

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK / ALBANY, NY 12234

New York State Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) Part 3 Rubric Text Analysis: Exposition

Responses at this Level: Responses at this Level:	-introduce a central idea and/or a writing rintroduce a confused or incomplete central idea or writing strategy and/or author's use of the writing strategy to author's use of the writing strategy to	n se ant	-exhibit inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent response	-lack a formal style, using language that is basic, inappropriate, or imprecise directly from the task or text directly from the task or text are minimal, making assessment unreliable	-demonstrate emerging control of -demonstrate a lack of control of conventions with some errors that binder conventions with frequent errors that
3 Responses at this Level:	-introduce a clear central idea and a rintroduce a clear central idea and a strat for analysis for analysis -demonstrate an appropriate analysis of -den the author's use of the writing strategy to auth		-exhibit acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent and response coherent	-establish and maintain a formal style, lacl using appropriate language and structure is be	-demonstrate partial control of conventions with occasional errors that comprehension comprehension
4 Responses at this Level:	-introduce a well-reasoned central idea and a writing strategy that clearly establish the criteria for analysis demonstrate a thoughtful analysis of the author's use of the writing strategy to		-exhibit logical organization of ideas and information to create a cohesive and coherent response	-establish and maintain a formal style, using precise language and sound structure	-demonstrate control of the conventions with infrequent errors
Criteria	Content and Analysis: the extent to which the response conveys complex ideas and information clearly and accurately in order to respond to the task and support an analysis of the	Command of Evidence: the extent to which the response presents evidence from the provided text to support analysis	Coherence, Organization, and Style: the extent to which the response logically organizes complex ideas,	concepts, and information using formal style and precise language	Control of Conventions: the extent to which the response demonstrates command of conventions of

A response that is a personal response and makes little or no reference to the task or text can be scored no higher than a 1.

A response that is totally copied from the text with no original writing must be given a 0.

A response that is totally unrelated to the task, illegible, incoherent, blank, or unrecognizable as English must be scored as a 0.

Anchor Paper - Part 3 - Level 4 - A

The South Pole explorer clearly develops an important and central thema throughout the memoir excerpted from his diary. The explorer conveys that the destruction of the Endurance (the ship that was his "floating home") was heartbreaking. The explorer states that it was in the ship that he had "centered ambitions, hopes, and desires." At several points, the explorer states that he finds it difficult to put into words his true feelings about the dernise of the Endurance. He & explained that even though he had planned for the possible disaster, and the end of the ship was "looming ahead for many months," he was greatly dishrbed by the tragedy. The South Pole, uses clear details to share his feelings with the audience.

The explorer extensively develops his main theme through the use of figurative language, primarily Personification. The explorer gives the ship human qualities to illustrate the importance of the Endurance in his life and heart. The most vivid example of personification was, "Now, straining and graning... her wounds gaping, she is slowly giving up her sentient life..." This personification shows how the explorer feels the pain of his ship "dying." The explorer also refers to the ship as "her" or "she." On the other hand, the explorer personifies the cause of destruction: the floes. He refers to the event as "the attack of the ice." Secondly, the explorer uses a simile while describing the downfall of the Endurance, He stated the ship was "snapping with a noise like heavy gunfire." The explorer equates the splitting noise to heavy gunfire, using the norward "like." This goes to show that at the moment at which his ship was destroyed, it was as if a loved one was being guaned down. The explorer Successfully reached the audience and conveyed his feelings through the beautiful though one possible language.

Anchor Level 4-A

The response introduces a well-reasoned central idea [The explorer conveys that the destruction of the Endurance (the ship that was more than just his "floating home") was heartbreaking] and a writing strategy (The explorer extensively develops his main theme through the use of figurative language, primarily Personification) that clearly establish the criteria for analysis. The response demonstrates a thoughtful analysis of the author's use of figurative language to develop the central idea (This personification shows how the explorer feels the pain of his ship "dying" and This goes to show that at the moment at which his ship was destroyed, it was as if a loved one was being gunned down). The response presents ideas clearly and consistently, making effective use of specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (The explorer states that it was in the ship that he had "centered ambitions, hopes, and desires" and the explorer personifies the cause of destruction: the floes. He refers to the event as "the attack of the ice"). The response exhibits logical organization of ideas and information to create a cohesive and coherent response by first introducing a central idea that focuses on how the explorer was greatly disturbed by the tragedy and then presenting a writing strategy and demonstrating how the use of figurative language successfully reached the audience and conveyed his feelings. The response establishes and maintains a formal style, using precise language and sound structure (The explorer gives the ship human qualities to illustrate the importance of the Endurance in his life and heart and The explorer also refers to the ship as "her" or "she"). The response demonstrates control of conventions with infrequent errors (primarily Personification).

