process available to packers for negative meat inspection findings  
Reduction of bacterial diseases in meat  
Establishment of federal regulation of interstate business  
Limitations on regulations with Supreme Court decisions (*Schechter Poultry Corporation v. United States*)  
Continuation of concerns (e-coli contamination of meat; use of antibiotics, steroids, and growth hormones in cattle)  
Improvement of meat inspection standards (expansion of scientific methods for inspection)  
Expansion of responsibilities of Food and Drug Administration  
Precedent for further consumer protection legislation  
Expansion of role of federal government (movement from laissez-faire to more regulation) |
Lyndon Johnson and Civil Rights

Key Ideas from Documents 7–9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Circumstances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 7</strong>—Repressive police action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased demonstrations in the streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Token moves and talks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country facing a moral crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal rights and equal opportunities not afforded to all Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability of African Americans to eat lunch in a restaurant open to the public, send their children to the best public school available, vote for public officials who represent them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in cries for equality in Birmingham and elsewhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 8</strong>—Denial of equal treatment for many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of blessings of liberty because of skin color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of equal rights despite the Constitution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 8</strong>—Signing of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 9</strong>—End of public segregation in the North and South by Civil Rights Act of 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to cutoff funds to government programs and business concerns that discriminate against minorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal employment opportunity and affirmative action in hiring becoming fixed institutions in American life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney general of the United States able to sue for desegregation of schools; using school busing to achieve racial balance in nation’s schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarantee of significant equal rights for women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set precedent for using cloture to stop a filibuster on a civil rights bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting by African Americans in large numbers in the South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission of three African Americans to Mississippi delegation at 1968 Democratic Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of African Americans holding office by 1977 but still less than 3 percent of elective offices in South</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Outside Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(This list is not all-inclusive.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historical Circumstances</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress toward equality since Civil War (14th amendment, 15th amendment, Civil Rights Act of 1875, desegregation of armed forces and buses, <em>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of full citizenship for African Americans (de jure segregation, housing restrictions, voter registration, poll taxes, literacy test, Jim Crow laws, <em>Plessy v. Ferguson</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued struggle for equality by Martin Luther King Jr. in “I Have a Dream,” and “Letter From Birmingham Jail” and by other civil rights activists (James Meredith, Malcolm X, Freedom Riders, Southern Christian Leadership Conference, NAACP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in public support as a result of media coverage (March on Washington, death of Medgar Evers, Selma campaign)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stronger commitment from national political leaders (President Eisenhower’s enforcing integration of Central High School in Little Rock, forced desegregation of University of Alabama, sending United States marshals to protect James Meredith, President Kennedy’s support for stronger civil rights legislation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expansion of voting rights with 24th amendment to Constitution (elimination of poll tax)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desegregation of public accommodations (libraries, parks, restaurants, theaters, <em>Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision of registration procedures, elimination of literacy tests (Voting Rights Act of 1965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banning of discrimination in housing (Civil Rights Act of 1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in percentage of African Americans completing high school and college</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and economic opportunities of Great Society programs (Head Start, Job Corps, Equal Opportunity Commission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuation of job discrimination, educational inequalities, poverty, racism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The United States has been fortunate to have many presidents that made a significant positive impact on American society. One such president was Theodore Roosevelt and his work with consumer protection laws. Another was Lyndon B. Johnson and his support for civil rights. The actions of both presidents have had lasting impacts in America and changed the nation for the better.

Theodore Roosevelt, a very active president, devoted much of his attention to the growing issue of consumer safety. The industrial revolution was a great advancement for America, but it also brought a new set of problems. Items like meat, medicines, and other household goods were now able to be mass produced in factories. However, sometimes these manufacturing plants were unfit for food preparation. As evidenced in document 4a, the conditions in meat packaging plants were sometimes repulsive, with meat laying on the floor and bits of other materials in the meat. Muckrakers also influenced Roosevelt in his action as the ills of the industry were exposed. One particularly influential piece was Upton Sinclair’s “The Jungle”, which described in detail the unsanitary practices of the meat industry. Although critical of what he considered exaggerations in “The Jungle” Roosevelt was disturbed by this novel and decided to find out whether Sinclair’s fiction was factually based. The “Utica Saturday Globe” published a cartoon, which showed Roosevelt’s attitude toward the crisis of filth, strongly suggesting governmental action was needed to regulate the meat packers. Roosevelt was ready to take action. In 1906, the Federal government passed the Pure Food and Drug Act (document 5). This act set a standard for conditions in manufacturing plants by enforcing safe, sanitary conditions wherever food, drugs, and liquor were made.
It also protected consumers by requiring that food products be accurately labelled with their ingredients. Those who read labels on a bottle of medicine could then make a better judgment about whether to buy it. Roosevelt’s persuasive actions to get this act passed have had a monumental impact on American society. He set a standard that is still expected in the food and drug industries. He made it clear that the American consumers can expect a certain level of protection in their food and drug purchases. As stated in Document 6, other presidents such as Lyndon B. Johnson later expanded on Roosevelt’s Meat Inspection Act with even more safety and even more comprehensive regulations. The Wholesome Poultry Products Act established standards for chicken eaten by many Americans. Lyndon B. Johnson made sure Americans would now know they were not eating “sick lambs from packing town”. Today we have the more powerful Food and Drug Administration, which safeguards food and regulates when new drugs are safe to market. President Roosevelt set in motion new standards that have had a growing and lasting impact on the quality of life and safety of Americans.

President Lyndon B. Johnson also left his mark on the United States with his support for civil rights in the 1960’s. The 1960’s were a tumultuous decade of change, and one of these changes was the appearance of the civil rights movement. Though granted citizenship after the Civil War under the 14th amendment African Americans still faced discrimination in many parts of the country, particularly in the Deep South where racism was still a part of society. When Reconstruction ended African Americans seemed to be forgotten. Blacks faced segregation in many public facilities, including discriminatory
practices in restaurants and public schools (document 7). The facilities designated for blacks were often inferior to those for whites. Even as soldiers during both world wars, blacks were forced to serve in segregated units. Post WWII conditions led to unrest. Black soldiers who had fought bravely for their country came home to continued discrimination and racial prejudices at the polls and in their community. Many black reformers used peaceful civil disobedience tactics like sit-ins and boycotts to make their grievances known. Responses to their protests were not always peaceful. Freedom Riders were beaten and Birmingham protestors were attacked with fire hoses and dogs. Support for civil rights grew when Americans saw this treatment on TV and in newspapers. Johnson was also sympathetic to their cause and believed it was unconstitutional for blacks to be treated as second-class citizens. In 1964, Johnson signed the Civil Rights Act into law (document 8). This law guaranteed the principles of freedoms to everyone, regardless of their skin color. Johnson’s actions had a major impact on American society. Today, although discriminatory practices have not entirely disappeared from American society, Congress did change and improve conditions for African Americans. As stated in Document 9a, practices such as “equal employment opportunities” have become an expected fixture of American life. Public education has been further desegregated and restaurants and lunch counters can no longer discriminate. College admission offices are guided by affirmative action. African Americans are treated more fairly at the polls and are not made to take literacy tests or pay poll taxes. Johnson’s actions helped the United States to fulfill its promise of liberty to all its citizens including women, Native Americans, Latinos, and gays.
Each group has been inspired to stand up for their own equal rights. American President have the opportunity to shape American society for the better. Both Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon B. Johnson seized that opportunity. Roosevelt’s work in the area of consumer safety is still significant today. The affects of Johnson’s support for civil rights are easily observed in modern America. Without the actions of these two presidents, America could look much different than it does today.
Anchor Level 5-A

The response:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
- Is more analytical than descriptive (Roosevelt: meatpacking plants sometimes repulsive with meat lying on floor and bits of other materials in the meat; Utica Saturday Globe published a cartoon strongly suggesting governmental action was needed to regulate meat packers; Pure Food and Drug Act set a standard for conditions in manufacturing plants; American consumers can expect a certain level of protection in food and drug purchases; Johnson expanded Roosevelt’s work with more comprehensive regulations; Johnson made sure that Americans would know they were not eating “sick lambs from packing town”; Johnson: facilities designated for blacks often inferior to those for whites; believed unconstitutional for blacks to be treated as second-class citizens; Congress did improve conditions for African Americans; practices such as equal employment opportunities have become fixture of American life; college admission offices guided by affirmative action; African Americans treated more fairly at polls)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Incorporates substantial relevant outside information (Roosevelt: Upton Sinclair’s novel The Jungle described in detail unsanitary practices of meat industry; although critical of The Jungle, Roosevelt was disturbed and decided to find out if Sinclair’s fiction was factually based; Food and Drug Administration safeguards food and regulates when new drugs are safe to market; Johnson: though granted citizenship under 14th amendment, African Americans still faced discrimination in many parts of country; during wartime, blacks fought in segregated units and came home to continued discrimination and racial prejudice at the polls and in their community; many black reformers used peaceful civil disobedience tactics; Freedom Riders beaten and Birmingham protestors attacked with fire hoses and dogs; support for civil rights grew when Americans saw treatment of black protestors on television and in newspapers; public education further desegregated; restaurants and lunch counters can no longer discriminate; women, Native Americans, Latinos, and gays inspired to stand up for their own equal rights)
- Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: muckrakers; in 1906 Pure Food and Drug Act passed; Pure Food and Drug Act protected consumers by requiring food products be accurately labeled; Johnson: supported civil rights in 1960s; blacks faced segregation in many public facilities; Civil Rights Act guaranteed principles of freedoms to everyone regardless of skin color)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. A good discussion of historical circumstances provides the context for the actions of both Roosevelt and Johnson. The evaluation of consumer protection and civil rights legislation represents a thoughtful and critical appraisal reflecting an understanding of both their short- and long-term impacts.
Andrew Jackson’s Indian Removal Policy and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection were huge developments in American history. While Jackson’s Indian Removal Policy paved the way for expansion into the West, it had the negative effect of removing the Native Americans from their ancestral lands, and the actions of Theodore Roosevelt were revolutionary because they forced American producers to create products that were of certain standards and quality. Both actions altered American society and changed the American people’s perception on the power and role of government.

Andrew Jackson had a mixed history in his dealings with the Indians. He formed alliances with Native American tribes to fight the British during the War of 1812 and later fought the Seminoles in Spanish Florida. At this time, advancements in technology such as Eli Whitney’s cotton gin increased production and the profitability of cotton, leading to an almost unsatisfiable desire for the rich farmland belonging to Native Americans. This desire to expand the “cotton kingdom” farther westward was displayed in an excerpt from James Wilson’s, “The Earth Shall Weep: A History of Native America.” Financial interest combined with the perceived disposability of the Native Americans was a major impetus in Andrew Jackson’s development of an Indian Removal Policy. Jackson also believed that removal to the West would help Native Americans maintain their culture without white harassment. Indian land would often be trespassed on, creating great conflict between the whites and Native Americans, particularly near the border of the Indian land. Also, dispute over who should be in control of Native American land led to issues between various state government as well as within states such as Georgia. This conflict was
also a major reason for Andrew Jackson's decision to pursue his Indian Removal Policy. The effects of Andrew Jackson's Indian Removal Policy were disastrous for the Native Americans in that they were relocated from their ancestral land to west of the Mississippi and these new lands were unfamiliar and difficult to farm. It ultimately was beneficial to the progress of America, helping cause a desire among the people to expand to the Pacific Coast. However, this expansion of settlement caused a continual cycle of American settlers wanting more land, forcing the Indians to have to move again virtually until there was nowhere else to move except to undesirable reservations. This cycle was depicted by President Chester A. Arthur in his message to Congress in December 6, 1881. However, while devastating for the Native Americans, this land provided more natural resources for the American economy to grow and eventually industrialize. With this expanding economy, an increase in the strength of this nation ensued. Indian Removal Policy was a step toward manifest destiny, a movement which was a driving force for American expansion and prosperity. It was this subsequent western expansion that led to the creation of better and more efficient means of transportation that would connect American society coast to coast later on. While the Indian Removal Policy was disastrous for the Native Americans, some would say it was ultimately necessary for American progress.

