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Contents of the Rating Guide

For Part III A Scaffold (open-ended) questions:
• A question-specific rubric

For Part III B (DBQ) essay:
• A content-specific rubric
• Prescored answer papers. Score levels 5 and 1 have two papers each, and score levels 4, 3, and 2 have three papers each. They are ordered by score level from high to low.
• Commentary explaining the specific score awarded to each paper
• Five prescored practice papers

General:
• Test Specifications
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The following procedures are to be used in rating papers for this examination. More detailed directions for the organization of the rating process and procedures for rating the examination are included in the Information Booklet for Scoring the Regents Examination in Global History and Geography and United States History and Government.

Rating the Essay Question

(1) Follow your school’s procedures for training raters. This process should include:

*Introduction to the task—*
- Raters read the task
- Raters identify the answers to the task
- Raters discuss possible answers and summarize expectations for student responses

*Introduction to the rubric and anchor papers—*
- Trainer leads review of specific rubric with reference to the task
- Trainer reviews procedures for assigning holistic scores, i.e., by matching evidence from the response to the rubric
- Trainer leads review of each anchor paper and commentary

*Practice scoring individually—*
- Raters score a set of five papers independently without looking at the scores and commentaries provided
- Trainer records scores and leads discussion until the raters feel confident enough to move on to actual rating

(2) When actual rating begins, each rater should record his or her individual rating for a student’s essay on the rating sheet provided, not directly on the student’s essay or answer sheet. The rater should not correct the student’s work by making insertions or changes of any kind.

(3) Each essay must be rated by at least two raters; a third rater will be necessary to resolve scores that differ by more than one point.

Rating the Scaffold (open-ended) Questions

(1) Follow a similar procedure for training raters.
(2) The scaffold questions need only be scored by one rater.
(3) The scores for each scaffold question may be recorded in the student’s examination booklet.

The scoring coordinator will be responsible for organizing the movement of papers, calculating a final score for each student’s essay, recording that score on the student’s Part I answer sheet, and determining the student’s final examination score. The conversion chart for this examination is located at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/osa/ and must be used for determining the final examination score.
According to President John F. Kennedy, what is one action the United States should take in dealing with its Cold War rivals?

Score of 1:
- States an action the United States should take in dealing with its Cold War rivals according to President John F. Kennedy
  
  Examples: we should pay any price/bear any burden/meet any hardship/support any friend/oppose any foe to assure the survival and the success of liberty; begin anew the quest for peace; begin our relationship anew; explore problems that unite us; make certain we have sufficient arms; be civil in negotiations; verify sincerity with proof; negotiate

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  
  Examples: belabor the problems which divide us; unleash the powers of destruction; end the quest for peace
- Vague response
  
  Examples: let every nation know; let both sides explore; begin anew
- No response
2 Based on this map, state one action ordered by President John F. Kennedy during the Cuban missile crisis.

Score of 1:
- States an action ordered by President John F. Kennedy during the Cuban missile crisis as shown on this map
  
  Examples: set up a naval quarantine line around Cuba; ships were sent to blockade the area around Cuba; blockaded Cuba with destroyers/Navy ships/Task Force 136/aircraft carrier Essex; stop/search/intercept Soviet ships; quarantined/blockaded Cuba

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  
  Examples: invasion of Cuba; dismantle missile sites in Cuba; engage in naval battles with the Soviet Union
- Vague response
  
  Examples: search; intercept
- No response
3 Based on these documents, what are two reasons the United States and the Soviet Union needed to address the issue of nuclear war?

Score of 2 or 1:
• Award 1 credit (up to a maximum of 2 credits) for each different reason the United States and the Soviet Union needed to address the issue of nuclear war based on these documents
  
  Examples: great powers who maintain large nuclear arsenals may refuse to surrender without resorting to nuclear war; a single nuclear weapon contains more explosive force than that delivered by all the Allied air forces in World War II; today’s nuclear weapons are more destructive than any weapons dropped during World War II; the deadly poisons produced by a nuclear exchange would be carried by wind and water and soil and seed to the far corners of the globe and to generations yet unborn; they had come very close to having a nuclear war

Note: To receive maximum credit, two different reasons the United States and the Soviet Union need to address the issue of nuclear war must be stated. For example, a single nuclear weapon contains more explosive force than that delivered by all the Allied air forces in the Second World War and today’s nuclear weapons are more destructive than all the weapons dropped during World War II are the same reason expressed in different words. In this and similar cases, award only one credit for this question.

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  
  Examples: hostile nations will keep treaty obligations; the United States and its allies have no interest in a just and genuine peace; Allied forces fought in World War II

• Vague response
  
  Examples: they resorted to those forces; their own interest; they are great powers; reached the far corners of Earth; explosive force

• No response
… You have to give both [President Richard] Nixon and [National Security Advisor Henry] Kissinger the credit—Nixon because he is the president. It was his clear feeling that we ought to move toward China. I think that he also understood that because of his anti-communist credentials, it would be easier for him than, say, for [Senator] Hubert Humphrey. More importantly, he knew that China would become an important country; our approach to China would give the Soviet Union an incentive to have better relations with us, in that they might get a bit nervous about our dealings with the Chinese. Indeed, within months after the announcement of Kissinger’s secret trip, we had an agreement on a summit meeting with the Soviets, as well as a breakthrough on SALT [Strategic Arms Limitation Talks], and on the Berlin negotiations. Kissinger had, independently, come to the same conclusions, for the same reasons.…


4 According to Winston Lord, what are two ways the new United States policy toward China improved United States-Soviet relations?

Score of 2 or 1:
• Award 1 credit (up to a maximum of 2 credits) for each different way the new United States policy toward China improved United States-Soviet relations according to Winston Lord
  Examples: the Soviet concern about our closer relations with China resulted in friendlier relations between the United States and the Soviet Union; the two nations agreed to a summit meeting; there was a breakthrough on SALT; negotiations over Berlin improved/breakthrough on Berlin negotiations

Note: To receive maximum credit, two different ways that the new United States policy toward China improved United States-Soviet relations must be stated. For example, there was a breakthrough on SALT and they agreed to limit nuclear weapons are the same way expressed in different words. In this and similar cases, award only one credit for this question.

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  Examples: relations with the Soviet Union worsened; SALT negotiations broke down; Nixon cut off relations with both the Soviet Union and China; Nixon had anticommunist credentials
• Vague response
  Examples: it was his clear feeling; it would be easier; Nixon and Kissinger came to the same conclusions; breakthrough
• No response

[6]
… Many of those who watched the week unfold in Moscow concluded that this summit—the most important since Potsdam in 1945 and probably the most important Soviet political event since Stalin’s death—could change world diplomacy. It was all the more impressive because it seemed not so much a single, cataclysmic [momentous] event but part of a process, part of a world on the move. . . .

The meeting underscored [emphasized] the drive toward detente based on mutual self-interest—especially economic self-interest on the part of the Soviets, who want trade and technology from the West. None of the agreements are shatterproof, and some will lead only to future bargaining. But the fact that they touched so many areas suggested Nixon’s strategy: he wanted to involve all of the Soviet leadership across the board—trade, health, science—in ways that would make it difficult later to reverse the trends set at the summit. . . .

Source: "What Nixon Brings Home from Moscow," Time, June 5, 1972

5 According to this document, why was the Moscow summit important to United States-Soviet relations?

Score of 1:
- States a reason that the Moscow summit was important to relations between the United States and the Soviet Union according to this document
  - Examples: it underscored the drive toward détente; it might lead to future bargaining; the trends set at the summit would be difficult to reverse; the Soviets’ desire for Western trade and technology helped make them willing to improve United States-Soviet relations; it was based on mutual self-interest

Score of 0:
- Incorrect response
  - Examples: the agreements were shatterproof; it brought an end to détente; it would end future bargaining
- Vague response
  - Examples: it unfolded; it was part of a world on the move; it was impressive; all of the Soviet leadership across the board; Soviets wanted it from the West
- No response
... As far as our relations with the Soviets are concerned, we shall continue. We shall continue to negotiate, recognizing that they don’t like our system or approve of it and I don’t like their system or approve of it. Mr. Brezhnev [Soviet leader Leonid Brezhnev] knows that, and I know it, and we have discussed it quite bluntly and directly.

However, it is essential that both nations, being the super powers that we are, continue to make progress toward limiting arms, toward avoiding confrontations which might explode into war, as it might have in the Mideast if we had not had this period of negotiation, and also continuing those negotiations for reduction of forces in Europe and reduction of arms, or certainly the limitation of arms, and the various other initiatives that we are undertaking with the Soviets.

In a nutshell, this is what we have to consider: Do we want to go back to a period when the United States and the Soviet Union, the two great super powers, stood in confrontation against each other and risk a runaway nuclear arms race and also crisis in Berlin, in the Mideast, even again in Southeast Asia or other places of the world, or do we want to continue on a path in which we recognize our differences but try to recognize also the fact that we must either live together or we will all die together?…

Source: President Richard Nixon, Press Conference, February 25, 1974

6 **According to President Richard Nixon, what is one reason the United States should continue its negotiations with the Soviet Union?**

**Score of 1:**

- States a reason the United States should continue its negotiations with the Soviet Union according to President Richard Nixon
  
  *Examples*: to make progress toward limiting arms; to avoid confrontations which might explode into war; to reduce forces in Europe; to reduce/limit arms; to avoid a runaway nuclear arms race; to avoid crises in Berlin/the Mideast/Southeast Asia/other places in the world; to recognize that we need to live together in peace; “we must either live together or we will all die together”

**Score of 0:**

- Incorrect response
  
  *Examples*: to allow the United States to build up its nuclear arsenal; so the United States could confront the Soviet Union as a superpower; because the United States and the Soviet Union did not like each other’s systems

- Vague response
  
  *Examples*: to continue negotiations; initiatives are being undertaken; we have to consider going back; to confront each other

- No response
According to Bialer and Mandelbaum, what was one action taken by the Reagan administration that demonstrated an anti-Soviet foreign policy?

**Score of 1:**
- States an action taken by the Reagan administration that demonstrated an anti-Soviet foreign policy according to Bialer and Mandelbaum.
  
  *Examples:* it stepped up the competition with the Soviet Union in areas where the rivalry was sharpest; it started the most expensive peacetime military buildup in American history/military spending was increased; it began the Strategic Defense Initiative.

**Score of 0:**
- Incorrect response.
  
  *Examples:* it began the Cold War; it made society a hostage; it reduced military spending; it settled for military equilibrium.
- Vague response.
  
  *Examples:* it committed the nation; they made it clear they will have to settle; competition; expensive buildup; began initiatives.
- No response.
... And now the Soviets themselves may, in a limited way, be coming to understand the importance of freedom. We hear much from Moscow about a new policy of reform and openness. Some political prisoners have been released. Certain foreign news broadcasts are no longer being jammed. Some economic enterprises have been permitted to operate with greater freedom from state control. Are these the beginnings of profound changes in the Soviet state? Or are they token gestures, intended to raise false hopes in the West, or to strengthen the Soviet system without changing it? We welcome change and openness; for we believe that freedom and security go together, that the advance of human liberty can only strengthen the cause of world peace.

There is one sign the Soviets can make that would be unmistakable, that would advance dramatically the cause of freedom and peace. General Secretary Gorbachev, if you seek peace, if you seek prosperity for the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, if you seek liberalization: Come here to this gate! Mr. Gorbachev, open this gate! Mr. Gorbachev, tear down this wall!...

Source: President Ronald Reagan, speech at the Brandenburg Gate, June 12, 1987.

President Ronald Reagan speaks on the West Berlin side of the Brandenburg Gate, June 12, 1987.

Source: German Missions in the United States (adapted)
8a According to President Ronald Reagan, what is one action taken by the Soviet Union that indicates it may be reforming its policies?