when have is lingering and strength is drawning

augments his explanation of the disaster

they will not nouclear. That unwavening hope for the future is one of humankinds greatest assets that aids us through the agreest of times as it aid as for the south face explorer a chance for a future, a chance for life, that is worth fighting for.

Anchor Level 4-B

The response introduces a well-reasoned central idea (He details their current predicament and records the circumstances that had led up to their detrimental situation. However, throughout the entire piece, there is that lingering hope and perseverance to trudge forward) and a writing strategy (Through strong diction, the explorer conveys that underlying sense of hope in his journal to maybe make it home alive with his crew and expresses that if they don't, they at least tried) that clearly establish the criteria for analysis. The response demonstrates a thoughtful analysis of the author's use of diction to develop the central idea (he utilizes diction that personifies their ship. By using phrases such as "straining and groaning" and "her wounds gaping," the author highlights the end of their ship as a death and Although the challenges that await them will test their strength, they will venture forward). The response presents ideas clearly and consistently, making effective use of specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (the explorer augments his explanation of the disaster by stating, "I cannot describe the impression of the relentless destruction that was forced upon me" and He utilizes phrases such as "long and strenous" to describe the current journey ahead. He states that the journey they are about to embarck on is going to be exceptionally difficult yet they are still going to try their absolute hardest to make it to safety with no lives lost). The response exhibits logical organization of ideas and information to create a cohesive and coherent response, first introducing and explaining a central idea and writing strategy, then discussing how the explorer utilizes descriptive diction to establish the severity of their predicament and his perseverance to trudge on even though the odds are against them, and concluding with a summative paragraph (That unwavering hope for the future is one of humankinds greatest assets that aids us through the darkest of times as it did for the South Pole explorer). The response establishes and maintains a formal style, using precise language and sound structure (He details their current predicament and records the circumstances that had led up to their detrimental situation) The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (one ... They, him and his crew, strenous, embarck, probility, humankinds) that do not hinder comprehension.

The language used helps (onvey a typeful tone in this Diece which arently helps to develop the central persenverance even through In the excerpt, mentioned as "fateful" and ship breaking in the as "sichenina "relentless destruction" and "cannihilating dismal picture and conveys a dismal However, the tone later turns hopeful when the nuther is dicussing titure with language such as "good morale" "safetu". the ship is called Endurance which crew will need creal he looks towards the future and leaves us with the pawerful must shape himself to a new mark directly The language used dismal tone with then shifts towards a theme wast of

Anchor Level 3-A

The response introduces a well-reasoned central idea and a writing strategy that clearly establish the criteria for analysis (The language used helps convey a dismal yet hopeful tone in this piece which greatly helps to develop the central idea of perserverance even through the worst conditions). The response demonstrates a thoughtful analysis of the author's use of tone to develop the central idea (The language used in this excerpt creates a dismal tone with the destruction of the ship but then shifts towards a more hopeful tone when disscussing the future. These tones help the reader to identify the central theme of perserverance even in the worst of times). The response presents ideas sufficiently, making adequate use of relevant evidence to support analysis (the description of the ship breaking in the ice uses language such as "sickening sensation", "relentless destruction" and "annihilating" which paints a dismal picture and conveys a dismal tone and the tone later in the piece, turns hopeful ... with language such as "good morale", "trust" and "safety"). The response exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent response, first identifying the writing strategy and the central idea, then addressing the dismal tone and then changing to one of hope when the author is dicussing the future, and concluding with a recognition of the author's concern for the safety of his crew and a reiteration of the writing strategy and central theme. The response establishes and maintains a formal style, using appropriate language and structure (It can also be noted that the ship is called the Endurance which is exactly what the crew will need to make it to safety). The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (sickening sensation"; piece, turns; crew he; ground".; disscussing) that do not hinder comprehension.