Theodore Roosevelt's support for consumer protection occurred due to public pressure and his view of the power of the presidency. After the impeachment of Andrew Johnson, the power of the president seemed diminished, and it took a strong personality like Theodore Roosevelt to bring the presidency back to a more activist role. Also, Theodore Roosevelt
was president during the Progressive era when many people supported government intervention in order to address and hopefully solve social and economic ills resulting from industrialization. The time period when many people desired reform lead to Theodore Roosevelt’s goal of using his office to call attention to issues ranging from trust-busting to conservation to consumer protection. The real spark for consumer protection laws came with the reports of muckraking journalists like Upton Sinclair whose expose about the meatpacking industry in “The Jungle” disgusted Theodore Roosevelt. He met with Sinclair, but also sent out his own investigators. In response to his commission’s findings, he demonstrated his commitment to reforming the meatpacking industry. This response was almost immediate because the commission unveiled the lack of quality and even potentially harmful effects to health that meat products created with their chemical preservatives and unusual ingredients. The disgusting conditions that meat was produced in, as shown in the Locomotive Firemen’s Magazine in 1906 lead to demands that the government intervene in the meatpacking industry to promote a higher quality of goods. The meat scandal even reached Europe and American beef was being rejected. Even though meat packing interests were well represented in Congress, they responded positively to Theodore Roosevelt’s insistence for tougher meat inspection guidelines and food and drug regulations. Many big companies preferred being regulated by federal laws instead of varied laws in different states. Another impact of the new laws was the requirement for truthful labeling. Some companies might have been honest about their products as shown by the Postum Cereal advertisement in the New York Times, but after June 30, 1906 all companies would have to obey the National
Pure Food Law. Ultimately, the creation of strict federal regulations created more consumer confidence and encouraged improvements and honest business practices throughout the American economy. Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson’s support for the public welfare possibly can be traced back to the example Theodore Roosevelt set during his Presidency.
The response:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth for Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection
- Is more analytical than descriptive (Jackson: policy paved way for expansion; removed Native Americans from their ancestral lands; had a mixed history in dealings with Native Americans; advancements in technology increased production and profitability of cotton and desire for rich farmland of Native Americans; financial interest combined with perceived disposability of Native Americans was impetus in development of Indian removal policy; believed removal to West would help Native Americans maintain their culture; expansion caused a continual cycle of settlers wanting more land, forcing Indians to move again; nowhere else to move except to undesirable reservations; Roosevelt: actions revolutionary because they forced American producers to create products that met certain standards and quality; support for consumer protection due to public pressure; commission unveiled potentially harmful effects to health created by meat products with chemical preservatives and unusual ingredients; many big companies preferred being regulated by federal laws instead of varied laws in different states; strict federal regulations created more consumer confidence and encouraged honest business practices)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6
- Incorporates substantial relevant outside information (Jackson: new lands unfamiliar and difficult to farm; Indian removal beneficial to progress of America helping cause desire to expand to Pacific; land provided more natural resources for American economy to grow; Indian removal policy was a step toward Manifest Destiny; subsequent western expansion led to more efficient means of transportation to connect American society coast to coast; Roosevelt: president during Progressive Era when many people supported government intervention to address and solve social and economic ills; muckraking journalists like Upton Sinclair’s expose about the meatpacking industry in “The Jungle” disgusted Theodore Roosevelt; meat scandal reached Europe and American beef was being rejected; meat packing interests represented in Congress but Congress responded to insistence for tougher meat inspection guidelines and food and drug regulations; Franklin D. Roosevelt and Johnson’s support for public welfare can possibly be traced to example set by Roosevelt)
- Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details (Jackson: decided to pursue Indian removal policy; Indian land often trespassed on creating great conflict between whites and Native Americans; Native Americans relocated from ancestral lands to west of Mississippi; Roosevelt: meat produced in disgusting conditions; commission unveiled lack of quality in meat products; Postum Cereal honest about their products before laws passed; National Pure Food Law; stricter federal regulations)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that states positive and negative effects of Jackson’s and Roosevelt’s actions and lacks a conclusion

**Conclusion:** Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. Outside information supports document interpretation and a strong analytic approach to the task. The treatment of impact reflects a good understanding of the effects of presidential actions of Jackson and Roosevelt and the evolution of government power.
The government of the United States has throughout history had an increasingly active role in the lives of its citizens. Often times this activity has been beneficial to society. As the President holds great sway in national policy, and often the actions and policies of a president can have a positive impact on society. The work of presidents like Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Baines Johnson have improved the lives of many through their actions dealing with consumer protection and civil rights. Theodore Roosevelt's actions to promote consumer protection were of great importance to the Progressive Movement. Before the beginning of the twentieth century, the policy of laissez-faire economics was the most popular economic mindset in America especially among business owners. This philosophy dictated that a free and mostly unregulated market was the most efficient and logical method and that restrictions and regulations of businesses were not in the best interest of the nation or the government. As a result of this philosophy coupled with technological advances of the industrial revolution, laborers were unskilled, factory conditions were poor, and quality control was maintained by the manufacturers themselves. Theodore Roosevelt challenged the old way of doing business when he became president. He started a policy of "trustbusting" against certain monopolies he felt did not benefit the public. He then took specific action against problems that directly hurt the public. Meat processing was perhaps the best known example of a lack of any quality control or concern for the consumer. Unhealthy scraps of waste product meat was often added to the final product (Doc 4). According to muckracker writers the meat was often mislabelled and allegedly could even include floor scraps like rat feces or pieces of rope. These writers led people to believe that...
unsafe facility conditions sometimes resulted in the loss of body parts for the operators, and these parts would also be packaged as sellable meat. Muckrakers like Upton Sinclair, writer of *The Jungle*, caused public outrage by exposing the truth of what America was consuming. Theodore Roosevelt began “raking the muck” himself by investigating the Meat Scandal which led to a series of reforms. These reforms led to an increase in consumer protection by the government. Roosevelt supported the Meat Inspection Act which furthered regulation of the Meat packing industry. President Roosevelt also signed the Pure Food and Drug Act in 1906 (Doc 5) which outlawed the sale of mislabelled products. Roosevelt also supported the formation of the Food and Drug Administration which regulated the producers and packagers of there items. His actions set a precedent for government activity and involvement in protecting citizens and regulating business. Although some Americans complain about too many government regulations, almost everyone agrees they want their food supply to be protected from e-coli and other bacteria.

Lyndon Baines Johnson was elected amid the 1960’s, a decade where many civil rights restrictions still existed for the African American population of the United States. One hundred years after the Civil War, segregation in public facilities was still legal and widespread in some parts of the country. Many businesses and institutions had separate accommodations for whites and blacks especially in the South. The number of segregation laws had increased after the Supreme Court decision that decided that “separate but equal” facilities on railroads were acceptable, even though the separation of facilities ensured that they were rarely equal. Many “Jim Crow” laws
that restricted the 14th amendment rights of black citizens still existed. Much of the discrimination that many blacks faced was not inflicted by laws though, but by the intolerant attitudes of individuals and groups like the Klu Klux Klan. Lyndon Johnson continued the work for civil rights begun by his predecessor John F. Kennedy, but accomplished more legislatively for civil right expansion and reform. Lyndon Johnson declared that the best way to help honor the memory of the deceased President Kennedy was to support civil rights reform, swaying many grieving Americans to the cause. Johnson worked with many activists like Martin Luther King Jr. and Boyard Rustin to create more public and congressional support for reform. In 1964, he praised the Civil Rights Acts, citing constitutional and moral reasons for its passage (Doc 8). With this act, Johnson accomplished many reforms, including the desegregation of public facilities and guaranteeing more rights for women. (Doc 9) Johnson also worked to eliminate the poll tax, a tool used by many to restrict blacks from voting, through the encouragement of a constitutional amendment which was adopted. His work to end voter discrimination resulted in a greater representation of African Americans in local and national government. His work to get support for civil rights through public speeches helped to discredit but not eliminate racist groups like the Klu Klux Klan. Johnson’s other reforms like the instating of medicare and medicaid also helped many poorer blacks pay for health care. Johnson’s actions led to more political, economic, and cultural opportunities for African Americans. The president has had increasing sway over the national government throughout American history. Theodore Roosevelt used his power to protect consumers, while Lyndon Johnson used his influence to protect
The actions of a president who is backed by the American public have often caused the greatest change and reform.

Anchor Level 4-A

The response:

• Develops all aspects of the task for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
• Is both descriptive and analytical (Roosevelt: meat processing was perhaps best example of lack of quality control or concern for the consumer; muckrakers felt meat often mislabeled and allegedly could include floor scraps; began “raking the muck” himself by investigating meat scandal which led to series of reforms; some Americans complain about too many government regulations; Johnson: many businesses and institutions had separate accommodations for whites and blacks especially in South; much of discrimination blacks faced was not influenced by laws but by intolerant attitudes of individuals and groups; accomplished more legislatively for civil rights expansion and reform than Kennedy; his declaration that best way to honor memory of Kennedy to support civil rights reform swayed many grieving Americans to the cause)
• Incorporates relevant information from documents 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9
• Incorporates relevant outside information (Roosevelt: before 20th century, laissez-faire economics was most popular mindset, especially among business owners; as a result of philosophy, coupled with the technological advances, laborers were unskilled, factory conditions were poor, and quality control was maintained by manufacturers themselves; Upton Sinclair’s The Jungle caused public outcry by exposing truth of what America was consuming; actions set precedent for government activity and involvement in protecting citizens; Johnson: segregation in public facilities still legal in some parts of country; number of segregation laws increased after Supreme Court decided “separate but equal” facilities on railroads was acceptable; many Jim Crow laws restricted 14th amendment rights of black citizens; worked with Martin Luther King Jr. and Bayard Rustin to create more support for reform; worked to eliminate poll tax used to restrict blacks from voting through encouragement of a constitutional amendment; work helped to discredit but not eliminate groups such as Ku Klux Klan)
• Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: unhealthy scraps of waste product meat added; signed Pure Food and Drug Act in 1906; supported Meat Inspection Act Johnson: continued work begun by predecessor; praised Civil Rights Act of 1964 citing constitutional and moral reasons for passage; desegregation of public facilities; guaranteeing more rights for women; greater representation of African Americans in local and national government)
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that discusses the positive impact of Roosevelt’s and Johnson’s actions and a conclusion that states actions of a president backed by the American public has often caused the greatest change and reform