Score of 1:
• States an action taken by the Soviet Union that indicates it may be reforming its policies as expressed by President Ronald Reagan
  
  Examples: they have released some political prisoners; they no longer jam certain foreign news broadcasts; they have permitted some economic enterprises to operate with greater freedom from state control

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  
  Examples: they tore down the Berlin Wall; they eliminated state control; they jammed all foreign news broadcasts; they strengthened the cause/system

• Vague response
  
  Examples: they permitted operation; they broadcast; a token gesture; they were open

• No response

8b According to President Ronald Reagan, what is one action that General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev should take to advance the cause of freedom and peace?

Score of 1:
• States an action that General Secretary Gorbachev should take to advance the cause of freedom and peace according to President Ronald Reagan
  
  Examples: “tear down this wall”/tear down the Berlin Wall; tear down the barrier separating East and West Berlin; open the Brandenburg Gate

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  
  Examples: advance freedom/peace; close East Berlin; jam foreign news broadcasts; make a sign

• Vague response
  
  Examples: open it; tear it down

• No response
According to Mikhail Gorbachev, how did President Ronald Reagan’s attitude toward the Soviet Union change during his second term?

Score of 1:
• States how President Ronald Reagan’s attitude toward the Soviet Union changed during his second term according to Mikhail Gorbachev
  
  *Examples:* he began to emphasize the importance of a peaceful relationship with the Soviet Union; he engaged in dialogue with the Soviet Union; he signed an arms reduction treaty; he showed an interest in breaking the momentum of the arms race; became less hawkish

Score of 0:
• Incorrect response
  
  *Examples:* he ended arms reduction talks; he continued in the old ways; he became more anti-Communist
• Vague response
  
  *Examples:* it changed; his convictions became consistent; he launched the process
• No response
Historical Context: During the Cold War, world events and changing attitudes influenced the way that United States presidents dealt with the Soviet Union. Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan each used various foreign policy approaches in dealing with the Soviet Union.

Task: Discuss how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan

Scoring Notes:

1. The response should discuss how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan.
2. The same foreign policy approach may be used in discussing more than one of these presidents, e.g., Kennedy and Nixon both used negotiation.
3. Presidents not specifically mentioned in the documents may be included as outside information in the discussion (e.g., Truman’s containment policy and its effect on Kennedy’s policy), but the focus should remain on the foreign policy approaches of these three presidents.
4. The response may discuss foreign policy approaches from a variety of perspectives as long as the positions taken are supported by accurate historical facts and examples.
5. For the purposes of meeting the criteria of using at least five documents in the response, documents 3a and 3b may be considered as separate documents if the response uses specific separate facts from each document.

Score of 5:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan.
- Is more analytical than descriptive (analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., connects the mix of Cold War rhetoric and accommodation found in Kennedy’s inaugural address and his dealings with the Soviet Union during the Cuban missile crisis to the reevaluation of Cold War attitudes that led to détente and strategic arms limitation agreements during both the Nixon and the Reagan administrations; connects the confrontational issues that divided the United States and the Soviet Union during the administrations of Presidents Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan to the similar and dissimilar steps that each took in attempting to follow a policy of negotiation and the maintenance of peace, thus averting global crises that might result in nuclear war
- Incorporates relevant information from at least five documents (see Key Ideas Chart)
- Incorporates substantial relevant outside information related to United States foreign policy toward the Soviet Union (see Outside Information Chart)
- Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details, e.g., Castro; Bay of Pigs; Soviet missiles; quarantine; peaceful coexistence; Nuclear Test Ban Treaty; hotline; grain deal; summit; SALT; Reagan; “evil empire”; Berlin Wall; arms reduction; containment policy; Marshall Plan; McCarthyism; relations with China; global containment; personal diplomacy; nuclear standoff
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme
Score of 4:
• Develops all aspects of the task but may do so somewhat unevenly by discussing the foreign policy approach of one president less thoroughly than the other two presidents
• Is both descriptive and analytical (applies, analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., discusses the resolution of the Cuban missile crisis and the continuing threat of nuclear war between the United States and the Soviet Union and the progress made by Presidents Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan to limit nuclear arms; discusses the tension between the United States and the Soviet Union during the Cuban missile crisis, efforts made by President Kennedy to improve those relations, and the evolution of United States-Soviet relations during the administrations of Nixon and Reagan; compares the similarities and differences of the policies of Presidents Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan toward the Soviet Union that eventually contributed to the end of the Cold War
• Incorporates relevant information from at least five documents
• Incorporates relevant outside information
• Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Score of 3:
• Develops all aspects of the task with little depth or develops most aspects of the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during two presidencies in some depth
• Is more descriptive than analytical (applies, may analyze, and/or evaluate information)
• Incorporates some relevant information from some of the documents
• Incorporates limited relevant outside information
• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some minor inaccuracies
• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that may be a restatement of the theme

Score of 2:
• Minimally develops all aspects of the task or develops the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during one presidency in some depth
• Is primarily descriptive; may include faulty, weak, or isolated application or analysis
• Incorporates limited relevant information from the documents or consists primarily of relevant information copied from the documents
• Presents little or no relevant outside information
• Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some inaccuracies
• Demonstrates a general plan of organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion
Score of 1:
• Minimally develops some aspects of the task
• Is descriptive; may lack understanding, application, or analysis
• Makes vague, unclear references to the documents or consists primarily of relevant and irrelevant information copied from the documents
• Presents no relevant outside information
• Includes few relevant facts, examples, or details; may include inaccuracies
• May demonstrate a weakness in organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion

Score of 0:
Fails to develop the task or may only refer to the theme in a general way; OR includes no relevant facts, examples, or details; OR includes only the historical context and/or task as copied from the test booklet; OR includes only entire documents copied from the test booklet; OR is illegible; OR is a blank paper

*The term create as used by Anderson/Krathwohl, et al. in their 2001 revision of Bloom’s Taxonomy of Educational Objectives refers to the highest level of the cognitive domain. This usage of create is similar to Bloom’s use of the term synthesis. Creating implies an insightful reorganization of information into a new pattern or whole. While a Level 5 paper will contain analysis and/or evaluation of information, a very strong paper may also include examples of creating information as defined by Anderson and Krathwohl.

President John F. Kennedy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Ideas from Documents 1–3</th>
<th>Relevant Outside Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 1</strong>—Inaugural statement that United States would bear any hardship or burden to assure survival and success of liberty Request by the United States that adversaries begin a quest for peace Need to have sufficient arms to avoid arms being used by others Never to negotiate out of fear but never fear to negotiate Request to explore problems that unite us, not to belabor problems that divide us</td>
<td>Kennedy’s criticism of Republican administration during the 1960 election (missile gap, Sputnik, U-2 incident, Cuba’s alignment with the Soviet Union) Replacement of Eisenhower’s massive retaliation by Kennedy’s flexible response Deterioration of United States-Soviet relations after the Bay of Pigs invasion Increased tension as result of construction of Berlin Wall (United States refusal to give up access to West Berlin) Details about Cuban missile crisis (all-out attack on Soviet Union threatened if United States attacked by missiles from Cuba, agreement to end quarantine and not invade Cuba, secret promise to remove missiles from Turkey) Modification of Kennedy’s hard-line stance to reduce possibility of nuclear war (grain sales, hot line, emphasis on disarmament, Limited Test Ban Treaty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 2</strong>—Quarantine of Cuba because of Soviet missile sites Interception and search of Soviet vessels near Cuba by American ships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 3</strong>—United States and Soviet Union working together to avoid nuclear war Mutual interest of United States and Soviet Union in peace and halting arms race Need for treaties to halt arms race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### President Richard Nixon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Ideas from Documents 4–6</th>
<th>Relevant Outside Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 4</strong>—Improving United States-China relations leading to agreement with Soviets about a summit</td>
<td>Impact of improved United States-China relations on United States-Soviet relations (Nixon’s trip to China)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough on SALT and Berlin negotiations</td>
<td>Details about détente (cultural exchanges, joint space exploration)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Doc 5**—Pursuing détente based on mutual self-interest

Positive results of Moscow summit

Strategy to involve all Soviet leadership (trade, health, science) to make it difficult to reverse trends set at summit

**Doc 6**—Continuation of progress toward limiting arms, avoiding confrontations that might explode into war, continuation of negotiations for reduction of forces in Europe

Willingness to negotiate with Soviet Union even though its system is different

Recognizing differences but realizing that we must either live together or die together

Impact of improved United States-China relations on United States-Soviet relations (Nixon’s trip to China)

Details about détente (cultural exchanges, joint space exploration)

Addressing of issues involving Berlin

Signing of SALT I Treaty (Nixon’s trip to Soviet Union, Brezhnev’s trip to United States)

Expansion of trade relations

### President Ronald Reagan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Ideas from Documents 7–9</th>
<th>Relevant Outside Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doc 7</strong>—Administration commitment to stepping up competition with Soviet Union</td>
<td>Abandonment of détente because Soviet Union seen as source of world’s problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most expensive military buildup in American history</td>
<td>Details about Strategic Defense Initiative (Star Wars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of Strategic Defense Initiative</td>
<td>Support for “freedom fighters” (Reagan Doctrine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reagan emphatically anticommunist</td>
<td>Lifting of grain embargo imposed by Carter after Soviet invasion of Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Doc 8**—Questioning and then welcoming of change and openness in the Soviet Union because of belief that freedom and security go together

Challenging Gorbachev to tear down Berlin Wall

Series of summits between Gorbachev and Reagan on reduction of nuclear arms (Geneva Summit, details about Reykjavik)

Details about and positive response to perestroika and glasnost

End of Cold War with fall of Berlin Wall and breakup of Soviet empire and of the Soviet Union

**Doc 9**—Confrontational rhetoric toward Soviet Union during first term

Signing of intermediate-range nuclear forces treaty and beginning of process of real arms reduction during second term

[16]
The Cold War, one of U.S.'s longest periods of conflict in history, began shortly after WWII. The Cold War soon came to represent a clash of two ideologies: Democracy vs. Communism. The Soviet Union and the United States were in fierce competition not only to expand their spheres of influence but also for the technological advancement of nuclear arms. At times throughout the decades, tensions were high between the two superpowers. But, as presidents of the U.S. changed, so did policies towards the Soviet Union. Under John F. Kennedy, tensions escalated significantly, despite JFK's struggle for peace. Nixon actively sought the easing of Cold War tensions, a policy which Reagan at first reversed, then used himself. All three presidents had different approaches towards dealing with the Soviet Union, and the circumstances of each presidency led to varied outcomes.

As JFK took office, tensions between the U.S. and U.S.S.R. were on the rise. The United States was becoming increasingly involved in Vietnam in an effort to stop the Communist North from taking over the South. Also, JFK developed his Alliance for Progress program to prevent communist ideas from spreading into Latin America from Cuba. The Soviet Union was also threatening to cut off access to Berlin and ended up building a wall between East and West Berlin. Despite these concerns, JFK outlined his hope for new ways of thinking about "problems which divide us." (Doc 1). In fact, JFK changed the U.S. from a policy of massive retaliation to a "flexible response" policy focused on using conventional weapons and military options.
rather than just nuclear ones, JFK felt that both superpowers needed to address the growing threat of a nuclear war, expressing his belief that a "total war" between the two superpowers (including the use of nuclear arms) would never be necessary (Doc. 3a, 3b).

Unfortunately the circumstances of JFK’s presidency made any hope of arms reduction nearly impossible. JFK authorized the Bay of Pigs mission—an attempt to send exiled CIA-trained Cubans into Cuba to overthrow Communist dictator Castro. The Soviets looked at this as a threat to their ally Castro and placed nuclear missile sites within Cuba, using missiles that could reach the U.S. in seconds. The Cuban Missile Crisis, as it was aptly named, was the high point of Cold War tensions. JFK ordered a naval blockade of Cuba to intercept Soviet ships (Doc. 2).