The central idea of this text is that the worklassy than narrator undergoes the struggle of loosing his ship ambition. This text along with his hopes desires, and shows us how he apped with the adversity, and how he never gave up during their tough time. The author uses the literary element of point of view in order to develop this central idea. Point of view helps develop the central idea of this text, because it gives the reader an idea of what the narrator is going through. His feelings are being portrayed throughout this text so that we can understand being thrown at the so narrator, and how unfor tunate deal with the THE WAR WARRANT TON EXAMPLE, IT STATES SET ID lines 41-43, "... relentless destruction that was forced upon me as I noted down and around. The fives, with force of millions of tons of moving ice behind them, annihilating the ship." This quote from the text illustrates how extreme the distructions is. This is the extent of the hardship that the quel through, and the reader can better understand the unfortunate situation he is in Despite this difficult situation he is in, the narrator Still coped with the struggle, and help the people surrounding him, and numberly. it states lines 57-58," The task was now to secure the safety of the party, and to that I must bend my energies and mental power and apply every bit

Anchor Paper – Part 3 – Level 3 – B

of knowledge that the experience had given me."

This quote shows how the the narrator chose to

deal with this fatal setuation.

Anchor Level 3-B

The response introduces a clear central idea (*The central idea of this text is that the narrator undergoes the struggle of loosing his ship, along with his hopes, desires, and ambition*) and a writing strategy (*The author uses the literary element of point of view, in order to develop this central idea*) that establish the criteria for analysis. The response demonstrates an appropriate analysis of the author's use of point-of-view to develop the central idea (*Point of view helps develop the central idea of this text, because it gives the reader an idea of what the narrator is going through*). The response presents ideas sufficiently, making adequate use of relevant evidence to support analysis, using a quote from the text to illustrate how extreme the destruction of the ship is and the extent of the hardship that the narrator goes through and a second quote to support how the narrator still copes with the struggle. The response exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent response, first identifying the central idea and a literary element, then addressing the hardships the narrator faces and suggesting a focus on how he chooses to deal with the unfortunate circumstances, and ending with reference to the narrator's desire to help the people surrounding him and himself as he deals with this fatal situation. The response establishes and maintains a formal style, using appropriate language and structure (Despite this difficult situation he is in, the narrator still copes with the struggle). The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (loosing; view, in order; text, because; himself. it) that do not hinder comprehension.

Have you ever done something hard (like a sport, test, competition, etc.) and felt so close to giving up? That is exactly what the south explorers man it, me were tired, weary and ready to give up see telt terrible abandon their remained hopeful because after all Still alive. The author of this excorpt used sympolism to allexage develop the central idea. benefit central idea of this excerpt was Hope. The excerpt teaches us that we must never give up on our dreams. It teaches us that even when we fall we should just pich ourselves back up. We should to brush ourselves off and while there is still hope the dream will never die. We learn that the journay may be long word complicated, but we must always be able to keep our eyes on the goal. The author was able to develop the central through the use of symbolism. The Endurance snip was a symbol for the means to the dream. We understand that the author depended on the ship in lines 8-10 "To his Ship is more. I had conferred ambitions, hopes, and desires." Then in the following lines ... giving up her sentient life that the Hope is whether

fading away. We see now the explorer's journey is taling som aboutly stopped. Morey.

In lines 11-13 we see that the ship has given and had to be abandoned. This shows the explorer's loss because he feels as if it is the end. Then he realizes its not the shae end because he is still alive, he still has another chance to retry his dream. The death of the ship does not mean the end of the aream.

The author was able to develop the central idea through symbolism. The ship's dath was merely an obstacle that the author had to overcome in order to achieve the dream.