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. A thoughtful analysis of document information leads to some good conclusions that support the premise that the actions of Roosevelt and Johnson resulted in a more active role for the federal government in the lives of its citizens. Additional development of statements concerning the impact of the president’s actions would have strengthened the response.
Throughout United States History, presidents have taken important actions that have helped change the course of American society. Their decisions have had significant impacts, like Theodore Roosevelt’s progressive presidency and Lyndon B. Johnson’s crusade for civil rights. Teddy Roosevelt became president during a time of reform. He himself was considered to be part of the Progressive movement, a primarily middle class movement that dealt with urban and other societal problems that were a result of industrialization. They believed the government should be more responsible for improving the lives of the citizens and encouraged greater government intervention rather than the laissez-faire policies of previous presidents. Also under the progressive umbrella during Roosevelt’s presidency were writers known as muckrakers. They exposed governmental corruption and unsafe conditions, hoping to bring about change. Jacob Riis exposed the living conditions of the urban poor in photographs and Lincoln Steffans also dealt with government corruption in cities like Chicago and Philadelphia in his book, Shame of the cities. Ida Tarbell focused on attacking corrupt trusts and monopolies, specifically Rockefeller’s standard oil. Perhaps one of the most famous of these muckrakers was Upton Sinclair who wrote The Jungle, depicting the unsafe and unsanitary working conditions faced by immigrant workers in the meat packing industry. However, unhealthy and exploited workers were not as interesting to his readers as the ingredients in “potted ham”. Roosevelt appointed a commission which reported back about how in a “well known establishment we came upon fresh meat being shoved into barrels ….scraps that had lain on a dirty corner of a room for somedays previous” (Doc. 4a). This posed a serious threat to public health and safety as well as to the sales of
American beef. Roosevelt worked with Congress to enact the Meat Inspection Act and the Pure Food and Drug Act which regulated the meat packing industry and made sure that companies could not "mis-brand" their products or add anything poisonous to contaminate their products (Doc. 5). Roosevelt’s regulation of the food industry continued on into other presidencies. FDR’s expansion of the Pure Food and Drug Act followed his policies as did Lyndon Johnson, who signed into law more acts that would insure that dirty plants would have to clean up and that people hopefully will know what is in their meat and poultry products.

Another president whose policies had an impact on American society was Lyndon B. Johnson and his support for civil rights. Up to his presidency and unfortunately beyond, blacks still had not achieved equality. Despite advancements made under Eisenhower (Brown vs. the Board of Education of Topeka which declared public school segregation unconstitutional and its enforcement at Little Rock) there was still persistent segregation. Jim Crow laws in the South and the Ku Klux Klan severely limited the rights of blacks and racism was still an issue. They were frequently denied their constitutional rights in some areas of the North as well as the South. President Kennedy recognized this inequality (Doc 7) and pleaded to Congress that it was time for action yet still it was slow to happen. Eventually, African Americans who had banded together under the civil rights movement and Martin Luther King Jr. who advocated nonviolent direct action began to see some progress. Sit-ins which had become increasingly popular (Greensboro for example) and other forms of protest eventually made a difference.

Finally, under Johnson, the federal government took action. He
signed the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 which reinforced the idea that all men were created equal, regardless of race or color (Doc. 8). These actions helped reverse the course of segregation in American society. It virtually ended segregation in public facilities and empowered the attorney general to sue for the desegregation of schools (Doc. 9a). The Voting Rights Act now made it easier for blacks to vote and many more were eventually elected to public office (Doc. 9b). Presidents throughout history have taken actions that have had a significant impact on society, especially Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson.
The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights but discusses historical circumstances for both presidents more thoroughly than the impact of their actions.
- Is both descriptive and analytical. (Roosevelt: Progressives encouraged greater government intervention rather than laissez-faire policies; unhealthy and exploited workers not as interesting to Sinclair’s readers as ingredients in “potted ham”; conditions in meatpacking plants posed serious threat to public health and safety as well as to sales of American beef; Acts made certain that companies did not misbrand their products or add anything poisonous to contaminate products; Johnson signed into law more acts to insure dirty plants would have to clean up and people would know what was in meat and poultry products; Johnson: up to his presidency and unfortunately beyond, blacks still had not achieved equality; blacks frequently denied constitutional rights in some areas of North as well as South; Kennedy recognized inequality and pleaded to Congress that it was time for action; Voting Rights Act reinforced idea all men were created equal regardless of race or color; segregation virtually ended in public facilities; Voting Rights Act made it easier for blacks to vote.)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.
- Incorporates relevant outside information. (Roosevelt: Progressive writers exposed governmental corruption and unsafe conditions hoping to bring change; Upton Sinclair’s *The Jungle* depicted unsafe and unsanitary working conditions in meatpacking industry; Franklin D. Roosevelt’s expansion of Pure Food and Drug Act followed his policies; Johnson: *Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka* declared public school segregation unconstitutional; Jim Crow laws in South and Ku Klux Klan severely limited rights of blacks and racism was still an issue; African Americans, who had banded together under the civil rights movement, and Martin Luther King Jr., who had advocated nonviolent action, began to see some progress; sit-ins such as Greensboro became increasingly popular; other forms of protest eventually made a difference.)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details. (Roosevelt: muckrakers; commission to investigate conditions in meatpacking plants; worked with Congress; Meat Inspection Act; Pure Food and Drug Act; Johnson: Little Rock; Civil Rights Act of 1964; Voting Rights Act of 1965; Attorney General empowered to sue for desegregation of schools; more blacks elected to public office)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a brief conclusion that restate the theme.

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. The strength of the response lies in the treatment of the historical circumstances and in the outside information. The impact of the actions of Roosevelt and Johnson is document driven and would be strengthened with further explanation.
We remember presidents for different reasons, and sometimes some stand out more than others. Often times, the most memorable are the ones who took the greatest risks to improve the country. Other times, a president may be remembered for a mistake he made or for his unfair treatment of others. Either way, a president will be remembered and forever associated with the decisions he made and the impact those decisions had on the American people. Both Andrew Jackson and Theodore Roosevelt changed American society through the decisions they made and, in some ways, had positive effects on the nation, or in others, had negative effects on the nation.

Andrew Jackson was president during a time when the nation was becoming more and more democratic, at least when it came to white males. Jackson is often regarded as a president that led the way to more democracy among the American people, but in some ways, Jackson did not promote true and equal democracy among all members of society. Jacksonian democracy did not mean more rights for women or the abolition of slavery. The Native Americans were treated with disrespect by many whites, especially during the nineteenth century when western expansion and territorial gains for white settlers was more important than treating others humanely. Mainly to appease the whites, and increase economic opportunities for them, Jackson signed into law his Indian Removal Act in 1830, which forced thousands of Native Americans out of their homes in unfair treaties. Native Americans gave up much better land than they got west of the Mississippi. Many Native Americans walked what is known as the Trail of Tears which got its name because of the tragic deaths and pains the Native Americans suffered as they migrated west by force.
Even though many Americans also supported Jackson’s idea that moving the Native Americans west would preserve their cultures, Jackson had gone a bit further than some Americans were comfortable with. The Cherokee Indians, who lived mainly in Georgia, were sadly targets of Jackson even though they had made attempts to assimilate into the “white culture”. Some became wealthy planting cotton and some owned slaves, and many became Christians. In fact, even in the Supreme court case of The Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, the justices ruled that the Cherokees had treaty rights to their land in Georgia that the state of Georgia could not overturn. Jackson ignored this ruling. The Cherokees along with other tribes were removed from their homes by the army as seen in Document 3a. A removal like this had never been tried before and it did not go as well as expected. According to Document 3b, “These removals ... led to frequent and disastrous conflicts between the races” as the frontier moved farther westward. It became almost impossible for Native Americans to “pursue happiness in their own way.” White settlers were still not satisfied and their demands for Indian territory continued to selfishly disturb the peace and destroy thousands of Native American lives. The goal of establishing a buffer to keep the Indians and whites apart could not succeed. While Jackson’s decisions had led to disastrous effects and thousands of Native Americans lost their homes and loved ones, Theodore Roosevelt’s impact on American Society many years later had bettered the nation. Roosevelt became president during the Progressive movement when Americans were demanding more government regulation to protect the average citizen from businesses taking advantage of them. Although laws were passed in the late nineteenth century to regulate
business they were not always effective. People feared that big business was getting bigger than ever and they were not operating in the best interests of the people who were buying their products. Roosevelt saw the way in which consumers were taken advantage of by trusts and monopolies and became a trustbuster by enforcing the Sherman Antitrust Act against the Northern Securities Company. Also, as stated in Document 4a and depicted in Document 4b, when Roosevelt found out some disturbing information about the meat packing industry, which had been producing an unsanitary product for consumers, he knew something had to be done. Muckrakers, or people who wrote about corrupt business and the ills of American society, made the American people aware of horrors such as this and Upton Sinclair, specifically, made people and Roosevelt aware of the dangerous practices and products of the meat industry in The Jungle. Roosevelt reacted cautiously but quickly by authorizing a government fact-finding commission and then did his job by asking Congress to pass the Meat Inspection Act and the Pure Food and Drug Act which was to “prevent the manufacture, sale, and transportation of adulterated or misbranded ... foods, drugs, medicines ...” (Document 5). Beef and pork would also be inspected by the government before being sold and the USDA stamp would actually mean something. In the 1960s Congress extended inspection requirements for chicken too. In the twenty-first century, government agencies do everything from tracking origins of food-borne illnesses to recalling food products that endanger public health. Inspections of food production companies have expanded. Making certain that the food eaten by the public is safe has become a bigger and more expensive federal responsibility as the economy has grown and more food comes from foreign sources.
Anchor Level 4-C

The response:
- Develops all aspects of the task for Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection
- Is both descriptive and analytical (Jackson: often regarded as a president who led way to more democracy but in some ways he did not promote true and equal democracy among all members of society; western expansion and territorial gains for white settlers more important than treating others humanely; many Americans supported his idea that moving Native Americans west would preserve their cultures; he went a bit further than some were comfortable with; removal did not go as well as expected; demands for Indian territory continued to disturb peace and destroy thousands of Native American lives; Roosevelt: people feared big business getting bigger and not operating in best interests of people; making certain food eaten by public is safe has become a bigger and more expensive federal responsibility as economy has grown); includes faulty application (Jackson: Cherokee Nation v. Georgia)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Jackson: in unfair treaties, Native Americans gave up much better land than they got west of Mississippi; many Native Americans walked Trail of Tears which got its name because of tragic deaths suffered as they migrated west by force; even though Cherokees made attempts to assimilate into white culture, they became targets; Supreme Court ruled Cherokees had treaty rights to their land; Jackson ignored Supreme Court ruling; Roosevelt: president during Progressive movement when Americans demanded more government regulations to protect average citizen from businesses; laws passed to regulate business not always effective; saw consumers taken advantage of by trusts and monopolies; became a trustbuster; beef and pork would be inspected by government before being sold and USDA stamp would actually mean something; government agencies do everything from tracking origins of food-borne illnesses to recalling food products that endanger public health)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Jackson: Native Americans treated with disrespect by many whites; Cherokee Indians lived mainly in Georgia; removals led to frequent and disastrous conflicts between races as frontier moved westward; thousands of Native Americans lost homes and loved ones; Roosevelt: meatpacking industry producing unsanitary products; authorized a government fact-finding commission; muckrakers; Upton Sinclair; The Jungle; Meat Inspection Act; Pure Food and Drug Act; extended inspection requirements to chicken)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that discusses why presidents are remembered for their decisions and lacks a conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. Recognizing the limits of Jacksonian democracy establishes a good context for the somewhat analytical and chronologically redundant discussion of the Indian Removal Act and its effects. The historical circumstances surrounding Roosevelt’s action could have been better integrated with the documents and the outside information; however, the integration of 21st-century references in the discussion of its impact is good.
American society has been shaped by the various movements led by citizens and the actions made by the Presidents responding to these movements. Two of the most significant Presidents who helped shape the United States were President Theodore Roosevelt and President Lyndon Johnson. While Roosevelt protected American consumers from corruption in big businesses and Johnson protected African-Americans from the discriminatory acts during the mid 1900's, both presidents took actions to create a more just country for the American people and had a long-lasting effect on American society.