A nuclear war was narrowly avoided.

Several years later Nixon took office, and the change in presidency led to a change in policy towards the Soviets. The Nixon Doctrine and his policy of Vietnamization were both Nixon’s policies of reducing U.S. involvement in Southeast Asia and around the world, which naturally eased Cold War tensions a few degrees. Nixon was focussed on a policy of détente as a "thawing" of Cold War tensions (Doc. 6). In 1972, Nixon surprised the world by traveling to China and meeting with Communist leader Mao Zedong. Tensions between the Soviets and the Chinese had been worsening in the 1960s. Nixon saw this as a good time to approach the Chinese. An improved U.S.-China relationship created an incentive for the Soviet Union to seek a better relationship.
with the U.S. (Doc 4), worried about an anti-Soviet coalition, the Soviets agreed to a summit meeting in Moscow, which emphasized achieving detente (Doc 5). This led to a quick signing of the SALT agreement between the two superpowers, which successfully established provisions for an arms reduction (Doc 4). The Nixon years were marked by relatively peaceful relations between the U.S. and the Soviet Union.

When Reagan first took office as President, he had quite the opposite goals and attitudes Nixon had. Since early in his career, he had spoken out against communism and saw the Soviets as immoral. He thought they used detente for their own self-interest. During his first term, Reagan focused on restoring old Cold War competition between the U.S. and Soviet Union. Reagan’s Administration funded the most expensive peacetime military build up in history and introduced the “Strategic Defense Initiative,” which alarmed the Soviet Union. Nicknamed “Star Wars” by critics, the Strategic Defense Initiative was an expensive program dedicated to developing a high-tech defense system capable of taking down ballistic missiles in flight. Unfortunately, the technology required for the system and its huge costs kept the project from being put into use during the Reagan years. The Reagan doctrine also used the U.S. military overseas to combat Communist expansion. Reagan used the military to crush an uprising on Grenada, a small Caribbean island, out of fear of the creation of another Communist base in the Caribbean. During Reagan’s presidency, Reagan’s Administration funded the “Contras,” a radical group in Nicaragua attempting to overthrow a Communist
Reagan's exploits during his first term increased tensions between the U.S. and U.S.S.R., but his policies changed during his second term. Why the change? Part of it had to do with changes within the Soviet Union itself. Mikhail Gorbachev, the new leader of the Soviet Union, instituted two radical policy changes, glasnost and perestroika. Glasnost was a policy of reform and openness, allowing more social freedom in the U.S.S.R. Perestroika focused on introducing open markets and greater economic freedom. Reagan saw this as a sign the Soviet Union was ready to make significant strides towards peace. Reagan even demanded that Gorbachev tear down the Berlin Wall (Dec. 8). Eventually, the Berlin Wall a physical symbol of the Cold War and the barrier of peace, was torn down by the German people. After Reagan's death, Gorbachev wrote a reflection, making a note of Reagan's change in policy and the peace talks, all culminating in an effective arms reduction treaty signed by both superpowers in 1987 (Dec. 9).

In 1991, the Soviet Union finally collapsed, and although Cold War tensions had been almost nonexistent for a few years, the Cold War officially ended. The Cold War lasted almost five decades and involved many presidents. Each one had a different way of dealing with the Soviet Union. JFK's presidency was marked by dangerous conflict, Nixon's by détente, and Reagan's by a little of both. The U.S. and U.S.S.R. went to the brink of nuclear war and back again. Today, the Cold War serves as a lesson to world powers and political leaders alike, and will continue to shape the policies of future presidents.
The response:

- Thoroughly develops the task evenly and in depth by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan
- Is more analytical than descriptive (Kennedy: unfortunately, the circumstances of his presidency made any hope of arms reduction nearly impossible; he narrowly avoided a nuclear war; Nixon: an improved United States-China relationship created an incentive for the Soviet Union to seek a better relationship with the United States; worried about an anti-Soviet coalition, the Soviets agreed to a summit meeting in Moscow; Reagan: exploits during his first term increased tensions between the United States and the USSR, but policies changed during his second term; he saw the reforms in the Soviet Union as a sign that they were ready to make strides towards peace)
- Incorporates relevant information from all the documents
- Incorporates substantial relevant outside information (Kennedy: the United States was becoming increasingly involved in Vietnam; he developed his Alliance for Progress program to prevent communist ideas from spreading into Latin America; the Soviet Union was also threatening to cut off access to Berlin; he changed the United States from a policy of massive retaliation to a “flexible response” policy; the Soviets looked at the Bay of Pigs as a threat to their ally Castro; Nixon: the Nixon doctrine and his policy of Vietnamization were his policies of reducing United States involvement around the world; Reagan: since early in his career, he had spoken out against communism; the Strategic Defense Initiative was dedicated to developing a high tech defense system; the technology required for the Star Wars system and its huge costs kept the project from being put into use during the Reagan years; he used the military to crush an uprising in Grenada; his administration funded the Contras, a radical group attempting to overthrow a communist regime; Gorbachev instituted the radical policy changes of glasnost and perestroika)
- Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: naval blockade of Cuba to intercept Soviet ships; Nixon: détente or a thawing of Cold War tensions; relatively peaceful relations between the United States and the Soviet Union; Reagan: focused on restoring old Cold War competition; funded the most expensive military peacetime buildup in history; effective arms reduction treaty signed in 1987)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that discusses how the Cold War and its clash of two ideologies resulted in different approaches toward dealing with the Soviet Union and a conclusion that discusses how the Cold War continues to serve as a lesson to world powers and political leaders alike

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. Outside historical references are used as a backdrop for the discussion of each president’s policies and demonstrate an understanding of the global implications of the Cold War. The discussion of United States policies toward the Soviet Union is analytical, thorough, and wide-ranging.
American presidents, from Truman to Reagan, had a number of different attitudes and policies regarding the way in which they dealt with the Soviet Union during the Cold War. These attitudes were influenced by events and statements made by both sides, and by periodic crises which shook the world, and threatened the possibility of a world-wide nuclear holocaust. The various foreign policy relations and goals of Presidents Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan toward the Soviet Union differed from each other, but also showed remarkable similarities. Influenced by different events and new attitudes, each leader developed their own strategies and attitudes with regard to not only keeping America safe but also of keeping democracy around the world safe from repeated insults of Soviet or independent communist aggression.

President John F. Kennedy's greatest challenge as president came in 1962 in the form of the Cuban missile crisis. After American surveillance revealed that the Soviet Union was building nuclear missile sites in Cuba, just 90 miles from the U.S. coast, Kennedy took a bold stand against the communists, and demanded that the missiles be removed. The Soviet Union initially refused to back down, because as history has taught us, this is a greater danger to a nation's security than perceived military weakness. For almost two weeks the world shuddered on the brink of a nuclear war, and crisis was only averted when President Kennedy and Soviet Premier Khrushchev negotiated a peaceful resolution to the
Crisis. (Doc 1, 2) Kennedy and Khrushchev both gradually realized that a nuclear war was something that would destroy the world and should be avoided at all costs, and they made their negotiations accordingly. Kennedy also saw the need to be tough with the Soviets and insist upon removal of the missiles and used the Navy to blockade weapons shipments to Cuba. Kennedy was effective in securing a peaceful agreement, a withdrawal of the missiles, and a new understanding between the United States and the Soviet Union with regard to nuclear weapons. (Doc 2, 3a, 3b) Kennedy's willingness to be patient in waiting for Khrushchev's response demonstrated his concern over nuclear warfare.

President Richard Nixon had his own different approach with regard to relations with the Soviet Union. Nixon believed that detente, or a gradual easing of tensions between the West and the Soviet Union, based on a greater understanding, was the surest way of gaining a lasting peace, and a reduction to the arms race. Nixon demonstrated his willingness to cooperate with the communists in his important diplomatic trips to China and Moscow. Nixon, in extending recognition to the Chinese, not only normalized US/Chinese relations, but also gained the attention of the Soviet Union, who in turn became much more cooperative with regard to limiting intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) and negotiations with regard to the situation in Berlin. (Doc 4) Before going to Russia, Nixon also was an example of his belief in the policy of detente whereby,
through mutual economic self-interest, and work towards common goals, the United States and Soviet Union could come to a better understanding and ease the tensions that existed between them. He was the first American president to visit the Soviet Union during the Cold War and by extending the hand of friendship towards the Soviets, Nixon hoped to put in motion forces that could not be easily cast aside. Above all, he hoped to prevent another arms race, any activity which could be deemed hostile and lead to a break in US-Soviet relations, which could imperil the entire world (Docs. 5, 6).

Ending tensions with the Soviet Union was a great achievement of the Nixon administration.

During the presidency of Ronald Reagan, a different strategy of foreign policy relations was tried towards the Soviet Union. Reagan was fervently anti-communist and believed that under no circumstances should the United States sacrifice its capabilities of defending itself and democratic societies around the world from the perceived threat of the "evil empire." Reagan believed that through advances in new defense technology and increased military spending, he could provide the U.S. with safety that could be more assuring than treaties made with communist enemies. Reagan, in his second term, however, changed his attitude toward the Soviet Union. He still saw the Soviets as a great threat to freedom and democracy, but he also realized the absolute necessity of working more closely with them to guarantee the security of not only America, but the rest of the world as well from
the dangers of arms races, and nuclear buildups. (Doc. 7.9) Reagan was willing to accept Soviet gestures of friendship and of loosening of control of their regime, but he was also careful to limit his actions and statements. He knew they were not genuine or sincere, they were in reality, Reagan firmly believed that the Soviet Union had a moral responsibility to release the oppressed, captive, of Eastern Europe from its grasp and grant freedom to its own people as well. (Doc. 8) As this occurred, and Gorbachev began to reduce his country's armed forces, Reagan's attitudes changed. Visits were exchanged and negotiations led to a new understanding of the Soviet Union.

Clearly, the actions and events of the Cold War led dramatically different effects upon the foreign policy attitudes and actions made by American presidents. Depending upon world events and the level of perceived threat, American presidents responded in a mostly responsible and competent manner. Johnson was not afraid to threaten force against the Soviet Union, and Reagan was more than willing to develop new weapons to ensure the safety of the American people, and of American democracy. Yet, Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan were also willing to listen to Soviet appeals and willing to negotiate for the best interests of their countries and the world in general. They all clearly saw that appeasement was a must in a world of nuclear weapons, and they knew that they must try to peacefully resolve differences first, and resort to military force, as a distant second. Nations must never be afraid to display their force, for it is the surest method of preventing a war. Yet they must also be careful to negotiate and keep good relations.
The response:

• Thoroughly develops the task evenly and in depth by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan.
• Is more analytical than descriptive (Kennedy: he and Khrushchev both realized that a nuclear war was something that would destroy the world and should be avoided; his willingness to be patient demonstrated his concern over nuclear warfare; Nixon: believed that détente was the surest way of gaining a lasting peace and a reduction to the arms race; not only normalized United States-Chinese relations but the Soviet Union became much more cooperative; hoped to prevent another arms race or any activity which could be deemed hostile and lead to a break in United States-Soviet relations; Reagan: still saw the Soviets as a great threat to freedom and democracy; realized the necessity of working more closely with the Soviets to assure the security of America and the rest of the world; was careful to examine Soviet actions and determine how genuine or sincere they were in reality).
• Incorporates relevant information from all the documents.
• Incorporates substantial relevant outside information (Kennedy: American surveillance revealed that the Soviet Union was building nuclear missile sites in Cuba, 90 miles from the United States; the Soviet Union initially refused to back down; for almost two weeks the world teetered on the brink of a nuclear war, and crisis was only averted when he and Khrushchev negotiated a peaceful resolution; Kennedy was effective in securing a peaceful agreement and a withdrawal of the missiles; Nixon: extended recognition to the Chinese; first American president to visit the Soviet Union during the Cold War; Reagan: believed that under no circumstances should the United States sacrifice its capabilities of defending itself and democratic societies around the world; believed that through advances in his Star Wars defense technology and increased military spending, he could provide the United States with safety that could be more assuring than treaties).
• Richly supports the theme with many relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: he used the navy to blockade weapons shipments to Cuba; Nixon: détente, a gradual easing of tensions between the West and the Soviet Union; more cooperation in regards to SALT I and negotiations about the situation in Berlin; by extending the hand of friendship towards the Soviets, he hoped to put into motion trends that could not easily be cast aside; Reagan: changed his attitude towards the Soviet Union; accepted Soviet gestures of friendship and loosening of control of their regime; visits were exchanged).
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that states Cold War attitudes were influenced by actions and by periodic crises and a conclusion that discusses the presidents’ efforts to resolve differences peacefully first and resort to military force as a distant second.