Anchor Level 3-C

The response introduces a clear central idea (*The central idea of this excerpt was Hope. The excerpt teaches us that we must never give up on our dreams*) and a writing strategy (*symbolism*) that establish the criteria for analysis. The response demonstrates a superficial analysis of the author's use of symbolism to develop the central idea (*The Endurance ship was a symbol for the means to the dream*). The response presents ideas sufficiently, making adequate use of relevant evidence to support analysis (*We understand that the author depended on the ship in lines 8–10 "To a sailor his ship is more ... I had centered ambitions, hopes, and desires"* and *We see how the explorer's journey is abruptly stopped. In lines 11–13 we see that the ship has given and had to be abandoned*). The response exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent response by introducing the writing strategy and the central idea, followed by a paragraph that illustrates examples of symbolism and then changing emphasis on hope (*Then he realizes its not the end because he is still alive*) and ending with a reiteration of the central idea and writing strategy (*The author was able to develop the central idea through symbolism. The ship's death was merely an obstacle that the author had to overcome in order to achieve the dream*). The response lacks a formal style, using language that is basic, inappropriate (*Have you ever done something hard ... and felt so close to giving up?* and *We should be able to brush ourselves off and start back up*) and imprecise (*the South explorers* and *he still has another chance to retry his dream*). The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (*felt, they; they had forced to; because after all they; its not; alive, he*) that do not hinder comprehension.

idra

Anchor Level 2-A

The response introduces a central idea (*The central idea of the text was perseverance and determination in terms of survival*) and a writing strategy (*One literary element that emphasizes the central idea is imagery*). The response demonstrates a superficial analysis of the author's use of imagery to develop the central idea (*In the diary he states "To-night the temprature has dropped ... and most of the men are cold and uncomfertable." This shows that the had to suffer through harsh conditions).* The response presents ideas inadequately and inaccurately by misquoting the text and providing an incorrect example of imagery in an attempt to support analysis (*Another example of imagery is "the destruction and abandoment is no sudden shock"*). The response exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent response with one paragraph that introduces a central idea and establishes a context for the crew's determination to survive and a second paragraph that is devoted to the writing strategy, employing two quotes as proposed examples of that strategy and an explanation of each quote. The response lacks a formal style, using language that is basic and imprecise (*they made it to where they were headed, the had to suffer, and goes back to*). The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (*ice they; surivie; temprature; abandoment ... shock." which shows*) that do not hinder comprehension.

authors tone in

for

Anchor Level 2-B

The response introduces a central idea (One central Idea In this story is that no matter what happens you can't think about yourself you have to think what is at risk) and two writing strategies (The authors tone in this story is he is brave and he also uses conflict in this story). The response demonstrates a superficial analysis of the author's use of conflict to develop the central idea (The sailor knows whats gonna happen, his ship is sinking) but does not refer to tone specifically. The response presents ideas inconsistently and inadequately in an attempt to support analysis, consisting mainly of plot summary, with no direct reference to conflict in the discussion (During this expedition he is going through some Icebergs which damages the ship), making use of some evidence that may be irrelevant (and probably owner of this ship). There is no discussion of tone. The response exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, providing an opening paragraph which refers to the author's use of tone and conflict and references a central idea (no matter what happens you can't think about yourself you have to think what is at risk), a second paragraph that deals mainly with plot summary, and a final paragraph that discusses the brave sailor (He thanked them for evrything they have done for him he should them their gratitude), failing to create a coherent response. The response lacks a formal style, using language that is inappropriate (the sailor isn't dumb and he wasn't gonna) and imprecise (he should them). The response demonstrates emerging control of conventions with some errors (authors tone; Icebergs; abadoning; upons; ground'' in other words the old him; about himself he thought) that hinder comprehension.

I the text an exceept from menois
of a south pole explorer The there is
Af a south pole explorer the there is Many central ideas and marry literary
cleripents but the mon literan element and
Central deu is conflit and Now air up and
Planing an always help, The central dea 15 planing Pay an incessor can saveyow the
15 O Planing Por an incevent can saveyow the
and Hishola Hhragh conflict and human
USfate or human us nature.
This guy that had planned
for an explasting to the Anartha had though
ahad he kenthat theish, a might hread
10-to, it's oldprigoxix body It hudbeen
Stouck twice in the mittel ice once
Prior to ad ber 27 and on october 27
the ice we pushing on the boat, cracking the
hull The plane for labanding this incase of
cen emery had been made well in advance,
The merand days. without a hitch [1 nes 236-38]
To conclude the central deas that preparing
renscar peoples lives and it was shown in conflict
homas us pater.