Theodore Roosevelt is known as a progressive president, for his policies often supported the economic and social needs of society. In the early 1900's meatpacking businesses such as Armour and Swift began to consolidate and form corporations, monopolies and trusts. Not only did these companies' practices harm the average working man by paying low wages and forcing them to work in bad conditions. They also hurt smaller meatpacking businesses making it hard for them to compete.

They also followed corrupt practices in their production, transportation, and selling of goods. These companies made rebate deals with the railroads to receive cheaper prices in transporting their goods, they lied about the ingredients of their products to the American people, their formation of trusts took wealth away from the average working class, and they often discouraged the formation of labor unions by threatening their workers. Journalists like Upton Sinclair and Ida Tarbells exposed these corruptions and greatly influenced President Roosevelt. Realizing the need of regulations on these big businesses, Roosevelt signed many acts through his Square deal to limit corporations' power and protect the average consumer. One of these acts
Anchor Paper – Document-Based Essay—Level 3 – A

was the Pure Food and Drug Act, which forced companies to provide the truth about their products on their labels (Doc. 5). Another action taken by Roosevelt was appointing a commission to inspect the squalid conditions of the Meat Packing industry and find out if they were as bad as Upton Sinclair said they were and he realized they were (Doc. 4a & 4b). Roosevelt also tried to protect the people by pushing congress to pass the Meat Inspection Act, and took steps to regulate rebates and other consumer related issues in the railroad industry. Roosevelt’s actions improved society for the average American consumer and proved how government intervention can be successful in improving society—a precedent later used by FDR when he created the New Deal to also respond to the needs of the American people during the Great Depression.

Lyndon B. Johnson also improved American society by protecting the rights of African-Americans during the Civil Rights movement. After the Civil War, African-Americans were granted the right to vote, and given citizenship and freed through the 15th, 14th, and 13th amendments. However, the 14th and 15th amendments were not enforced after the time period of American history known as Radical Reconstruction. Poll taxes, literacy tests, & the Grandfather clause prevented blacks from voting, while the Jim Crow Laws and the ruling of Plessy vs. Ferguson legalized segregation and encouraged discrimination. African-American leaders like Martin Luther King Jr. who favored non-violent civil disobedience, and Malcolm X, who favored a more radical approach, were involved in the Civil Rights movement of the 1950’s-60’s. After the police attacks on protesters that took place at the nonviolent march of Birmingham, Alabama, President Kennedy
realized that America was contradicting its beliefs in equality by discriminating against African-Americans. After Kennedy’s death, Pres. Johnson led Congress to pass the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (Docs. 8, 9a, and 9b). Both Acts lead to increased equality and opportunity for African-Americans in public facilities, in education, and in the workforce. President Johnson paved the way for future presidents to support the African-American cause and established a more just, unified nation.

Presidents Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson improved American society through their successful acts and legislations. Roosevelt’s support for the consumer instead of big corporations, and Johnson’s support for the African-Americans instead of the discriminatory whites illustrates how crucial government involvement is when protecting the needs of a group of people and improving society.
The response:
• Develops all aspects of the task with some depth for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
• Is more descriptive than analytical (Roosevelt: Americans lied to about ingredients in products; realized regulations needed for big business; wanted to find out if conditions as bad as Sinclair said; actions proved government intervention could be successful in improving society; Johnson: after police attacks on protestors in Birmingham, Kennedy realized America was contradicting its beliefs in equality by discriminating against African Americans; both Civil Rights Act and Voting Rights Act led to increased equality and opportunity for African Americans in public facilities, in education, and in workforce)
• Incorporates some relevant information from documents 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9
• Incorporates relevant outside information (Roosevelt: in early 1900s, meatpacking businesses such as Armour and Swift began to consolidate and form corporations, monopolies, and trusts; practices of big business harmed average working man by paying low wages and forcing them to work in bad conditions; big business hurt smaller meatpacking businesses making it harder for them to compete; journalists such as Sinclair exposed corruption and greatly influenced him; actions set precedents later used by Franklin D. Roosevelt in creating New Deal; Johnson: after Civil War African Americans granted right to vote, given citizenship, and freed through 14th and 15th amendments which were not enforced after Radical Reconstruction; poll taxes, literacy tests, and grandfather clause prevented blacks from voting while Jim Crow laws and Plessy v. Ferguson legalized segregation and encouraged discrimination; African American leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr. and Malcolm X involved in civil rights movement of 1950s and 1960s)
• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: protected American consumers from corruption in big business; Pure Food and Drug Act forced companies to provide truth about products on labels; Johnson: protected African Americans from discriminatory acts during mid-1900s)
• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The discussion of historical circumstances surrounding these presidential actions uses good outside information, broadening the narrower concepts presented in the documents. The discussion of impact for both presidential actions includes important concepts which would have been strengthened by additional supporting facts and details.
Throughout history American society and the United States have been greatly impacted by the actions of U.S. presidents. Both Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy, and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection influenced American society.

The Federal Government of the late 1800’s and early 1900s followed a policy of laissez-faire which allowed factory owners to run their industries how they wanted with little to no regulations. This lead to many factories to disregard sanitation procedures when making their food products. For many years people had concerns about what was in the food they were eating but were kept unaware of what was actually going on within the meat packing industries. One muckraker, Upton Sinclair used his novel The Jungle to expose the horrors of the unsanitary conditions within the meat packing industry. Illustrated in his book was the horrors of the workers job, and the sickening things done to shipped out food. As portrayed in the commission’s report in Document 4a, bits of rope, pigskin, leathery, dry and unfit to be eaten meat were all being poured into a container and called “potted ham.”

As a result of the commission’s report, as illustrated in document 4b, Roosevelt began investigating. Through investigation Roosevelt found that actions needed to be taken to better protect the sanitation of American’s foods.

The exposure of wrongdoing and carelessness in meat packing plants could only mean other food industries would have similar problems. This led to the passage of regulations that food industries would have to obey. Some of these measures include the Meat Inspection Act, the Pure Food and Drug act, and later regulations such as the Wholesome Meat Act, and the Poultry products Act as displayed in document 6.
The impact of these acts as emphasized in document 5 prevented the sale of mis-branded and harmful products. By passing these laws Americans were ensured that what was written on the package is what they were actually consuming. Roosevelt’s actions against the factories unsanitary conditions still impact the lives of Americans today as well. The regulations still require inspections, and a record of ingredients. As portrayed in documents 4 and 6 what was being put into the food was harmful to the Americans health. After the regulations were created eating foods from some industries became much less harmful to their health.

In addition Andrew Jacksons Indian Removal policy impacted the lives of Americans. As a result of the invention of the cotton gin by Eli Whitney, the process of separating seeds from cotton fibers became easier. This led to the Southern plantation owners to want more land. “Coton [was] king” in the South, and Plantations required lots of land. In the Southeast tensions between Indians and whites concerning land had risen. Cherokee Indians living in Georgia had come to the Supreme court using American ideas of government. Andrew Jackson ignored the Supreme Courts decision and sided with the state of Georgia and called for the Indian Removal act to be enforced. As portrayed in document 3a the Cherokees and others were to be forcibly removed from their homes and moved farther west along the Trail of Tears.

This removal greatly impacted both the Americans and the Indians. Southern plantation owners were able to move further out west to obtain more land for cotton growing to help their prosperity and the nation’s. In addition as portrayed in document 2 states such as Alabama and
Mississippi were able to become more prosperous and were able to grow. With more cotton plantations there would be more slaves and eventually more slave states. However as portrayed in document 3b when Indians found their new homes west they were still not safe from American influence. People who wanted this land would force the Indians to move from their lands again and again. Jackson hoped there would be less collisions between general and state governments as a result of the Indians moving west. Unfortunately there were more collisions between the general government and the Indians since Jackson's removal influenced more American people to move out westward to acquire land. More settlers meant more soldiers to protect them and many years of Indian wars in the West. Therefore, the decisions of a president can greatly impact the American society.
The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task with little depth for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy.
- Is more descriptive than analytical (Roosevelt: many factories disregarded sanitation procedures when making food products; people kept unaware of what was actually going on within meatpacking industries; wrongdoing and carelessness in meatpacking plants could only mean other food industries would have similar problems; laws ensured Americans that what was written on package was what they were consuming; regulations still require inspections and a record of ingredients; Jackson: cotton gin led southern plantation owners to want more land; Cherokees and others forcibly removed from homes and moved further west along Trail of Tears; Southern plantation owners able to move further west to obtain more land for cotton growing which helped prosperity; Indians in west still not safe; more collisions between the general government and the Indians since Jackson’s removal influenced more American people to move westward).
- Incorporates some relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Roosevelt: federal government of late 1800s and early 1900s followed policy of laissez-faire; muckraker Sinclair used his novel The Jungle to expose horrors of unsanitary conditions in meatpacking industry; Jackson: process of separating seeds from cotton fibers became easier; “Cotton was King” in South and plantations required lots of land; Cherokee Indians living in Georgia had gone to Supreme Court using American ideas of government; Jackson ignored Supreme Court decision and sided with Georgia; with more cotton plantations, there were more slaves and eventually more slave states; more settlers meant more soldiers to protect them and many years of Indian Wars in West).
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: Meat Inspection Act; Pure Food and Drug Act; Wholesome Meat Act and Poultry Products Act; Acts prevented sale of misbranded and harmful products; Jackson: Eli Whitney; called for Indian Removal Act to be enforced).
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction that is a restatement of the theme and a brief conclusion.

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. Document information frames the treatment of Roosevelt. Additional facts and details about the legislation would have strengthened the discussion. Document interpretation combined with outside historical information demonstrates a thoughtful appraisal of the Indian removal policy.
Throughout history, America has had to deal with a plethora of issues, both economic and social. Strong presidents have been able to eliminate or reduce the effects of some of these issues by instituting policies of reform. Presidents Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson are both strong leaders who were able to better the country based on their actions towards reform. Roosevelt worked to improve the meatpacking industry, while Johnson worked to improve civil rights for minorities within the country.