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. Historical information and document interpretation offer strong support for analytical statements of comparison that are effective and insightful. A good understanding of the influence of specific Cold War events on presidential decision making is evident throughout the discussion.
The Cold War period was a time of great uncertainty, with a constant fear of an impending nuclear war. The United States contained communism and limited the influence of the Soviet Union. Different presidents across this time period accomplished this by different means. Kennedy in his inaugural address encouraged peace and civility and focused on peaceful negotiations with the Soviet Union, while Reagan tried to achieve military superiority rather than peaceful diplomacy at least at first.

Kennedy had both a great success and a great failure in foreign policy as president. After the disastrous attempt at trying to overthrow Castro and the communists in Cuba during the Bay of Pigs fiasco, Cold War tensions increased to astronomical levels. As Cuba became more reliant on the Soviet Union for military assistance, the Soviet Union decided to put nuclear missiles in Cuba. The resulting Cuban Missile Crisis made the United States and the Soviet Union realize that nuclear war was becoming a real threat. As a result, Kennedy used different methods—instead of relying on covert CIA operations, Kennedy put more emphasis on peaceful negotiations. He knew that nuclear war would cause untold amounts of damage to both sides, thus his declaration that “total war makes no sense” (doc 3b).

The Soviets also acknowledged this, and both sides cooperated to keep the lid on nuclear war (doc 3a). For example, a direct telephone line was installed between the...
Kremlin and the White House in the hope of avoiding a misunderstanding that could lead to nuclear war.

Nixon, though an almost complete disaster in domestic affairs (see: Watergate) was very good with foreign policy. By cleverly befriending China, he gave the Soviets incentive to cooperate with the U.S. (doc 4). This brought about breakthrough in the SALT (Strategic Arms Limitations Treaty) treaty and the Berlin negotiations, and helped pave the way for detente. Nixon believed detente would keep the Soviets from further expansion and be a better form of containment. Detente was perhaps his greatest achievement, relaxing tensions between the U.S. and the Soviets and allowing the two sides to work cooperatively for their mutual interests even though they had different beliefs (doc 5).

Though Reagan was more peaceable towards the end of his presidency, he had a somewhat flawed beginning; rather than resume detente and good relations, he once again escalated the arms race with the most expensive peacetime military buildup in American history in an attempt to one-up the Soviet Union (doc 7). He gave effective anti-Soviet speeches which rallied America's support for his tougher approach. Reagan made up for this hostile approach later by playing nicely with Gorbachev, who was more moderate than his predecessors, even relaxing some of its harsher policies, such as economic controls and bringing about greater freedoms of speech (doc 8). With a different kind of Soviet Union
The response:

- Develops the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan
- Is both descriptive and analytical (Kennedy: the Cuban missile crisis made the United States realize that nuclear war was becoming a real threat; knew that nuclear war would cause untold amounts of damage to both sides, thus his declaration that “total war makes no sense”; Nixon: cleverly befriended China and gave the Soviets incentive to cooperate with the United States; helped pave the way for détente; Reagan: was more peaceable towards the end of his presidency but had a somewhat flawed beginning; made up for his hostile approach later by playing nicely with Gorbachev who was more moderate than his predecessors; with a different kind of Soviet Union, Americans felt less threatened)
- Incorporates relevant information from all the documents
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Kennedy: after the disastrous attempt at trying to overthrow Castro and the communists in Cuba during the Bay of Pigs fiasco, Cold War tensions increased to astronomical levels; Cuba became more reliant on the Soviet Union for military assistance; instead of relying on covert CIA operations, he put more emphasis on peaceful negotiations; a direct telephone line was installed between the Kremlin and the White House in the hope of avoiding a misunderstanding that could lead to a nuclear war; Nixon: believed in a global balance of power; believed détente would keep the Soviets from further expansion and be a better form of containment; détente was perhaps his greatest achievement—relaxing tensions between the United States and the Soviets and allowing the two sides to work cooperatively for their mutual interest even though they had different beliefs; Reagan: gave effective anti-Soviet speeches which rallied America’s support for his tougher approach)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: both sides cooperated to keep the lid on nuclear war; Nixon: breakthrough in SALT and the Berlin negotiations; Reagan: rather than resume détente and good relations, he once again escalated the arms race with the most expensive peacetime military buildup in American history in an attempt to one-up the Soviet Union; agreed to discuss arms limitations)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that states different presidents across this time period accomplished the containing of communism and the limiting of the influence of the Soviet Union and a brief conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. Evaluative references regarding presidential decision making demonstrate a good understanding of the Cold War period. Further historical interpretation or explanation of document information would have added more depth to the response.
The Cold War was a period of hostility between the US and USSR. Over the span of several decades, many leaders had taken steps to prevent a nuclear war from taking place. Presidents like Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan each had their own agenda regarding relations with the Soviet Union. However, all of them succeeded in keeping peace with the Soviets, even when tensions were close to the breaking point.

When he took office in the early 1960s, John F. Kennedy established an agenda that did not call for war but one that offered the possibility of peace. He requested, "that both sides begin anew the quest for peace," and although the US should never fear to negotiate, it should have a strong military. (Doc 1) Even though he wanted to keep the Cold War situation under control his ideals would be tested during the Cuban missile crisis, when he at first chose not to negotiate with Khrushchev. The Soviet Union had placed nuclear warheads on Cuba, only ninety miles away from the US coast. Kennedy decided to quarantine the area (Doc 2) in order to prevent USSR supplies and ships from getting into the area. The military was also preparing for a possible land invasion that might have caused a war with Cuba or Soviet nuclear attack on the US.

However, Kennedy and Khrushchev finally came up with an agreement: the Soviet Union would take out missiles from Cuba and the United States would consider taking out their missiles from Turkey and promise not to invade Cuba. Nuclear war would be avoided for the remainder of his term and the climate of the Cold War had been relaxed. Better relations between the two countries was an important result of the Cuban situation.
Like Kennedy, Nixon's agenda was focused on negotiations rather than aggression, but Nixon took his plan a step further with the concept of détente, or the relaxing of tensions with the Soviet Union by improving relations. At the time it seemed like increasing trade with the Soviet Union and slowing the arms race would be better for everyone. He achieved a treaty with the Soviet Union called SALT which limited the number of nukes each country would make during the next several years, and renewed relations with China as a way of trying to control the Soviet Union's actions (Doc.4). Détente was an excellent success as the two superpowers discussed many ideas including economic ties, at the summit meeting in Moscow (Doc.5). Even though it did not result in disarmament, it paved the way for an ending to the Cold War a couple of decades later.

One of the final presidents to become involved in the Cold War was Ronald Reagan. Unlike former president Nixon, Reagan took a more staunch approach in the beginning, as one of the most anti-Soviet presidents since Truman (Doc.7). He authorized the creation of the Strategic Defense Initiative, which was a system to protect the United States from incoming nukes. Even when some of the technology wasn’t advanced enough at the time, Reagan always claimed it was close to be completed to intimidate the Soviets. However, as the 2nd term of his presidency came to fruition, he became a much more friendly figure to Russia, as he had the opportunity to see the beginning of change in USSR. There was a
The response:

• Develops the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan
• Is both descriptive and analytical (Kennedy: established an agenda that did not call for war but offered the possibility of peace; thought the United States should not fear to negotiate but should have a strong military; even though he wanted to keep the Cold War situation under control, his ideals would be tested during the Cuban missile crisis; better relations between the two countries were an important result of the Cuban situation; Nixon: like Kennedy, his agenda was focused on negotiations but he took his plan a step further with détente; at the time, it seemed like increasing trade with the Soviet Union and slowing the arms race would be better for everyone; renewed relations with China as a way of trying to control the Soviet Union’s actions; détente was an excellent success as the two superpowers discussed many ideas at the summit meeting in Moscow; Reagan: took a more staunch approach in the beginning as one of the most anti-Soviet presidents since Truman; as his second term came to fruition, he became a much more friendly figure to Russia; had the opportunity to see the beginning of change in the USSR; the United States and the Soviets began to see that the Cold War might be coming to an end)
• Incorporates relevant information from documents 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9
• Incorporates relevant outside information (Kennedy: at first, he chose not to negotiate with Khrushchev; nuclear warheads only ninety miles from the United States coast; the military was preparing for a possible land invasion that might have caused a war with Cuba or a Soviet nuclear attack on the United States; the Soviet Union would take out missiles from Cuba and the United States would consider taking out their missiles from Turkey and promise not to invade Cuba; Nixon: SALT treaty limited the number of nukes each country would make during the next several years; Reagan: the Strategic Defense Initiative was a system to protect the United States from incoming nukes; even when some of the technology was not advanced enough, he claimed it was close to being completed to intimidate the Soviets; there was a successful summit conference in Geneva)
• Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: nuclear war avoided for the remainder of his term after the Cuban missile crisis; Nixon: détente was the relaxing of tensions with the Soviet Union; Reagan: one of the final presidents to become involved in the Cold War)
• Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that states each president had his own agenda and succeeded in keeping peace even when tensions were close to the breaking point and lacks a conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. A case is made that each president in his dealings with the Soviet Union built on his predecessor’s policies and this eventually led to the end of the Cold War. More historical support for the analytical statements included in the discussion would have enhanced the effort.
Shortly after WWII, the United States and the Soviet Union entered into a conflict now known as the Cold War. It is known as such because the war did not involve any direct conflict between US & Soviet forces but was more a war of ideals & policies. The Cold War lasted almost 50 years, plenty long enough for multiple presidents to come and pass. Presidents of this time period sought to deal with the Soviet Union in subtly different, but largely similar, ways.

John F. Kennedy sought negotiation after an aggressive early "offensive" action. Richard Nixon used mostly negotiation as his foreign policy. Finally, Reagan was a little more aggressive, building up massive military and putting billions into defense research, but still he eventually negotiated with the USSR.

JFK became mostly a negotiator, as evidenced by his speech shown in Dec. 3b. He feared for the safety of the world. He fully saw the destructive power of full-on thermo-nuclear war, Kennedy rightly deduced that it was in the best interest of both nations, the US & the USSR, to reduce the risk. Kennedy knew that the world would not be safe until the nuclear tension between the superpowers was gone. This idea is also shown in document 1. In this inaugural speech, JFK says that both nations are "overburdened by... modern weapons." He further says that he wants to seek peace through negotiation.

JFK had another way of dealing with the Soviets. In late Oct. 1962, the world stood still as a conflict opened around Cuba. The Soviets were placing missiles on Cuba, some 90 miles from Florida. Kennedy placed a blockade around Cuba to help prevent more Soviet equipment from reaching the island. While not an offensive military invasion of Cuba, it showed that Kennedy was not afraid to stand up for his country. Kennedy had also changed military policy by creating rapid-response teams like the Navy SEALS. Kennedy had already put money into small, covert & special operations units that could strike quickly in the event of an emergency. As a result of the Cuban Crisis, both sides began to reconsider the use of nuclear-weapons as a way of dealing with one another.
When Nixon became President, he was supposedly in the process of withdrawing from the nightmare of Vietnam. He also believed that a better relationship with China would lead to more success in dealing with the USSR. As seen in Doc. 5, Nixon set up summit meetings between the US & the USSR. These were generally successful. The Moscow conference was considered to be successful for the road to peace and ending the risk of nuclear war. Nixon also told the people of the US and advised future presidents to continue with “our relations with the Soviets...” as seen in Doc. 6. Nixon saw the need for the end of the arms race. Faced with the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, later presidents choose not to follow that advice and détente fell apart.

Ronald Reagan had little interest in a friendly relationship with the USSR. According to Doc. 7, he “entered office as the most emphatically anti-soviet president since Harry Truman.” Further this document shows that Reagan initiated a hugely expensive military build-up. He also began research on the SDI or Star Wars program. This program involved satellite lasers to engage & destroy nuclear missiles. While it never came to fruition, the idea for it was there. Reagan was similar to Eisenhower in his build-up. Eisenhower’s “baby” was his fleet of nuclear capable submarines, Reagan’s: Star wars. While his program helped certain parts of the US economy, it strained relations with the Soviets. They were threatened, rightly so, by this new expensive American technology while they were struggling economically, Reagan eventually restart negotiations. As seen in Doc. 9, Gorbachev states that Reagan tried to encourage peace, especially during his second term, signing treaties to limit nuclear weapons. Reagan also welcomed democratic changes with the USSR. He congratulated Gorbachev on his policies of glasnost & perestroika. But Reagan also called for the destruction of the Berlin Wall, the symbol of the cold war.

The end of the Cold War was marked by the collapse of the USSR which followed.
The response:

- Develops the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan.
- Is descriptive and analytical (Kennedy: saw the destructive power of a full-on thermonuclear war; in the best interest of the United States and the USSR to reduce the risk of war; knew that the world would not be safe until the nuclear tension between the superpowers was gone; while not an offensive military invasion of Cuba, placed a blockade around Cuba to help prevent more Soviet equipment from reaching the island; as a result of the Cuban crisis, both sides began to reconsider the use of nuclear weapons as a way of dealing with one another; Nixon: believed a better relationship with China would lead to more success in dealing with the USSR; Reagan: built up a massive military and put billions into defense research but still eventually negotiated with the USSR; had little interest in a friendly relationship with the USSR and détente fell apart)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Kennedy: missiles in Cuba some 90 miles from Florida; had changed military policy by creating rapid response teams; began putting money into small covert special operation units, such as Navy SEALS, that could strike quickly in the event of an emergency; Nixon: in the process of withdrawing from the nightmare of Vietnam; Reagan: Strategic Defense Initiative or Star Wars program never came to fruition; welcomed democratic changes within the USSR such as glasnost and perestroika; the end of the Cold War was marked by the collapse of the Berlin Wall and the USSR)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: in 1962, the world stood still as a conflict opened around Cuba; Nixon: summit meetings between the United States and the USSR were generally successful; the Moscow conference was considered to be successful in ending the risk of nuclear war; need for the end of the arms race; Reagan: encouraged peace during his second term by signing treaties to limit nuclear weapons; called for the destruction of the Berlin Wall, the symbol of the Cold War)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that defines the Cold War as a war of ideals and policies rather than direct conflict and a conclusion that states the end to this stressful conflict was orchestrated by differing policies on the part of American presidents.

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. While good information is presented and provides factual support for historical comparisons, it lacks explanation which would add substance to the assessment of United States-Soviet relations.
The Cold war, which lasted for almost half a century had many changing tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union. A peace agreement could turn into the brink of an all out war in a matter of minutes. Three crucial presidents of this time period: John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan each acted for the best interest of the United States in the areas of foreign policy and negotiations. These three presidents all had many methods that kept the United States out of which seemed to be an inevitable nuclear war.

When Kennedy was sworn in as President of the United States he faced possibly the closest event to a nuclear war. Once Kennedy’s “Bay of Pigs” invasion failed to overthrow Castro in the communist nation Cuba it greatly angered Cuba and the Soviet Union. To feel more protected missile bases were created in Cuba by the Soviet Union and were aimed directly at the United States.

Kennedy took action and placed a blockade in front of Cuba to prevent the arrival of more missiles (Document 3). Though Kennedy might have used force to stop the Soviet Union he was not interested in fighting a nuclear war with them. Both Kennedy and Khroushchev wanted to address and prevent the possibility of nuclear war especially after the missile crisis (Document 3a), with both the United States and Soviet Union in agreement with this issue more negotiations took place to limit nuclear weapons and promote a more peaceful relationship (Document 3b).
As relations were improved during Kennedy's presidency, Nixon continued to negotiate with the Soviet to decrease tensions even further. Nixon decided to become more friendly with China, the U.S. began trading and talking with China and the Soviet Union became more cooperative with us out of fear of being left out (Document 4). This eventually led to negotiations about Berlin. Negotiations continued in Moscow and a more positive relationship called détente developed with the Soviet Union resulting in more trading with the United States (Documents). This was a breakthrough in Nixon's foreign policy and many were in disbelief of these events occurring. A conclusion to the cold war was looking very possible.

Unlike Kennedy and Nixon, Reagan seemed more against the Soviet Union (Document 7). Reagan continued a peace time arms race and created the Strategic Defense Initiative because being stronger than the Soviets would give the United States the upper hand. His idea was not to create military equilibrium, instead he wanted the Soviet Union to feel threatened by a greater world power - the United States. Reagan's attitude towards the Soviet Union changed during his presidency however, and he became less hostile. A new Soviet leader Gorbachev reached out to the United States and wanted to improve his country's relationship with the United States. Gorbachev explained Reagan's change of heart during his second term.
(Document 9). Reagan and Gorbachev finally made a peace agreement in 1987 to further reduce nuclear missiles in Europe and came closer to putting an end to the Cold war. Many were surprised to see a leader who was also against the Soviet's government eventually be the one to help end the arms race. Tensions of United States and Soviet Union relations during the Cold War were very dramatic. Presidents Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan each had their own way of dealing with their negotiations. Though their methods and beliefs were different, each was successful in preventing an all out nuclear war.
Anchor Level 3-A

The response:
• Develops the task with some depth
• Is more descriptive than analytical (Kennedy: faced possibly the closest event to a nuclear war; might have used force to stop the Soviet Union, but he was not interested in fighting a nuclear war with them; more negotiations took place to limit nuclear weapons and promote a more peaceful relationship; Nixon: to decrease tensions even further, he decided to become friendly with China; the United States began trading and talking with China, and the Soviet Union became more cooperative with us out of fear of being left out; negotiations continued in Moscow and a more positive relationship called détente developed with the Soviet Union resulting in more trade, a breakthrough in his foreign policy; Reagan: unlike Kennedy and Nixon, he seemed more against the Soviet Union; being stronger than the Soviets would give us the upper hand; many were surprised to see a leader who was so against the Soviet government eventually be the one to help end the arms race)
• Incorporates some relevant information from documents 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9
• Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Kennedy: Bay of Pigs invasion failed to overthrow Castro and greatly angered Cuba and the Soviet Union; Reagan: he and Gorbachev came closer to putting an end to the Cold War)
• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: took action and placed a blockade in front of Cuba to prevent the arrival of more missiles; relations improved during his presidency; both he and Khrushchev wanted to address and prevent the possibility of nuclear war especially after the missile crisis; Nixon: eventually led to negotiations about Berlin; Reagan: continued a peacetime arms race and created the Strategic Defense Initiative; his ideal was not to create military equilibrium; attitude towards the Soviet Union changed during his presidency and he became less hostile; he and Gorbachev further reduced nuclear missiles in Europe)
• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that mentions the dramatic and changing tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union that were dealt with through different methods

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. A chronology of the Kennedy presidency sets the stage for what becomes a discussion of generally improved relations with the Soviet Union during the Nixon and Reagan administrations. While a reliance on document information prevails in the sections on Nixon and Reagan, concluding analytical statements are effective.
The Cold War represented a tense and tumultuous period in American history. The threat of communism inspired fierce policies of containment and brinkmanship. However, the Presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan all represented periods where the dynamics of the Cold War changed drastically. President Kennedy’s youthful vibrance and hopeful campaign promises helped inspire a generation of Americans to the idea that peace is possible. In his Inaugural Address on January 20, 1961, President Kennedy vowed to work for peace with the Soviet Union to avoid almost certain destruction (Document 1). Kennedy’s efforts focused on the youth of America, and their potential to spread support for this idea around the world. The Peace Corps, established under the Kennedy administration, helped send America’s talented youth abroad in an effort to spread American democratic ideals and skills. In spite of Kennedy’s efforts to encourage peace in his Inaugural Address, the Cold War flared up again during the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962 (Document 2). This crisis of 13 days marked the closest point in the entire Cold War that the US and USSR came to a global nuclear war. Destruction of both sides seemed inescapable until the Soviets agreed to dismantle their missile sites in Cuba. Not being afraid to negotiate and learning lessons from the dangerous missile crisis, Kennedy became more interested in slowing the arms race (Document 3).

Although Richard Nixon is best remembered for his infamous involvement in the Watergate Scandal, he also made major contributions to the relaxation of the Cold War. What Nixon
lacked in domestic policies, he made up for in foreign policy finesse. President Nixon’s relations with China helped to spark better relations with the Soviet Union. US relations with China made the Soviets fearful of having an enemy right next door, thus triggering an improvement of US-USSR relations (Document 4). Nixon’s policy of détente represented a movement toward more open and relaxed relations with the Soviet Union. Détente was almost entirely responsible for the opening up new talks with the Soviet Union about trade and science (Document 5).

Perhaps the President most closely related to the end of the Cold War, Ronald Reagan took major steps to ensure better relations with the Soviet Union, especially in his second term. Reagan’s first term as President was marked with foreign policies that emphasized a need to defeat the Soviet Union. In spite of preaching a balanced and conservative federal budget during the campaign, Reagan increased the budget deficit and grew federal spending. As president, Reagan set up the largest and most expensive buildup of military troops in peacetime ever seen in the United States (Document 7). President Reagan’s second term, however, focused on establishing more open and accepting policies toward the Soviets. Inspired by Gorbachev’s new policies of perestroika and glasnost, which allowed for some freedom of speech and some capitalist economic elements, Reagan changed his long-standing anti-Soviet attitude. In his second term, Reagan focused on peacemaking through negotiations at summits that limited
The response:

- Develops some aspects of the task with some depth and others with little depth
- Is more descriptive than analytical (Kennedy: vowed to work for peace with the Soviet Union to avoid almost certain destruction; Nixon: United States relations with China made the Soviets fearful of having an enemy right next door, thus triggering an improvement of United States-USSR relations; détente was almost entirely responsible for the opening up of new talks with the Soviet Union about trade and science; Reagan: the president most closely related to the end of the Cold War; first term as president was marked with foreign policies that emphasized a need to defeat the Soviet Union; second term focused on establishing more open and accepting policies towards the Soviets)
- Incorporates some relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9
- Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Kennedy: efforts for peace focused on the youth of America and their potential to spread support for this idea around the world; established the Peace Corps in an effort to spread American democratic ideals and skills; the Cuban missile crisis marked the closest point in the entire Cold War that the United States and the USSR came to a global nuclear war; destruction of both sides seemed inescapable until the Soviets agreed to dismantle their missile sites in Cuba and the United States promised not to invade Cuba; Reagan: in spite of preaching a balanced and conservative federal budget during the campaign, he set up the largest and most expensive buildup of military troops in peacetime; inspired by Gorbachev’s new policies of perestroika and glasnost, which allowed for some freedom of speech and some capitalist economic elements; helped end the Cold War)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: in spite of his efforts to encourage peace, the Cold War flared up during the Cuban missile crisis; Nixon: détente represented a movement toward more open and relaxed relations with the Soviet Union; Reagan: focused on peacemaking through negotiations at summits that limited nuclear weapons in his second term)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. Some relevant outside information concerning the role of the Peace Corps supplements a brief explanation of Kennedy’s dealings with the Soviet Union during the Cuban missile crisis. Although the Nixon discussion is weaker and is document driven, the discussion of Reagan’s relations with the Soviet Union includes some good historical references and analytical conclusions.
The Cold War was a time of containment for the U.S. The spread of communism after WWII was a major threat and had to be contained. One major country that wanted to spread communism was the Soviet Union. United States presidents, John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan all had to deal with the Soviet Union and each did so differently.