President Theodore Roosevelt is very well-known and respected for his many reforms to improve American industry. Prior to his presidency, the meatpacking industry and other food and drug industries were providing American people with products that were potentially bad for their health. Consumers were at the mercy of businesses, who were not acting responsibly. Roosevelt was able to recognize the importance of investigating these issues and worked to fix them. As depicted in The Jungle by Upton Sinclair, meatpacking was vile, unsanitary, repulsive and dangerous. The disclosure of these practices led Roosevelt to institute the Meat Inspection Act, almost immediately after reading the book and getting his commission’s report. It became public knowledge that disgusting practices and filth were ruining meat products. When the American people read this information in magazines and books they wanted the government to do something about the situation (Doc 4a). As depicted in the cartoon (Doc 4b), Roosevelt’s efforts to reform this putrid industry and help consumers was “nauseating” work, but its results were important for the health of the nation. It would also lead to more protections for consumers. He also helped pass the Pure Food and Drug Act to ensure that consumers were
protected on various spectrums of the food and drug industry (Doc 5). Prior to this Act, companies could falsely label products and hide the fact that poisonous or addictive ingredients were present. There are reports of cocaine being present in medicine for children before these reforms were made! Obviously, Roosevelt improved the industry for the better and led to other presidents doing the same to help American society be safe.

President Lyndon Johnson was another great figure who worked to reform in order to help America. He dealt with civil rights issues and improving conditions for African Americans. For many years before Johnson’s presidency, many blacks were segregated because of the Jim Crow Laws that were mostly prevalent in the South. Even when segregated institutions such as public schools were declared unconstitutional, there was still social unrest in places such as Little Rock, Arkansas and blacks continued to not be treated fairly. Many black people could not vote because taxes were put on polls and they couldn’t afford it. Literacy tests kept other blacks from voting. Johnson attempted to put all of this to a stop. First he signed the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to ensure that blacks got equal protection under the Constitutional law that he strongly supported (Doc 8). Even though civil rights legislation and the 14th amendment had been passed prior, it had not been enough to ensure justice. This new act helped to virtually end established forms of segregation (Doc 9a). Also, many more blacks were able to participate in politics following Johnson’s voting reforms that ended discrimination at the polls (Doc 9b). All of his work towards civil rights continued to benefit not only blacks but women and other groups in the country.

Both presidents – Roosevelt and Johnson – were strong politicians
who worked to better our country. Their reforms during times of crisis are what helped shape the nation to be the great place that it is today.

Anchor Level 3-C

The response:

- Develops the historical circumstances for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights in some depth, but only mentions the impact of both presidents’ actions.
- Is more descriptive than analytical (Roosevelt: well-known and respected for many reforms to improve American industry; meatpacking industry and other food and drug industries providing people with products potentially bad for their health; able to recognize importance of investigating issues and worked to fix them; disclosure of meatpacking practices led him to institute Meat Inspection Act almost immediately after reading book and getting his commission’s report; became public knowledge that disgusting practices and filth were ruining meat products; efforts to reform meatpacking industry and help consumers “nauseating work” but results were important for health of nation; Pure Food and Drug Act ensured consumers protected on various spectrums of food and drug industry; companies could falsely label products and hide presence of poisonous or addictive ingredients; work led to other presidents working to help American society be safe; Johnson: signed Civil Rights Act of 1964 to ensure blacks got equal protection under constitutional law; voting reforms ended discrimination at polls; work towards civil rights continued to benefit not only blacks but women and other groups).
- Incorporates some relevant information from documents 4, 5, 8, and 9.
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Roosevelt: as depicted in The Jungle by Sinclair, meatpacking was vile, unsanitary, repulsive, and dangerous; reports of cocaine being present in medicine for children before reforms made; Johnson: many blacks segregated because of Jim Crow laws; even when segregated institutions were declared unconstitutional, there was still social unrest in places such as Little Rock, Arkansas; many blacks could not vote because they could not afford poll taxes; literacy tests kept blacks from voting; civil rights legislation and 14th amendment were not enough to ensure justice).
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: led to more protections for consumers; helped pass Pure Food and Drug Act; Johnson: worked to improve civil rights for minorities; more blacks able to participate in politics following his voting reforms).
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that state strong presidents have been able to improve our country by instituting policies of reform.

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. Relevant outside information establishes a historical context for the circumstances surrounding Johnson’s action. The discussion of Roosevelt’s action is more document-driven. However, the impact for both presidential actions is cursory and lacks supporting facts and details.
Many presidents throughout the history of the United States have taken action and created laws that would help better the lives of American people and American society. Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon B. Johnson’s support for civil rights are two very important instances in which there were significant impacts on the United States.

Theodore Roosevelt appointed a commission to investigate many meat packing industries. After Upton Sinclair’s publishing of his book “The Jungle”, which revealed the disgusting practices of meat packing plants, the whole country was disgusted and apauled. No one had known that these horrible things had been going on. Roosevelt’s commission found that in many well-known establishments, meat was being shoveled into barrels along with stale scraps that had been laying on the dirty floor for several days. Also, in other establishments, the meat was unfit to be eaten. It was dry, leathery, pieces of pig skin were found in it, rope strands, and other unsanitary items. After discovering all of these facts, Roosevelt felt the need to step in and help change these disgusting practices. Soon enough, The Pure Food and Drug Act was passed through congress. The Act promised that no food would be adulterated or misbranded. This included food, drugs, medicine, and liquor. Because of this act, all foods were to be pure and safe to eat or they could not be sold. Companies could not print lies about what was contained in their products. Also, after Roosevelt’s presidency, Lyndon B. Johnson decided to take action and continue Roosevelt’s plan.

Lyndon B. Johnson also had support for civil rights in the United States. Up to this point, African Americans were still facing segregation...
and inequality. They were not able to enjoy the privileges of others solely because of the color of their skin. African Americans could not eat lunch at white establishments, could not send their kids to public schools, couldn’t vote, and couldn’t even sit near whites on a school bus. Because of this, people knew it was time to change. In Lyndon Johnson’s speech before he signed the Civil Rights Act of 1964, he said that all men were created equal, but were denied equal treatment. He said that this cannot continue any longer because it goes against the Constitution, the Republic, and morality. He signed the Civil Rights Act in hope that this prejudice and inequality would stop. The Act allowed for the desegregation of schools. After the Voting Rights Act was passed in 1965, blacks were beginning to vote in big numbers and were soon being admitted into several delegations. More than 2,000 blacks held office in 1977. Because of the help of Lyndon Johnson, African Americans were getting the freedom, liberty, justice, and equality that they deserved. Throughout the history of the United States, presidents took initiative to help people.
Anchor Level 2-A

The response:
- Minimally develops all aspects of the task
- Is primarily descriptive (*Roosevelt*: commission found meat being shoveled into barrels with stale scraps; meat unfit to be eaten; Act said foods were to be pure and safe to eat or could not be sold; companies could not print lies about what was contained in their products; *Johnson* continued Roosevelt’s plan; *Johnson*: African Americans still facing segregation and inequality; African Americans could not eat lunch at white establishments; signed Act in hope that prejudice and inequality would stop; Civil Rights Act allowed for desegregation of schools; helped African Americans get freedom, liberty, justice, and equality they deserved); includes faulty and weak application (*Roosevelt*: whole country disgusted and appalled; no one had known these horrible things had been going on)
- Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Presents very little relevant outside information (*Roosevelt*: Sinclair published *The Jungle* which revealed disgusting practices of meatpacking plants)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Roosevelt*: Pure Food and Drug Act passed by Congress; *Johnson*: after Voting Rights Act, blacks began to vote in big numbers); includes some inaccuracies (*Johnson*: African Americans could not send their kids to public schools, African Americans could not vote)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that is a restatement of the theme and a brief conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Relevant document information generally frames the response. Although a basic understanding of the task is demonstrated, some information from document 7 is overstated. The overall discussion lacks supporting facts and details.
Many presidents have made courageous decisions which led to an important impact on the United States and its society. For example, Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s fight for civil rights both resulted in new laws promoting change.

Before Teddy Roosevelt’s consumer protection laws, producers would not care how the consumer’s food was made. According to Document 4A, meat would be mixed in with “stale scraps that had lain on a dirty floor.” The meat was “dry, leathery and unfit to be eaten.” These terms provide a negative view of food from the late 19th century and early 20th century, which led Roosevelt to pass legislation to fix the problem.

Document 5 displays an advertisement which prevented the sale of “mis-branded or poisonous” food. It guaranteed that the food sold would be healthy. In Document 6, Lyndon Johnson describes his content to be expanding on Roosevelt’s consumer protection laws. This portrays the influence Roosevelt had on the nation because he set a precedent of protecting consumer goods and Johnson included it in his Great Society.

After consumer protection was enforced, the country still had to face problems regarding civil rights. Segregation still existed in the United States, after being established in Plessy v. Ferguson in 1896.

Document 7 states different situations which stripped blacks of their civil liberties such as being unable to eat at public restaurants and not being allowed to attend certain schools. Events in Birmingham also caused the civil rights movement to occur. Document 8 also describes how Americans of every color fought to protect US freedom, yet aren’t given civil rights. Racism continued as de facto segregation because of the custom of separating blacks from whites. Johnson’s actions
towards civil rights ended public segregation and gave blacks an equal opportunity at acquiring certain jobs. The Civil Rights Act of 1964, which Johnson passed resulted in the desegregation of schools, as well as guaranteeing equal rights for women. It eventually served as an example in 1968 to help pass a federal law granting equal housing opportunity, as stated in Document 9A. Blacks gained even more rights with the passage of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Document 9B maintains that by 1977, over 2,000 blacks were able to hold office, when in 1965, there were only 72. Throughout Lyndon Johnson’s administration, he passed laws protecting the rights of African Americans which changed American society for the better.

Presidents have often had to make choices concerning the American people. Theodore Roosevelt’s advocacy for consumer protection and Lyndon B. Johnson’s support for the civil rights movement became revolutionary as they completely altered the way society worked. Different factors led to each president’s actions and they both caused a significant impact on American society.
The response:
- Minimally develops all aspects of the task
- Is primarily descriptive (Roosevelt: producers did not care how consumer’s food was made; sale of misbranded or poisonous food prevented; Johnson expanded on Roosevelt’s consumer protection laws; set a precedent of protecting consumer goods; Johnson: blacks unable to eat at public restaurants and not allowed to attend certain schools; Americans of every color fought to protect United States freedom; ended public segregation and gave blacks equal opportunity at acquiring certain jobs; Civil Rights Act served as example to help pass a federal law granting equal housing opportunities); includes faulty and weak application (Johnson: segregation still existed in the United States after being established in Plessy v. Ferguson in 1896)
- Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Presents little relevant outside information (Roosevelt: Johnson included protection of consumer goods in his Great Society; Johnson: racism continued as de facto segregation because of custom of separating blacks from whites)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: stale scraps on dirty floor; Johnson: Birmingham; equal housing opportunity; Voting Rights of 1965; by 1977, over 2,000 blacks held office); includes inaccuracies (Johnson: passed Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that restates the theme and a conclusion that includes an overgeneralization

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Document information is strung together as the basis of the discussion, demonstrating a basic understanding of the task. Connecting the precedent set by Roosevelt to Johnson’s Great Society and the reference to de facto segregation are relevant but lack explanation. Additional details would have strengthened the effort.
Past presidential decisions have had a huge impact on the American people. Andrew Jackson’s decision to relocate the Native Americans changed the demographics of the United States and created conflicts, and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection reformed the food industry and allowed for a healthier America.

Andrew Jackson made many controversial decisions during his presidency, including the Indian Removal Act. Jackson was a supporter of the common man, and worked hard to make his decisions in favor of them. So, when the cotton gin was invented and farmers needed more land, Jackson gave it to them, forcefully moving the Native Americans in the process (Doc 1). Not only was this morally wrong, it also went against the Supreme Court, which declared it unconstitutional.