First, John F. Kennedy, faced the threats of spreading communism into Latin America in his presidency. The Cuban Missile crisis of the 1960s scared the U.S. Russian missiles were put on the Cuban island by the Soviet Union which threatened the U.S. (Doc 3) Kennedy stood up to the Soviet Union and dealt with this crisis by creating a blockade of U.S. ships to stop Soviet ships from reaching Cuba. Another way Kennedy tried to deal with the Soviet Union was to work for a peaceful ending which is why he continued to negotiate. Kennedy had threatened to use force if the Soviets didn’t remove the missiles. This showed that Kennedy was willing to make peace with the Soviet Union as long as they agreed with his terms and they did. Even after the missile crisis, the U.S. and the Soviets continued in an arms race to build nuclear weapons (Doc 3a).

However, Kennedy was not looking for a nuclear war, all he wanted to do was make peace with the Soviet Union and end threats of war. Clearly, Kennedy was reasonable and willing to further negotiate to make peace with the communist Soviet Union even
though they were very different from the democratic U.S. (Doc 36)

Richard Nixon had some similar ideas to Kennedy. Nixon agreed to a summit meeting between the Soviet Union and the U.S. to negotiate better relations. (Doc 4) Nixon believed if the U.S. could become friendlier with China then the Soviets would want to make peace with the U.S. This plan worked and the U.S. and Soviet Union made advances in the SALT talks and Berlin negotiations. Another reason Nixon wanted to maintain negotiations with the Soviets was to keep away from nuclear warfare. (Doc 6) By keeping peaceful negotiations with the Soviets it minimized the chances of nuclear war. The major part of Nixon's dealings with the Soviet Union was that through his negotiations he helped change world diplomacy. (Docs)
The strategy was to stress mutual self-interest with the Soviets in hope of making peace by promoting trade and exchanging health information and scientific ideas. All in all, Nixon was similar to Kennedy because he wanted to maintain peace with the Soviet Union as well as stop communism from spreading.

Ronald Reagan was in some ways different from Nixon and Kennedy. Reagan was very anti-soviet in his first term as president. At first Reagan wanted to end the nuclear stalemate with the Soviets with the Strategic Defense Initiative. (Doc 7) With this Reagan wanted to win the arms race over the Soviets and create a bigger military to scare the Soviets into believing
the U.S. would go to war. Also Reagan believed that the Soviets needed to reform their government. Reagan hoped they would continue to permit some economic enterprises to be free from state control. (Doc 8) As Gorbachev began to reform the Soviet Union through a policy of glasnost, Reagan became more hopeful and less anti-Soviet. Reagan believed the Soviets could make a big move toward world peace by tearing down the Berlin Wall which was true because the tearing down of the wall marked the beginning of the end to the Cold War. Reagan changed in his second term of office, he was said to have "understood that it is the peacemakers, above all, who earn a place in history." (Doc 9) This showed that Reagan wasn't so much anti-Soviet anymore but he wanted to make peace with the Soviets. Reagan was at first very strict and didn't trust the Soviet Union but realized that with changing circumstances the U.S. and Soviets could make peace and live together in harmony.

John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan all had different beliefs in order to stop the communist Soviet Union. However, each president did their part in securing the welfare of the United States and creating peace between these rival countries.
Anchor Level 3-C

The response:
- Develops the task with little depth
- Is both descriptive and analytical (Kennedy: faced the threat of spreading communism into Latin America during his presidency; the Cuban missile crisis of the 1960s scared the United States; was willing to make peace with the Soviet Union as long as they agreed with his terms and they did; was not looking for a nuclear war, rather he wanted to end threats of war; Nixon: believed if the United States could become friendlier with China then the Soviets would want to make peace with the United States; wanted to maintain negotiations with the Soviets to keep away from nuclear warfare; strategy was to stress mutual self-interest with the Soviets in the hope of making peace by promoting the exchange of health and scientific ideas; helped change world diplomacy; Reagan: wanted to win the arms race over the Soviets and create a bigger military to scare the Soviets; hoped the Soviets would continue to permit some economic enterprises to be free from state control; believed the Soviets could make a big move towards world peace by tearing down the Berlin Wall; at first did not trust the Soviet Union but realized with changing circumstances, the United States and Soviets could live in peace)
- Incorporates some relevant information from all the documents
- Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Kennedy: stood up to the Soviet Union; threatened to use force if the Soviets did not remove the missiles; Reagan: Gorbachev began to reform the Soviet Union through a policy of glasnost; the tearing down of the Berlin Wall marked the beginning of the end of the Cold War)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: continued to negotiate; Nixon: agreed to a summit meeting between the Soviet Union and the United States; the United States and the Soviet Union made advances in the SALT talks and Berlin negotiations; Reagan: wanted to end the nuclear stalemate with the Soviets with the Strategic Defense Initiative)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are somewhat beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The response demonstrates both Kennedy’s and Nixon’s desire for peace and the containment of communism. The inclusion of additional supporting facts and details would have made the Reagan discussion more effective.
During the Cold War, many U.S. presidents were forced to make decisions concerning U.S. security from the Soviet Union. Though many of these decisions were, and still are, very controversial, they helped maintain peace between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R.

John F. Kennedy set a precedent of strong opposition to the policies of the U.S.S.R., finding common ground in problems the U.S. may have with the Soviet Union. In his 1961 Inaugural Address, he urged U.S. enemies to start over to find common ground (Document 1). Kennedy was not afraid to be aggressive in his disapproval of Soviet policies. This is clear in his response to the Cuban Missile Crisis, in which he ordered a quarantine line of 13 Navy destroyers, surrounding much of the Caribbean (Document 2).

Nixon went much further with negotiating with the Soviet Union through. He met with Soviet leaders in Moscow, to move negotiations further toward détente (Document 5). Nixon saw that without negotiations, the U.S. and USSR would be well on their way towards an out of control nuclear arms race (Document 6).
Ronald Reagan was far more confrontational than his predecessors in dealing with the USSR. Soon after he took office, he had one of the largest peacetime military buildups in American history organized (Document 7). Reagan also called out President Gorbachev, saying that if he truly sought peace, he should come tear down the Berlin Wall (Document 8). Though many U.S. policies were during this time one very controversial, and one larger down upon by many, they helped keep the world safe in avoiding nuclear war.

The response:
• Minimally develops the task
• Is primarily descriptive (Kennedy: set a precedent of finding common ground in problems the United States might have with the Soviet Union; not afraid to be aggressive in his disapproval of Soviet policies which was clear in his response to the Cuban missile crisis; Nixon: without negotiations, the United States and the Soviet Union would be well on their way toward an out-of-control nuclear arms race; Reagan: more confrontational in dealing with the USSR)
• Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8
• Presents no relevant outside information
• Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: ordered a quarantine line of 13 navy destroyers surrounding much of the Caribbean; Nixon: met with Soviet leaders in Moscow to move negotiations further toward détente; Reagan: one of the largest peacetime military buildups in American history; called out President Gorbachev, saying if he truly sought peace, he should tear down the Berlin Wall)
• Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that state while the decisions of three presidents were controversial, they helped maintain peace and avoid nuclear war

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. The comparative statements which introduce each president’s dealings with the Soviet Union are good, but supporting information is limited. Accurate information is taken from the documents but is presented in isolation without sufficient development.
During the Cold War, each U.S. president dealt with the Soviet Union a little differently from the others.

Kennedy's tactics were to improve negotiations with the U.S.S.R. like he said in his inaugural address from Doc. 1. Although he wanted to negotiate, he was also ready to deploy troops like he did in the Cuban missile crisis, but didn't deploy them. Kennedy asked the Soviet Union to help and disarm nuclear weapons because it was "in everyone's interest to seek genuine peace and halt the arms race" (Doc. 35).

Richard Nixon's tactic during the Cold War was to talk with China and become friends with them, in turn,
This would make Russia jealous and want to open talks with the U.S. as well. His tactics worked and led to a successful breakthrough on the SALT treaty and Berlin negotiations (Doc 4). Nixon also used a policy of détente to open economic relations with the Russians.

Ronald Reagan used the largest military peacetime buildup to step up the competition as stated in Doc 7. He also dared Gorbachev to give back freedoms to the Russian citizens and Gorbachev listened and followed him on his dares.

Each President, Kennedy, Reagan and Nixon had their own ways of dealing with the Soviet Union, and each was highly successful.
The response:

- Minimally develops the task
- Is primarily descriptive (*Kennedy*: his tactics were to improve negotiations with the USSR; asked the Soviet Union to disarm nuclear weapons because it was in everyone’s interest to seek genuine peace and halt the arms race; *Nixon*: his tactic was to talk with China and become friends with them which would make Russia jealous and want to open talks with the United States); includes weak application of information from document 8
- Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7
- Presents little relevant outside information (*Kennedy*: did not deploy troops in the Cuban missile crisis but was ready to invade)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Kennedy*: troops quarantined Cuba; *Nixon*: successful breakthrough on the SALT treaty and Berlin negotiations; used a policy of détente to open economic relations with Russia; *Reagan*: largest military peacetime buildup to step up competition)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes a brief introduction and conclusion

**Conclusion:** Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Generally accurate document information regarding presidential dealings with the Soviet Union is chosen to develop the task; however, the transitions needed for an even discussion are missing.
Many of the U.S.'s attitudes and policies toward a war were altered by the Cold War. The U.S.'s relationship with the Soviet Union has drastically changed over time, despite the fact that the two superpowers were once on the brink of war. Throughout its long lifespan, Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan all attempted to alleviate the country's stress by forming new policies and organizations. President John F. Kennedy was one of the three presidents who was forced to deal with the Cold War during his administration. According to him, the most effective way to deal with matters was to send a request for peace (Document 1). The country's Cold War enemies should be confronted with peace offers instead of threats to violence. Another issue Kennedy dealt with was the nuclear arms race. It was clear that both countries were at the brink of war with one another, and with both superpowers beholding deadly powerful nuclear weapons, the situation was extremely dangerous (Document 3a). Kennedy advised the people that
“total war makes no sense” and that their best policy to follow at that time was to prevent the outbreak of full scale war that could potentially end with the destruction of whole nations (Document 3b). Kennedy’s peaceful attitudes won him popularity and helped keep the U.S. out of war with the Soviet Union.

A second President faced with Cold War issues was Richard Nixon. Nixon’s administration was cut short, as he eventually resigned, but he did express ideas throughout his time. Similar to Kennedy, one of his primary goals was to prevent the eruption of a nuclear war. He did this by urging the nation to continue negotiations with the Soviet Union (Document 6). During his time, the two superpowers had not been confronted with brinkmanship.

A third President who dealt with issues of the Cold War was Ronald Reagan. Coming into office, his original attitudes were of a very strong anti-communism. He wanted to restore American self-confidence by
stepping up competition in areas where the U.S.-Soviet rivalry was the greatest. He did this by creating the Strategic Defense Initiative, which attempted to eliminate the nuclear threat to both superpowers and surrounding nations (Document 7). It was clear by the end of his presidency, that Reagan transitioned from a strong anti-communist with hatred of the Soviet Union, to a more peacekeeping, hopeful attitude of restoration (Document 9).

Lastly, three presidents who dealt with the complicated matters of the Cold War were John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan. All three presidents were successful in that a nuclear war was prevented. This is significant today because it is important to recognize events in the past to help influence the future of the United States.
Anchor Level 2-C

The response:
- Minimally develops the task
- Is primarily descriptive (Kennedy: country’s Cold War enemies should be confronted with peace offers instead of threats of violence; with both superpowers holding deathly powerful nuclear weapons, the situation was extremely dangerous; best policy to follow at the time was to prevent the outbreak of a full scale war that could potentially end with the destruction of whole nations; peaceful attitudes helped keep the United States out of war with the Soviet Union; Reagan: by the end of his presidency, he transitioned from a strong anticommunist with hatred of the Soviet Union to a more peacekeeping hopeful attitude)
- Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 1, 3, 6, 7, and 9
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: total war made no sense; the United States and the Soviet Union were at the brink of war with one another; Nixon: one of his primary goals was to prevent the eruption of a nuclear war by urging the nation to continue negotiations; Reagan: wanted to restore American self-confidence by stepping up competition in areas where the rivalry was the greatest)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are somewhat beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Limited document information is used in an attempt to draw attention to the efforts of the three presidents in keeping the United States out of nuclear war. A weak explanation of the purpose of the Strategic Defense Initiative indicates some confusion about Reagan’s decision to step up competition and offers an ambiguous transition to Reagan’s second term.
During the Cold War, world events and changing attitudes influenced the way that United States presidents dealt with the Soviet Union. Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan each used various foreign policy approaches in dealing with the Soviet Union. Each president dealt with the Soviet Union well. President John F. Kennedy, as stated in document 1, wanted both sides to explore what problems unite them, instead of belaboring those problems which divide them. Document 3 states that JFK wanted peace. He believed that total war makes no sense. President Richard Nixon made a policy to change relations with the Soviet Union. There was a breakthrough on SALT and on the Berlin negotiations as stated in Document 4. He wanted to continue to make progress toward limiting arms and wanted to avoid confrontations. President Ronald Reagan
The response:

- Minimally develops the task
- Is descriptive (Kennedy: wanted both sides to explore what problems unite them instead of belaboring those problems which divide them; believed that total war makes no sense; Nixon: wanted to continue to make progress towards limiting arms and wanted to avoid confrontations; Reagan: wanted there to be peace and freedom between the two)
- Includes minimal information from documents 1, 3, 4, 8, and 9
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: wanted peace; Nixon: breakthrough on SALT and on the Berlin negotiations; Reagan: during his second presidency, he emphasized a different set of goals)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; copies the historical context for an introduction and concludes with a brief statement

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. Lack of explanation and few details indicate a very limited understanding of the task. Vague statements from documents 8 and 9 provide little information about Reagan’s dealings with the Soviet Union.
During the Cold War, Kennedy, Nixon, and Reagan handled the situation differently. They each had their own plan for the situation and they had their own opinions of the Soviets.

In Document 2, you can see how Kennedy approached the thought of a blockade of Cuba to prevent nuclear weapons from being brought to Cuba.

In Document 6, you can see Nixon tries to work on a limit of the current arms race and prevent an all-out nuclear war. He works to have good relations with the Soviets.

In Document 7, you see Reagan attempts to prevent the same actions during the cold war by initiating the Strategic Defense Initiative. All three presidents try
The response:
- Minimally develops the task
- Is descriptive (Kennedy: approached the thought of a blockade of Cuba to prevent nuclear weapons from being brought to Cuba; Nixon: tried to prevent an all out nuclear war; worked to have good relations with the Soviets)
- Includes minimal information from documents 2, 6, and 7
- Presents no relevant outside information
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Nixon: tried to work on a limit of the current arms race; Reagan: initiated the Strategic Defense Initiative)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that restates the theme and a concluding statement

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. A basic approach to the task is demonstrated through the inclusion of brief statements copied from the documents about each of three presidents. The reference to the Strategic Defense Initiative adds little to the explanation of Reagan’s dealings with the Soviet Union.
During the cold war the United States' presidents had dealt with the Soviet Union differently from each other. World events and changing attitudes influenced the foreign policies the presidents had used in dealing with the Soviet Union. Although their policies were different, Kennedy, Nixon and Reagan all three presidents seek peace over war.

Kennedy's policies towards the Soviet Union was to try to negotiate over issues with words rather than weapons. (Doc.1) Kennedy knows the dangers of nuclear weapons and the potential destructions nuclear war holds. In 1962 the Soviet Union was shipping nuclear weapons to Cuba when Kennedy was confronted with this issue, he ordered the Navy to blockade Cuba and to prevent any further weapon materials being shipped into Cuba. (Doc.2) Some thought the world was on the verge of destruction. Both nations came extremely close to launching their missile but the Soviets finally gave in. Both nations realized what might have happened and came together and negotiated out of the crisis. When it was over Kennedy wanted to limit the use of nuclear weapons. (Doc.3)

Nixon’s policies towards the Soviet Union were positive. He wished to improve our relationship with the Soviet Union and continue to negotiate. Nixon open the doors between China and the United States in hopes to build a better relationship of détente with the Soviet Union. (Doc.4) He also wanted to continue to negotiate strategic arms limitations that would reduce the number of long-range missiles. Even though they cannot totally accept each other
Compromise and tolerance was necessary for the survivability of both nations. (Doc. 5)

In his first term, Reagan’s policies were very anti-communist and he moved further away from détente. Reagan also orchestrated the most expensive military buildup during peace time (Doc. 7). He was not interested in arms control talks and the Cold War continued. His policies later changed to less anti-communist because he understood peacemakers were the ones who earned a place in history (Doc. 9). As people became worried about a nuclear war and a new Soviet leader came to power, Reagan became more interested in talking to the Soviets. As a result, summits were held and missiles were reduced. He also demanded the Soviets tear down the wall between East and West Berlin (Doc. 8).

All three presidents had different policies during their terms due to world events and influence. Even though their policies were different they promoted peace and negotiation with words.
During the Cold War, world events and changing attitudes influenced the way that United States presidents dealt with the Soviet Union. Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan each used different foreign policy approaches in dealing with the Soviet Union.

Since the beginning of his presidency, John F. Kennedy believed that peace with the Soviet Union was possible. In his inaugural speech on January 20, 1961, Kennedy addressed the American people in his quest for peace and reminded them that the two superpowers should explore the problems that divide them rather than dwell on the problems that divide them. However, the dark powers of destruction still remained. (Document 1)

Nuclear war became more of a threat during the early 1960s. The United States and the Soviet Union had been in an arms race since World War II. American officials feared the Soviet Union increased because of their technological advances during the same period, starting with the launch of Sputnik in 1957. They feared the Soviet Union would send nuclear missiles into space that drop from space onto United States territory. Neither side wanted a nuclear war, but each side was willing to do whatever was necessary to maintain its security. In November of 1962, President Kennedy and Khrushchev both began making attempts towards peace and away from nuclear war after the Cuban missile crisis almost resulted in a nuclear war. (Documents 2 and 3a). Months before Kennedy’s assassination, he had proclaimed that the United States and its allies, along with the Soviet Union and its allies, should work together to achieve a just and genuine peace. This address encouraged Americans to think differently about United States-Soviet relations and started us on the road to halting the arms race. (Document 36)
During the Presidency of Richard M. Nixon, new relationships were created and others were changed as a result of his foreign policies. Nixon hoped for a better relationship with the Soviet Union when he sent Henry Kissinger on a secret trip to China, because a new relationship with the Chinese could make Russian nerves ease. Their trip led to a summit meeting with the Soviet Union. This summit meeting was extremely important. The meeting could change world diplomacy by leading to important improvements in United States-Soviet relations and world peace. (Document 4 and 5)

Nixon was successful in his negotiation attempts with the Soviet Union. He had many reasons as to why he wanted to continue détente, but one of the most important was that Nixon wanted to continue to make progress towards limiting arms toward avoiding confrontations which might explode into war, and negotiations for reduction of forces in Europe. To go back to the early days of the Cold War were too dangerous. (Document 6)

Like the president before him, Ronald Reagan also continued to make negotiations with the Soviet Union. But unlike the others, Reagan was successful in areas that the others before him were not because of his insistence on a big military build-up and a star wars defense system. (Document 6) The Soviet Union had begun political and economic reforms, but Reagan wanted to know if they truly were in a state of peace and change. He ordered General Secretary Gorbachev to tear down the wall, the Berlin wall, creating peaceful freedom between East Germany and West Germany. He did this to test the Soviets’ commitment to changing its treacherous Communist ways. (Document 8). Reagan’s pre-traditional beliefs for the United States caused him to be optimistic during his first
Presidential term but not when it came to the Soviet Union. But during his second term he changed. He emphasized a different set of goals, becoming more of a peacemaker between the United States and the Soviet Union. 

Throughout the decades following the Second World War, the United States and the Soviet Union had conflicting differences, most contributing to the constant threat of war and even nuclear war. But through the negotiations and peace treaties developed by Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard M. Nixon, Ronald Reagan, the United States has maintained more of an equilibrium or state of balance between themselves and the Soviet Union, facing both sides to be more substantial for the good of the world.
During the Cold War, world events and changing attitudes influenced the way the United States President dealt with the Soviet Union. Presidents John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan each used various foreign policy approaches in dealings with the Soviet Union.

All of these presidents used a different approach towards the Soviet Union, but all of them tried to make relations better. In the end, John F. Kennedy and Richard Nixon tried to make peace and negotiate with them. John F. Kennedy set up a quarantine during the Cuban Missile Crisis and tried negotiating with the Soviet Union to make everything more peaceful. (Doc #2) JFK spoke for peace in the Commencement Address at American University in Washington D.C., and he said that a total war would make no sense, so he continued to negotiate and try to find a better way of settling our differences. (Doc #3)

Richard Nixon had a very similar approach. He felt we needed to continue to negotiate because if we didn't and went to war, unnecessary deaths with occur from both sides, and we don't want that. (Doc #4)

However, Ronald Reagan had a very different approach. He tried to "show them up" and build an army.
First is bigger, better, and stronger than theirs. (Doc #7)
He made powerful speeches and called out a General Secretary of the Soviet Union, Mikhail Gorbachev. He said to
"Come here to the Gulf, tear down this wall." (Doc #5).
He used a more forceful approach but in the end, peace came.

All three presidents used different tactics, but in the end, peace was made, and these countries were no longer a threat to each other.

***************

In this essay I will tell you how the presidents during the Cold War dealt with the Soviet Union.
I will start with President John F. Kennedy. He dealt with matters in an anti-war kind of way as shown in Document 3a. Kennedy wanted the end of the threat nuclear weapons posed. He wanted to avoid a nuclear disaster spreading across the globe but at the same time wanted to stay out of direct dealings with the Soviet Union.
The next president I want to talk about is Richard Nixon's dealings with the Soviet Union. Nixon handled the problems differently. He believed we should put our differences aside and befriended the Soviets, as shown in document Seven. He sought out treaties and deals to slow down the Arms race.

The last president of the Cold War era that I would like to discuss is Ronald Reagan. At the beginning of his presidency he did not want to have any part with the Soviets. As he continued into his second term, as shown in document nine, he began to act differently. He began slowing down the production of war goods and nuclear weapons. Shortly after the U.S. and the Soviets met and officially finished the building up of Arms (Arms Race).

That is how the three presidents during the Cold War dealt with the Soviet Union.
During the Cold War, the United States and Soviet relationship was like a roller coaster. One moment it's an arms race, the next it's an arms reduction. Another moment there's short peace, the next it's the possibility of war. What causes these ups and downs? It's the presidents and the leaders of the Soviet Union because they are the people behind the decisions, the negotiations, and ultimately the fate of the world.