The effects of this act were tremendous. For example, as seen in Doc. 3a, Native Americans from all over were relocated into one area, resulting in a huge demographic change. And, although this relocation was meant to lessen tensions and conflicts between white settlers and Native Americans, it actually led to more (Doc 3b).

In addition to Jackson’s decisions, Theodore Roosevelt’s decisions also had a huge impact on American society. During Roosevelt’s presidency, a movement, called the Progressive Movement, began. Progressives were people who worked to bring about positive social and economic change. Roosevelt was a great Progressive, and during his presidency worked for consolidation of trusts, conservation, and consumer protection. While working for consumer protection, the Pure Food and Drug Act was passed (Doc 5).

Acts such as the Pure Food and Drug Act brought about significant social reform because it promised families cleaner, healthier products.
Before, many meats in factories were unsuitable to sell and eat (Doc 4a). With Roosevelt’s help, this was changed.

Anchor Level 2-C

The response:
- Minimally develops all aspects of the task
- Is primarily descriptive (Jackson: decision to relocate Native Americans changed demographics of United States and created conflicts; when cotton gin invented and farmers needed more land, Native Americans forcefully moved; relocation meant to lessen tensions and conflicts between white settlers and Native Americans but actually led to more; Roosevelt: support for consumer protection reformed food industry and allowed for a healthier America; Pure Food and Drug Act promised families cleaner, healthier products; many meats in factories were unsuitable to sell and eat); includes faulty and weak application (Roosevelt: worked for consolidation of trusts)
- Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 1, 3, 4, and 5
- Presents little relevant outside information (Jackson: supporter of common man and worked hard to make decisions in favor of them; Roosevelt: Progressive movement worked to bring about positive social and economic change)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Jackson: Indian Removal Act; Roosevelt: Pure Food and Drug Act passed); includes an inaccuracy (Jackson: decision went against Supreme Court, which declared it unconstitutional)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that is beyond a restatement of the theme and lacks a conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. The discussion of historical circumstances surrounding the actions of Jackson and Roosevelt is based on the use of document information supported by a few unexplained references to relevant outside information. While good conclusions are made about the impact of both presidents’ actions, additional supporting facts and details would have strengthened that effort.
Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson both made very good decisions that benefitted the citizens of the United States. Both contributing to the advancements of the U.S., they bettered the quality of life for future generations.

About one hundred years ago Theodore Roosevelt gave the American people security in knowing that American-made food is safe to eat. Before Theodore Roosevelt intervened, there were very unclean conditions. One of President Roosevelt's commissioners noted that in one factory there were "Stale scraps lain of a dirty floor in the corner of a room" (Doc 4a). He also commented that some of the meat was dry, leathery, and unfit to be eaten. The first law to be passed was the Pure Food and Drug Act which gave the food industries certain rules to follow (Doc 5). Later in 1957, the Wholesome Meat Act was passed, which would make sure that meat wouldn't be dirty, harmful, or diseased (Doc 6).

Lyndon Johnson made his contributions by supporting the Civil Rights Movement. Before this, Blacks were fighting for equal rights. They wanted voting rights, equal job opportunity, and no more segregation. Women also felt entitled to certain rights. In 1964, President Johnson signed the Civil Rights Act. This ended segregation, gave everyone the right to vote, and equal job and housing opportunity.

Both presidents changed our country into what it is now. They helped our nation become a clean and fair nation. Their acts of change is evidence that we will never stop bettering our country.
The response:

- Minimally addresses all aspects of the task
- Is descriptive (*Roosevelt*: gave American people security in knowing that Americans made food safe to eat; *Pure Food and Drug Act* gave food industries certain rules to follow; *Wholesome Meat Act* to make sure meat not dirty, harmful, or diseased; *Johnson*: blacks were fighting for equal rights; wanted voting rights, equal job opportunity, and no more segregation; *Civil Rights Act of 1964* ended segregation); lacks understanding and application (*Johnson*: Act gave everyone the right to vote, and equal job and housing opportunities)
- Includes minimal information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Roosevelt*: unclean conditions; commissioners; stale scraps; meat unfit to be eaten; *Johnson*: supported civil rights movement; *Civil Rights Act in 1964*); includes an inaccuracy (*Johnson*: *Wholesome Meat Act* passed in 1957)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that restate the theme

**Conclusion:** Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. Information selected from documents results in simple but accurate conclusions that demonstrate a basic knowledge about President Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection. The overall brevity, generalizations, and lack of development indicate a superficial understanding of President Johnson’s impact on civil rights.
Presidents have taken actions that have had a significant impact on the United States and American society, throughout the United States history. Three actions were Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights, Andrew Jackson’s Indian removal policy and Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection.

One action was President Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection. One reason he supported consumer protection laws was because he wanted to make sure that no one was being sold any nasty or poisoness meat. Also the pure food and drug act protected consumers by preventing the manufacture sale and transportation of adulterated or mis-branded or poisonous or deleterious foods drugs, medicines, liquors and for regulating traffic therein for other purposes (documents 4b and 5).

Another action was Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights. One way he supported it was because he felt that everyone should have the same equal rights because everyone fought for the same freedom (doc 8). The Civil Rights Act of 1964 had effects on things. Two examples are how it ended all forms of public segregation in the nation, south and north. Another example is how it empowered the attorney general of the United States general to sue for desegregation and unequal rights for blacks (doc. 9a).

Presidents have taken actions that have had a significant impact on the United States and American society, throughout the United States history.
The response:
- Minimally addresses some aspects of the task
- Is descriptive (Roosevelt: wanted to make sure no one was being sold any nasty or poisonous meat; Pure Food and Drug Act protected consumers by preventing manufacture, sale, and transportation of adulterated, misbranded, poisonous, or deleterious foods, drugs, medicines, and liquors; Johnson: felt everyone should have same equal rights because everyone fought for same freedom)
- Includes minimal information from documents 4, 5, 8, and 9
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: supported consumer protection laws; Johnson: Civil Rights Act ended all forms of public segregation in nation); includes an inaccuracy (Johnson: Attorney General of United States empowered to sue for unequal rights for blacks)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. The response lacks any mention of the historical circumstances surrounding the presidents’ actions. Simplistic statements using document information indicate a limited understanding of the impact of the actions of both Roosevelt and Johnson.
All throughout history there have been actions set in place by the president that have had a significant impact on the United States as a whole. Some of these actions include Andrew Jackson’s Indian Removal Policy and Lyndon B. Johnson’s support for African American Civil Rights. Through analysis of these actions, it can easily be stated that these actions have greatly positively affected the United States through their use of regulation Acts and support.

Although commonly criticized, Andrew Jackson’s “Indian Removal” Act held much support and actually reaped many benefits. As stated in the novel “The Earth Shall Weep”, the Southern Indians were considered “vulnerable” by many. The Indians had been occupying land that would be of great use to the Southern Whites. At the height of the cotton trade, farmers began seeking more & more rich, fertile land that of which the Indians controlled. Once cleared, the land became “plantation owned”. However, the United States didn’t just disregard the Indian’s feelings altogether. As stated in “President Andrew Jackson’s 2nd annual message to congress”, the removal/relocating of the Indians would benefit not only the U.S but the Indians as well. It would strengthen the Southern States, allowing them to grow and prosper quicker while also allowing the Indians the independence from the United States to do their own thing. The act was mutually beneficial, having a great effect on the country as a whole.

Another great presidential action that helped benefit the country was president Lyndon Johnson’s support for “Civil Rights” of the African Americans. As stated in “John F Kennedy’s Radio & Television to the American People” he was fully aware of the inequalities African Americans faced on a daily basis and was completely against them.
stating how Equal opportunities should be provided to all man. As stated in “Lyndon B Johnson’s Radio & Television report to the American People,” he states, in the Constitution it states how all man is equal and that we all deserve the “Blessings of Liberty”. Yet he was also aware that many were still denied these basic liberties. Thus the signing of the Civil Rights Act, assuring African Americans with equality. This act went on to be very beneficial. As stated in “A People’s History of the United States”, African Americans began using their new opportunities to get ahead in the world. Over 2 thousand African Americans held office in over 11 different Southern states, giving more power to them than ever before, thus having a large impact on the United States.

Throughout all of history, Presidential actions and movements have had a very large impact on the country. Some of these movements include Andrew Jackson’s Indian Removal Policy & Lyndon Johnson’s support and signing of the “Civil Rights Act.” Both these movements held very large positive impacts on the country.
Throughout American History, Presidents have made decisions and committed actions that changed the course of the United States and its people, for better or for worse. In the case of two of these Presidents, Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon B. Johnson, the change was decidedly for the better for the American people. Roosevelt’s push for consumer protections greatly increased the quality of American foodstuffs, and forced industry to be accountable for its actions and products and their effects on people. Johnson’s unequaled push for Civil rights helped bring an end to Jim Crow and the era of legal segregation, and helped guarantee that the promises of the Constitution would at last be fulfilled.

Concerns about the health of cattle being slaughtered led to the passage of weak inspection laws in the 1890s. Roosevelt’s campaign for consumer protection laws began not with him, but with investigative journalists who exposed the nauseating practices of the meat industry: such journalists, known collectively as “muckrakers”, saw it as their duty not just to expose to public eyes meat packing abuses but also the corruption of big business in general and they resoundingly succeeded. Roosevelt responded to what some said was sensational reporting in “The Jungle” by appointing a commission in 1906 to investigate the plants with federal authority: what they found was that vast quantities of what was labeled “meat” was “stale scraps…dry, leathery, and unable to be eaten…pieces of pigskin, and even some bits of rope and other rubbish.” [Doc 4a]. The earlier meat inspection laws were clearly not working to protect the consumer and the meat packing companies didn’t seem to care as long as they were making big profits. Politically Roosevelt and Congress decided to move quickly on this
issue because the public was not happy. By June of 1906 Congress had approved the Meat Inspection Act and the Pure Food and Drug Act, which was intended “for preventing the manufacture, sale, and transportation of…poisonous or deleterious food, drugs... and for regulating traffic therein...” [Doc 5]. These acts were a resounding success of legislative power. A grateful American public even saw Roosevelt as a “muckraker” himself: a 1906 cartoon showed him bravely sifting through the revolting, disease-filled pit of the meat scandal [Doc 4b]. Prior to this action, the era of rampant industrialism during the Industrial Revolution had allowed business and industry to largely get their own way; now, however, Roosevelt had made a firm stand for government being on the side of the public. Roosevelt used his presidential power to stand up for the people. He set an example for later presidents to work with Congress and expand inspections and create higher standards for poultry. Food safety has become a major responsibility of the federal government.