First up, there was John F. Kennedy. Kennedy was a brave president. He went face to face with Khrushchev and nuclear war with the Soviet Union and the world came out in one piece. (Doc 5a) The event was known as the Cuban Missile Crisis. The Soviet Union placed nuclear missiles in Cuba just 90 miles off the coast of Florida and U.S. soil. It was a scary time in which there seemed like no decision would be the right way to handle it. Should the U.S. attack Cuba first before the Soviets could react? Should the U.S. wait like a sitting duck for an attack? Either way might bring about a bad end for the world. But out of the darkness comes a shred of hope as Kennedy did the bravest most nerve wracking thing he could do.

[68]
The navy set up a blockade of Cuba and we had to wait to see if the Soviet Union would cross it. (Doc. 2) The waiting paid off because negotiations were made and in exchange for the Soviets removing their missiles from Cuba, the U.S. would not attack Cuba and promised to remove its missiles from Turkey. Potential nuclear war was avoided.

Next up: Nixon. During Nixon’s term in office he was determined to manipulate the playing field so that the Soviets would want to have a better relationship with the United States. How he did this was he approached China. He knew China would become a powerful country and it would be beneficial to have some economic and political ties to them even though China is a communist nation. He traveled to China and the U.S. began to trade with them. He figured that the Soviet’s would be nervous seeing the United States on friendly terms with Communist China and indeed they were. This manipulation on Nixon’s part brought about some breakthroughs with the Soviet Union on SALT and on the Berlin negotiations. (Doc. 4) Nixon also called for arms reduction. He thought that it was one of two choices.
arms reduction or run the risk of starting a nuclear war which would destroy the world. (Doc. 6) He chose détente. (Doc. 5)

Finally there's Reagan. During his first term Reagan wanted to boost America's self-confidence and its military. (Doc. 9) He wanted to show that America was better than the Soviet Union and set about to do so with an increase of arms and speeches about how the Soviet Union was an "evil empire." He wanted to show America was more powerful and better equipped. As America stepped up its competition so did the Soviet Union. Once again it was at military equilibrium. To some it seemed like a stalemate. Each side was almost the same as the arms race continued. (Doc. 7) But later on in his Presidency Reagan changed his tune. As he began to see the Soviet economy changing with perestroika and saw them take steps toward a free society, he opened his mind toward a peaceful relationship with the Soviet Union. In 1987 he signed the intermediate-range nuclear forces treaty, which set the gears in motion for arms reduction. This actually was the start to the end of the arms race. The
man who wanted the building up of arms was
now calling for the reduction of arms. \( \text{Doc. 9} \)
just another part of the roller coaster
ride of the Cold War.
And thus was the roller coaster ride
the U.S. and the Soviet Union rode during
the Cold War. Thanks to the different
leaders the ride was diverse, full of ups,
downs, and some more ups. Maybe
looking back at the Cold War will help
influence improvement in the relationship
between the United States and other
trouble spots today.
The response:
• Develops the task with little depth
• Is more descriptive than analytical (Kennedy: knew the dangers of nuclear weapons and the potential destruction nuclear war held; some thought the world was on the verge of destruction; when the missile crisis was over, he wanted to limit the use of nuclear weapons; Nixon: even though they could not totally accept each other, compromise and tolerance was necessary for the survivability of both the United States and the Soviet Union; Reagan: moved further away from détente; not interested in arms control talks and the Cold War continued; as people became worried about a nuclear war and a new Soviet leader came to power, he became more interested in talking to the Soviets)
• Incorporates some relevant information from all the documents
• Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Kennedy: in 1962, both nations came extremely close to launching their missiles, but the Soviets finally gave in; both nations realized what might have happened and came together and negotiated out of the crisis; Nixon: wanted to continue to negotiate strategic arms limitations that would reduce the number of long-range missiles)
• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: tried to negotiate over issues with words rather than weapons; Nixon: opened the door between China and the United States in hopes of building a better relationship of détente with the Soviet Union; Reagan: in his first term, his policies were very anticommunist; orchestrated the most expensive military buildup during peacetime; summits were held and missiles were reduced; demanded the Soviets tear down the wall between East and West Berlin)
• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are a little beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The policy of each president is stated and in the case of Kennedy is followed by a good historical assessment of the Cuban missile crisis. Although some analysis is included in the discussion of Nixon and Reagan, more outside information would have provided a better understanding of their relations with the Soviet Union.
Practice Paper B—Score Level 3

The response:
• Develops the task with little depth
• Is more descriptive than analytical (Kennedy: believed that peace with our enemy the Soviet Union was possible; neither side wanted a nuclear war but each side was willing to do whatever was necessary to maintain its security; encouraged Americans to think differently about United States-Soviet relations; Nixon: a new relationship with the Chinese could make Russia nervous; the summit meeting could change world diplomacy by leading to important improvements in United States-Soviet relations and maybe world peace; to go back to the early days of the Cold War was too dangerous; Reagan: wanted to test the Soviet commitment to changing its harsh communist ways)
• Incorporates some relevant information from all the documents
• Incorporates limited relevant outside information (Kennedy: the United States and the Soviet Union had been in an arms race since World War II; American officials fear of the Soviet Union increased because of their technological advances during this time period starting with the launch of Sputnik in 1957; Reagan: Star Wars defense system)
• Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: addressed the American people and reminded them that the two superpowers should explore the problems that unite them; nuclear war became more of a threat during the early 1960s; he and Khrushchev both began making attempts towards peace and away from nuclear war after the Cuban missile crisis almost resulted in a nuclear war; Nixon: sent Henry Kissinger on a secret trip to China; détente; continue progress toward limiting arms, to avoid confrontations which might explode into war, and to negotiate for reduction of forces in Europe; Reagan: the Soviet Union had begun political and economic reforms; ordered Gorbachev to tear down the Berlin Wall; emphasized a different set of goals in his second term becoming more of a peacemaker between the United States and the Soviet Union)
• Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction that is a restatement of the theme and a conclusion that is somewhat beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. Document information is used to focus on the successes of each president in dealing with the Soviet Union. Although the premise that Reagan’s success was a result of different factors than those that influenced Kennedy and Nixon is accurate, the response lacks details and facts to support this premise and this weakens the discussion.
Practice Paper C—Score Level 2

The response:
• Minimally develops the task
• Is primarily descriptive (Kennedy: tried negotiating with the Soviet Union to make everything more peaceful; total war would make no sense, tried to find a better way of settling our differences; Nixon: needed to continue to negotiate because if we did not and went to war, unnecessary deaths would occur on both sides; Reagan: tried to show the Soviets up and build an army that was bigger, better, and stronger)
• Incorporates limited relevant information from documents 2, 3, 6, 7, and 8
• Presents no relevant outside information
• Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: set up a quarantine during the Cuban missile crisis; Reagan: made powerful speeches; he told Gorbachev to tear down the wall)
• Demonstrates a general plan of organization; copies the historical context for the introduction and includes a brief conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. A limited case is made to support the idea of a peaceful approach to the Soviet Union during the Kennedy administration and general information is used to describe Nixon’s policies. The policies of Reagan’s second administration are ignored in an attempt to demonstrate that his approach was more forceful.

Practice Paper D—Score Level 1

The response:
• Minimally develops the task
• Is descriptive (Kennedy: dealt with matters in an antiwar kind of way; wanted to avoid a nuclear disaster spreading across the globe; Nixon: believed we should put our differences aside and befriend the Soviets; Reagan: began slowing down the production of nuclear weapons)
• Includes minimal information from documents 3, 6, and 9
• Presents no relevant outside information
• Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (Kennedy: wanted to end the threat nuclear weapons posed; Nixon: sought treaties and deals to slow down the arms race; Reagan: at the beginning of his presidency, he did not want to have any part with the Soviets; as he continued into his second term, he began to act differently); includes inaccuracies (Kennedy: wanted to stay out of direct dealings with the Soviet Union; Nixon: cites document 7 instead of document 6; Reagan: began slowing down the production of war goods; the United States and the Soviets met and officially finished the building up of the arms race)
• Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes a brief introduction and a brief conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. Presidential dealings with the Soviet Union are identified using generalities with little explanation. Although there is an attempt to compare Kennedy and Nixon, the information presented does not support the comparison.
The response:

- Develops the task by discussing how the United States dealt with the Soviet Union during the presidencies of John F. Kennedy, Richard Nixon, and Ronald Reagan
- Is both descriptive and analytical (Kennedy: went face to face with Khrushchev and nuclear war with the Soviet Union in the Cuban missile crisis and the world came out in one piece; a scary time in which it seemed like no decision would be the right way to handle the crisis; waiting or attacking might bring about a bad ending for the world; Nixon: determined to manipulate the playing field so that the Soviets would want to have a better relationship with the United States; knew China would become a powerful country and it would be beneficial to have some economic and political ties to them even though China was a communist nation; figured that the Soviets would be nervous seeing the United States on friendly terms with Communist China and indeed they were; thought the two choices were arms reduction or run the risk of starting a nuclear war which would destroy the world; Reagan: wanted to show that America was better than the Soviet Union and he set about to do so with an increase of arms and speeches about how the Soviet Union was an “evil empire”; he wanted to show America was more powerful and better equipped; as America stepped up its competition so did the Soviet Union; military equilibrium seemed like a stalemate; arms reduction was the start to the end of the arms race)
- Incorporates relevant information from documents 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9
- Incorporates relevant outside information (Kennedy: nuclear missiles were just 90 miles off the coast of Florida and United States soil; the question was should the United States attack Cuba first before the Soviets could react; we had to wait to see if the Soviet Union would cross the blockade; in exchange for removing Soviet missiles from Cuba, the United States would not attack Cuba and promised to remove its missiles from Turkey; Reagan: began to see the Soviet economy changing with perestroika and saw them take steps toward a freer society)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (Nixon: traveled to China and the United States began to trade with them; brought about some breakthroughs with the Soviet Union on SALT and on the Berlin negotiations; called for arms reduction; chose détente; Reagan: wanted to boost America’s self-confidence and its military; in 1987, he signed the intermediate-range nuclear forces treaty which set the gears in motion for arms reduction)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that compare the United States-Soviet relationship during the Cold War to riding a roller coaster

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. The comparison of United States-Soviet relations during the Cold War to a roller coaster is predominantly supported by document explanation and interpretation. Analysis and a discussion of historical development are bolstered by the inclusion of some outside information.
### Part I
Multiple Choice Questions by Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Question Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1—United States and New York History</td>
<td>6, 9, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 48, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2—World History</td>
<td>35, 36, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3—Geography</td>
<td>1, 3, 13, 15, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4—Economics</td>
<td>5, 12, 19, 21, 25, 30, 31, 41, 43, 45, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5—Civics, Citizenship, and Government</td>
<td>2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 42, 47, 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parts II and III by Theme and Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thematic Essay</td>
<td>Constitutional Principles: Supreme Court Decisions; Diversity; Minority Rights; Citizenship Standards 1 and 5: United States and New York History; Civics, Citizenship, and Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document-based Essay</td>
<td>Presidential Decisions and Actions; Foreign Policy; Interdependence; Change; Science and Technology Standards 1, 2, 3, and 4: United States and New York History; World History; Geography; Economics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Chart for Determining the Final Examination Score for the January 2011 Regents Examination in United States History and Government will be posted on the Department’s web site http://www.p12.nysed.gov/osa/ on the day of the examination. Conversion charts provided for the previous administrations of the United States History and Government examination must NOT be used to determine students’ final scores for this administration.

Submitting Teacher Evaluations of the Test to the Department

Suggestions and feedback from teachers provide an important contribution to the test development process. The Department provides an online evaluation form for State assessments. It contains spaces for teachers to respond to several specific questions and to make suggestions. Instructions for completing the evaluation form are as follows:


2. Select the test title.

3. Complete the required demographic fields.

4. Complete each evaluation question and provide comments in the space provided.

5. Click the SUBMIT button at the bottom of the page to submit the completed form.