Lyndon Johnson was one of the most adamant supporters of Civil Rights. Granted, he could hardly have afforded not to be; as the decade of the 60s opened and the conservatism of the 1950s had waned from its height, minorities were taking advantage of a growing liberal power base: the baby-boom generation, now in their young adult years, the time when people (particularly college-educated people) are often at their most liberal. Encouraged by the successes of the Montgomery bus boycott and the Brown v. Board of Education decision the Civil Rights movement took off in the 1960s. Many different methods were used by Civil Rights organizers to fight “separate but equal.” Young activists, black and white, took part in the Freedom Rides and marched at Selma
for voting rights. The presidency of Kennedy had been marked by a rampant rise in angry protests, prompting Kennedy to exclaim in 1963: “The events in Birmingham [the site of a major protest] and elsewhere have so increased cries for equality that no city or State or legislative body can prudently chose to ignore them” [Doc 7]. Believing Civil rights to be a moral issue Kennedy finally committed himself to push for congressional efforts to promote equality. Johnson himself had barely entered office when he fulfilled that commitment and signed the Civil Rights Act of 1964, proclaiming over the TV and radio as he did: “We believe that all men are created equal. Yet many are denied equal treatment...But it cannot continue” [Doc 8]. The Civil Rights Act was written to end official segregation in America and the Voting Rights Act was written to make it easier for blacks to register and vote. These are widely seen as two of the landmark pieces of legislation in American Civil rights history. Unfortunately Civil rights concerns are still with us. De facto segregation continues and minority groups complain about being denied equal protection by the police and other officials. Some women and African Americans are still not treated equally in the work place. Although this legislation has been vital for Civil rights, it is difficult to change people’s attitudes completely. It is clear, therefore, that the actions of our Presidents have had outstanding impact on American society, as is shown in Roosevelt’s campaign to protect the public from the greed of industry, and Johnson’s campaign to create in that same public true equality for all.
Throughout United States history, every president has made decisions that have greatly impacted the country in one way or another. Whether those actions are good or bad is left up to interpretation. Two presidents who took significant actions to better the country, Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon B. Johnson. With Roosevelt’s attack on consumer product quality and Johnson’s efforts to further civil rights, the country was deeply impacted.

In the early 20th century, many progressive ideas and people arose in the U.S. in response to muckraking writers who exposed problems caused by the growth of industry. One of those people was Upton Sinclair who exposed the faults of the meat packing industry and the terrible conditions in which animals were slaughtered and processed for sale. Because of Sinclair, Roosevelt decided to investigate the companies as well (4a). What they found, after inspection and investigation, was disgusting and horrifying similar to Sinclair’s descriptions in his novel. The shocking information in Sinclair’s book and in the investigating committee’s report angered the meat packers. However it forced Roosevelt to take matters into his own hands and use his political muscle to get Congress to support reform measures. After the Meat Inspection Act was passed, Congress decided to pass the Pure Food and Drug Act in 1906 to regulate the food and drug industries. Before this act, companies could put almost anything they wanted onto their product labels without it being true. Now, after the act, they had to put everything that was, truthfully, in their products on the labels (5). This action taken by Roosevelt would impact the United States for years to come. In 1968 Lyndon B. Johnson actually decided to add to the Meat Inspection Act passed over sixty years prior (6).
This new act now took all of the parts of the old one, but now applied them to poultry products as well. Theodore Roosevelt’s consumer protection was brought about by the muckrakers of the time and impacted the United States and has now gone way beyond food and drugs. Federal, state, and local governments have set up consumer protection agencies to investigate complaints about fraud and deception, such as credit card and loan scams.

Lyndon B. Johnson was a big advocate of civil rights during his presidency. Ever since the Civil War ended, segregation and other Jim Crow laws had been prevalent, mostly in the South. Although African Americas were granted the right to vote, many of them were not able to due to state laws (7). Johnson recognized this problem and decided to do something about it. In 1964 he signed into law the Civil Rights Act (8). He did this because he saw the unfair treatment African Americans were facing and did not agree with it. He said that Africans Americas have fought and died to protect this country so they should have every right that everyone else has. They fought for the Union during the Civil War and for democratic values during World Wars I and II. It was time for African American equality.

One major thing that this act did was end segregation in virtually all public places both in the North and South (9a). Before this some areas became unsegregated like busses due to the Montgomery Bus Boycott of 1955 and also schools due to the Supreme Court case Brown vs. Board of Education in 1954; but now all public places were forced to integrate. Johnson also did one other major thing while president. He passed the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (9b). This act ended literacy tests and poll taxes on voting in the South. Before the tests and taxes
prevented many African Americans from voting. Now they had an equal opportunity to vote, just like the Whites. Lyndon B. Johnson’s action affected the United States by granted civil rights to African Americans that they should have had when the Civil War ended. More African Americans are running for office and in 2008 the United States elected its first African American president.

Both Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon B. Johnson took monumental actions to better the country they were the president of. Roosevelt left his mark on the consumer protection industry with his Pure Food & Drug Act. Johnson allowed huge milestones to happen in the world of civil rights with his Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Voting Rights Act of 1965. They took situations that hurt the United States before them and turned it around to help.
In the twentieth century, various issues existed in America that hampered its citizens' quality of life. For instance, unsanitary and disease-ridden meat was consumed and the African-American population was still being oppressed. President Theodore Roosevelt and President Lyndon Johnson, respectively, addressed these issues, thus improving American society.

By the early twentieth century the unsanitary conditions of the meatpacking industry had been long established but were still mostly unknown to the American public. At this time, however, various journalists known as muckrakers began to investigate and publish findings of the seedy underside of society. Upton Sinclair is the greatest known muckracker of the meatpacking industry, and his novel The Jungle surprised and disgusted many Americans, with descriptions of various animal parts being packaged and meat laying on dirty floors, including President Theodore Roosevelt. He was appalled that the health of Americans had been in jeopardy, yet little was known or done about the issue. As a result, he set up a commission to inspect meat packing establishments, who also discovered horrid conditions. This evidence convinced Roosevelt that the government had to step in and make sure the meatpackers cleaned up their meat and their plants. The government inspection of meat forced the offenders to amend their practices [Document 4a]. Furthermore, he passed the Pure Food and Drug Act to ensure that food was unadulterated and labeled truthfully [Document 5]. What resulted was the availability of healthier food without dangerous additives for Americans to consume with peace of mind that their food was safe to eat. Their peace of mind was reinforced in the years since with poultry inspections and stricter sanitation.
rules for meat packing plants. [Document 6]

In the 1960s, African-Americans were oppressed and often poverty stricken because of the various laws passed to discourage their advancement in society. These included Jim Crow laws to segregate them as well as poll taxes and literacy tests to prevent them from voting. Even though the Supreme Court ruled that schools had to be desegregated, many schools refused. In some southern states there were few blacks who voted in national elections. Presidents had paid little attention to these issues, but Lyndon Johnson made guaranteeing citizenship rights and improving the lives of blacks a high priority. To combat remaining Jim Crow laws, he worked hard to get Congress to pass the Civil Rights Act, outlawing segregation in public facilities and enforcing school desegregation policies [Document 9a]. To addressed African American disenfranchisement, he passed the Voting Rights Act outlawing literacy tests in voting (the poll tax was eliminated with a constitutional amendment). As a result, African Americans began to have a voice in politics. They voted for people that represented them and had their best interests in mind, namely other African Americans [Document 9b] and the vote gave them power to change their conditions. For the first time, blacks began voting in the South on mass and by the end of the 1960s a significant number of African Americans had been elected to office and were moving into the middle class. Overall the policies of President Johnson improved the lives of African Americans.

Both President Johnson and President Roosevelt observed an issue facing the American public and took action to help eliminate that issue. Roosevelt wanted to protect the health of Americans by making the meat
packing industry safer and sanitary. Johnson believed that African Americans deserved equal treatment and signed laws to end the many barriers they faced. The result of these actions was an improved society, healthier consumers, and a happier African American population.
Throughout the history of the United States, a number of presidents have led the nation through eras of social progress. Theodore Roosevelt led the way for American consumer products to be safe for consumption and making the country a healthier place to live in. Lyndon B. Johnson expanded the civil rights of African Americans and other minorities during his tenure in office.

President Theodore Roosevelt held office from 1901 to 1909, and was considered the first Progressive president because he agreed the time had come for federal regulation of business. The Progressives were reformers who eventually organized a political party with the desire to fix American society at its core. Roosevelt was known to be a “trust buster” who distinguished between “good” and “bad” trusts. His efforts to halt corruption in business were helped when the Supreme court ruled against the Northern Securities Company. Consumer protection was another primary theme of his presidency. Businesses that took advantage of consumers would not be tolerated. Throughout the Gilded Age American government had a mostly laissez-faire attitude towards big business and developed few restrictions on them. Due to this lack of restriction, magazines like the Locomotive Fireman’s Magazine published the findings of the commission that was appointed by Theodore Roosevelt in reaction to muckraker Upton Sinclair’s book, The Jungle. Sinclair’s book included descriptions of the horrors of the meat-packing industry. The president’s commission saw fresh meat being packed with rank old meat. They saw cooked meat on the same tables as pigskin, rope and other assortments of garbage. Reports like these which supported Sinclair’s work incited public outrage and caused President Roosevelt to sign into law the Meat Inspection Act. Even though meat-packers
originally opposed the legislation the public demanded it. Next he signed into law the Pure Food and Drug Act. This law created the Food and Drug Administration, a government agency dedicated to upholding sanitary conditions for producers of food and banning dangerous additives to patented medicines. Some businesses like Postum Cereal claimed they were already on par with the new laws about truthful labeling in order to preserve their consumer base but many businesses were not. The American people continued to demand higher standards for the production of food and drugs. The fight to achieve those demands would extend into the 1960s when Lyndon B. Johnson signed into law the Wholesome Meat Act of 1967, which extended Roosevelt’s efforts to clean up America’s food. Johnson expanded Roosevelt’s efforts beyond livestock and red meat to poultry when he signed the Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968. The Food and Drug Administration also took on more responsibility for the safety of our food supply and for extensive testing of new drugs before they could be sold. In the 1960s interest in consumer protection would expand into areas such as automobile safety led by Ralph Nader. President Theodore Roosevelt led America into a better future by responding to society’s demands for more government regulation to keep them safe.

Following the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, Lyndon B. Johnson took office. Johnson had a plethora of foreign and domestic issues to deal with including: the escalation of the Vietnam War, increasing opposition to the Vietnam War as well, and a continuing and aggressive demand for civil rights for African-Americans. During the 1960s various groups using different methods and strategies affected presidents and their thinking about civil rights.
Groups like the NAACP and SCLC followed Martin Luther King Jr's ideals of non-violence in order to obtain their civil rights goals. They staged acts of civil disobedience like sit-ins to call attention to injustices of segregation and gain the support from blacks and whites alike. After James Meredith's experience at the University of Mississippi, the protests in Birmingham, and Medgar Evars' death, President Kennedy believed the time had come for the nation to fulfill the promise of the 14th and 15th amendments. He stated the importance of granting long overdue civil liberties in his Radio and Television Report to the American People on Civil Rights. President Johnson continued to lobby Congress and the American public for civil liberties promised to African-Americans after the Civil War. His leadership led to the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 on the grounds that Thomas Jefferson's "all men are created equal" should mean equal rights especially in a democratic nation governed by the United States Constitution. This act ended virtually all forms of public segregation, provided more equal employment opportunities and more equal education opportunities by further desegregating schools. This helped to fulfill the promise of the 14th amendment. Johnson extended minority rights with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 which helped fulfill the promise of the 15th amendment. It enfranchised African-Americans by ending literacy tests which allowed for an increase in the number of blacks as voters and public office holders. Despite these gains discrimination and economic inequality could not be eliminated. However Lyndon B. Johnson helped guide our country through his actions into a more equal nation for all races.

Although the fight for their goals were not finished in their
Document-Based Essay—Practice Paper – E

Presidencies, Johnson and Roosevelt started two major movements for the development of our nation. Roosevelt’s fight for clean food was extended by others into the 1960s, and one could argue that the battle for equal rights for African-Americans is still not completely over. These two presidents exemplified leadership of American society through challenging times and achieved real progress.

Practice Paper A—Score Level 2

| The response:                                                                 |
| • Minimally develops all aspects of the task                                  |
| • Is primarily descriptive (Jackson: Indian Removal Act had much support and actually reaped many benefits; southern Indians considered vulnerable by many; at height of cotton trade, farmers began seeking more and more rich, fertile land; Indian removal would strengthen Southern states by allowing them to grow and prosper; Johnson: President Kennedy aware of inequalities African Americans faced on a daily basis; stated that equal opportunities should be provided to all; according to Constitution, all are equal; many still denied basic liberties); includes weak application (Jackson: allowed Indians to do their own thing) |
| • Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, and 9 |
| • Presents no relevant outside information                                   |
| • Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Jackson: Indians occupied land that would be of great use to Southern whites; Johnson: Civil Rights Act; by 1977, more than two thousand African Americans held office in eleven states); includes an inaccuracy (Jackson: The Earth Shall Weep is a novel) |
| • Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are a restatement of the theme |

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Literal interpretation of document information used in the discussion demonstrates a limited understanding of the task and a lack of historical knowledge. The attempt to draw conclusions is generally unsuccessful because of the narrow interpretation of the documents.
## The response:
- Develops all aspects of the task for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
- Is both descriptive and analytical (*Roosevelt*: forced industry to be accountable for its actions and products and their effects on people; investigative journalists exposed nauseating practices of meat industry; earlier meat inspection laws clearly not working to protect consumer; meatpacking companies did not seem to care as long as making big profits; he and Congress decided to move quickly on issue; a grateful American public saw Roosevelt as a muckraker himself; era of rampant industrialization allowed business and industry to get their own way; set an example for later presidents to work with Congress, expand inspections, and create higher standards for poultry; *Johnson*: helped guarantee that promises of Constitution would at last be fulfilled; one of most adamant supporters of civil rights; Kennedy believed civil rights a moral issue; Voting Rights Act written to make it easier for blacks to register and vote; although legislation is vital for civil rights, it is difficult to change people’s attitudes completely)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Incorporates relevant outside information (*Roosevelt*: concerns about health of cattle being slaughtered led to passage of weak inspection laws in 1890s; muckrakers saw it as their duty to expose to public eyes corruption of big business in general; responded to what some said was sensational reporting in *The Jungle*; food safety become a major responsibility of federal government; *Johnson*: helped bring end to Jim Crow and era of legal segregation; success of Montgomery bus boycott and *Brown v. Board of Education* decision brought encouragement to civil rights movement; many different methods used by civil rights organizers to fight “separate but equal”; young activists took part in Freedom Rides and marched at Selma for voting rights; presidency of Kennedy marked by rise in angry protests; de facto segregation continues; minority groups complain about being denied equal protection by police and other officials; some women and African Americans still not treated equally in workplace)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Roosevelt*: commission in 1906; Meat Inspection Act; Pure Food and Drug Act; *Johnson*: congressional efforts to promote equality; Civil Rights Act of 1964; Voting Rights Act; end of official segregation in America)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that discuss the positive impact of Roosevelt’s and Johnson’s actions

### Conclusion
Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. The response includes carefully selected information from the documents to address the task, accurately interprets it, and then integrates some good relevant outside information. The inclusion of contemporary references enhances the treatment of the impact of Roosevelt’s and Johnson’s actions.
The response:

• Develops the historical circumstances for Theodore Roosevelt and Lyndon Johnson in some depth, but the impact of both president’s actions is less developed

• Is more descriptive than analytical (Roosevelt: investigators found disgusting and horrifying conditions similar to descriptions in Sinclair’s novel; findings of investigating committee forced Roosevelt to use his political muscle to get Congress to support reform measures; before Food and Drug Act, companies could put almost anything on labels without it being true; Act meant everything that was in their products had to be on the labels; Johnson applied all parts of Meat Inspection Act to poultry; Johnson: said African Americans had fought and died to protect our country so they should have same rights as everyone else; Civil Rights Act virtually ended segregation in public places in North and South; actions granted civil rights to African Americans that they should have had when Civil War ended)

• Incorporates some relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9

• Incorporates relevant outside information (Roosevelt: progressive ideas arose in response to muckraking writers who exposed problems caused by growth of industry; Upton Sinclair exposed faults of the meatpacking industry and terrible conditions in which animals slaughtered and processed for sale; shocking information in Sinclair’s book and in investigating committee’s report angered meatpackers; federal, state, and local governments have set up consumer protection agencies to investigate complaints about fraud and deception, such as credit card and loan scams; Johnson: since Civil War ended, segregation and other Jim Crow laws had been prevalent mostly in South; although African Americans granted right to vote, many not able to vote because of state and local laws; literacy tests prevented many African Americans from voting; in 2008, the United States elected its first African American president)

• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: attacked consumer product quality; Meat Inspection Act passed; Congress passed Pure Food and Drug Act in 1906 to regulate food and drug industries; Johnson: made efforts to further civil rights; signed the Civil Rights Act into law in 1964; more African Americans ran for office); includes some minor inaccuracies (Johnson: he passed the Voting Rights Act of 1965; Voting Rights Act ended poll taxes)

• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. Document interpretation frames the overall response. The discussion of the actions of Roosevelt and Johnson employs good outside information. Although the treatment of the impact of each presidential action is limited, references demonstrating the continuing impact of those actions into the 21st century are included.
The response:

- Develops some aspects of the task with some depth for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
- Is more descriptive than analytical (Roosevelt: unsanitary conditions of meatpacking industry had been long established but still mostly unknown to public; commission’s evidence convinced him government had to step in and make meatpackers clean up their meat and plants; government inspection of meat forced offenders to amend practices; resulted in healthier food without dangerous additives; peace of mind reinforced with poultry inspections and stricter sanitation rules for meatpacking plants; Johnson: in 1960s African Americans oppressed and often poverty stricken because of laws passed to discourage advancements; made guaranteeing citizenship rights and improving lives of blacks high priority; to combat remaining Jim Crow laws, he worked hard to get Congress to pass Civil Rights Act outlawing segregation in public facilities and enforcing school desegregation; as result of Voting Rights Act, African Americans began to have voice in politics; vote gave African Americans power to change their conditions)
- Incorporates some relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 9a, and 9b
- Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Roosevelt: muckrakers began to investigate and publish findings of underside of society; Sinclair, greatest known muckraker of meatpacking industry; The Jungle surprised and disgusted many with descriptions of various animal parts being packaged and meat lying on dirty floors; Johnson: Jim Crow laws to segregate African Americans; poll taxes and literacy tests to prevent them from voting; though Supreme Court ruled schools had to be desegregated, many refused; in some Southern states, few blacks voted; Voting Rights Act outlawed literacy tests; amendment passed to eliminate poll tax)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: commission set up to inspect meatpacking establishments discovered horrid conditions; Pure Food and Drug Act ensures food unadulterated and labeled truthfully; Johnson: by end of 1960s, a significant number of African Americans elected to office; includes some minor inaccuracies (Roosevelt: passed Pure Food and Drug Act; Johnson: passed Voting Rights Act)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are somewhat beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The discussion of historical circumstances surrounding the actions of Roosevelt and Johnson includes some outside information that supports document interpretation. Further development of the impact of Roosevelt’s action would have strengthened the response.
The response:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth for Theodore Roosevelt’s support for consumer protection and Lyndon Johnson’s support for civil rights
- Is more analytical than descriptive (Roosevelt: led way for American consumer products to not only be safe for consumption but also make country a healthier place; even though meatpackers originally opposed to legislation, public demanded it; Postum Cereal claimed they were already on par with law but many businesses were not; Johnson extended Roosevelt’s efforts beyond livestock and red meat to poultry; Johnson: during 1960s, various groups using different methods and strategies affecting presidents’ thinking about civil rights; felt Jefferson’s “all men are created equal” should mean equal rights especially in a democratic nation governed by Constitution; Voting Rights Act allowed increase in number of blacks as voters and office holders; despite gains, discrimination and economic inequality could not be eliminated)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9
- Incorporates substantial relevant outside information (Roosevelt: businesses that took advantage of consumers would not be tolerated; throughout Gilded Age, American government mostly laissez-faire attitude toward big business; muckraker Upton Sinclair; Pure Food and Drug Administration dedicated to upholding sanitary conditions and banning dangerous additives to patented medicines; Food and Drug Administration took more responsibility for extensive testing of new drugs; Johnson: dealt with a continuing and aggressive demand for civil rights for African Americans; acts of civil disobedience such as sit-ins staged to call attention to injustices of segregation and gain support; after Meredith’s experience, protests in Birmingham, and Medgar Evers’ death, Kennedy believed time had come for nation to fulfill promise of 14th and 15th amendments; Johnson continued to lobby Congress and American public for civil liberties promised to African Americans after Civil War; Voting Rights Act ended literacy tests)
- Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details (Roosevelt: consumer protection was another primary theme of presidency; appointed a commission to investigate horrors of meatpacking; investigating commission saw fresh meat being packed with old meat and cooked meat on same tables as pigskin, rope, and other assortments of garbage; signed Meat Inspection Act; signed Pure Food and Drug Act; Johnson signed Wholesome Meat Act of 1967 and Wholesome Poultry Products Act of 1968; Kennedy stated importance of granting long overdue civil liberties; Civil Rights Act helped fulfill promise of 14th amendment)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that mentions the impact of Roosevelt and Johnson’s actions and a conclusion that states the impact of Roosevelt’s and Johnson’s actions extended beyond their presidencies

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. A discussion of document information highlighting the historical circumstances provides context for the actions of Roosevelt and Johnson. The treatment of the impact of those actions is analytical and thoughtful, demonstrating a good understanding of the task.
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Part I
Multiple-Choice Questions by Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Question Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1—United States and New York History</td>
<td>5, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34, 36, 41, 42, 45, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2—World History</td>
<td>35, 37, 38, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3—Geography</td>
<td>1, 15, 39, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4—Economics</td>
<td>3, 17, 18, 21, 25, 26, 30, 43, 44, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5—Civics, Citizenship, and Government</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 19, 32, 40, 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parts II and III by Theme and Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thematic Essay</td>
<td>Reform Movements; Individuals, Groups, Institutions; Civic Values; Economic Systems; Immigration and Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standards 1, 3, 4, and 5: United States and New York History; Geography; Economics; Civics, Citizenship, and Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document-based Essay</td>
<td>Presidential Decisions and Actions; Civic Values; Constitutional Principles; Reform Movements; Places and Regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standards 1, 3, 4, and 5: United States and New York History; Geography; Economics; Civics, Citizenship, and Government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
Part I and Part II scoring information is found in Volume 1 of the Rating Guide.
Part III scoring information is found in Volume 2 of the Rating Guide.
Submitting Teacher Evaluations of the Test to the Department

Suggestions and feedback from teachers provide an important contribution to the test development process. The Department provides an online evaluation form for State assessments. It contains spaces for teachers to respond to several specific questions and to make suggestions. Instructions for completing the evaluation form are as follows:


2. Select the test title.

3. Complete the required demographic fields.

4. Complete each evaluation question and provide comments in the space provided.

5. Click the SUBMIT button at the bottom of the page to submit the completed form.