Anchor Level 2-C

The response introduces a central idea (*Never give up and planing can always help*) and a writing strategy (*it is showed throgh conflict human vs fate or human vs nature*). The response demonstrates a superficial analysis of the author's use of conflict to develop the central idea (*This guy that had planned for an exploration to the Anartica had thought ahead*). The response presents ideas inadequately and inaccurately in an attempt to support analysis (*It had been stuck twice in the mist of ice onec prior to october 27 and on october 27*). The response exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, with one paragraph that introduces multiple central ideas and a writing strategy, a body paragraph that attempts to discuss the central idea of planning with some evidence that is inaccurate (*he kew that the ship might break do to its old ... body*) and a single quote from the text that is insufficiently copied (*well in advance, The men and dogs ... without a hitch*), and a conclusion with a single sentence that restates one of the central ideas and says it was shown by the writing strategy. The response lacks a formal style, using language that is basic and imprecise (*I the text* and *This guy*). The response demonstrates a lack of control of conventions with frequent errors (*exccerpt; there is Many; elemenents but; incedant; itish showed throgh conflict human, boat. cracking; abanding ship incase*) that make comprehension difficult.

Anchor Paper - Part 3 - Level 1 - A

In the story he uses personissication when the Says "Her timbers cracking and her wounds gaping, she is slowly giving up her sentient life at
giving up her sentient life at
giving up her sentient life at
the very outside of her
Career " This person, section shows how
much he truly values this ship.

Anchor Level 1-A

The response introduces a writing strategy (personification), but demonstrates a minimal analysis of the author's use of personification (This personification shows how much he truely values this ship) to develop the central idea. The response presents ideas inadequately in an attempt to support analysis (In the story he uses personification when he says "Her timbers crackig and her wounds gaping, she is slowly giving up her sentient life at the very outside of her career"). The response is minimal, making assessment of coherence, organization, style, and conventions unreliable.

THROUGHOUT OUR LIVES WE GO THROUGH A

JOURNY TO FIND WHO WE TRUELY ARE. WE

OFTEN QUESTION WHAT OUR PURPOSE INTHIS

WORLD IS. WE GO ON A JOURNY AS WE GROW

TO DISCOVER OURSELVES. SOMETIMES THE JOURNY
IS REAL BUT SOMETIMES IT IS IMACINED. THE

JOURNY THROUGH OUR SCHOOL YEARS IS

TOUGH BUT REWARDING. WE CAN USE THE

TIME IN SCHOOL TO LEARN ABOUT THE JOURNYS

OF OTHERS AND ABOUT THE DANGERS THEY FACED

THAT CAN BE WORSE THAN THE DANGERS WE

FACE, I COULD NEVER BE AN EXPLORER.

Anchor Level 1-B

The response introduces a central idea that is personal in nature (*Throughout our lives we go through a journy to find who we truely are*), with no analysis of the author's use of a writing strategy to develop the central idea. The response presents no evidence from the text beyond a vague reference to the idea of *explorer*. The response exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent response, consisting of one paragraph that describes how *we go on a journy as we grow to discover ourselves*. The response establishes and maintains a formal style, using appropriate language and structure (*We often question what our purpose in this world is*). The response demonstrates partial control of conventions with occasional errors (*journy, truely, real but*) that do not hinder comprehension. The response can be scored no higher than a 1 since it is a personal response.

times of traible one Central

the wealt would be the agent" (line 23)
the weight would be too great." (line 23) It becomes opporent that the sould
writer is beginning to see all the negative
outcomes and feat of the worst possibilities
houser the he never gives up hope.
"The task now was to secure the
Safety of the party, and to that I must
herd my energies and mental pawers
and opply every bit of knowledge and
experience of the Antarchic had given
me." (lines 56-58) It is clear that the
Soilor isn't giving up hope on saving his men regulardless to the conflicts
his men regulardies to the conflicts
they fore.
The central idea of neur giving
up hope 5 apparent throughout the explination
of the conflict of mon 12 hotive Even
in the darkest time on the exadition
the writer never Ties up hope in
Sowing his men the reacts with courage
and strength in soving his men

E The main Purpose of the text was to talk about a fatful day on a wensday in october. I Don't know much more about it. about some one with bad wound's who was giving up. Somewhere 360 nows from an island.

excerpt of South Pole talks about people that are trying to South Pole, This excerdi MYOU team will Farther SIVEN STANZEC shows thrs the vontator lateralW Because of the In their lives and avoil

element, particularly conflict, the sailor learns that the ability to adapt to changing environments is avital to survival,

for are difficult tosk to be low time. WOOT mant +MR nourous this (OM MIS aloca and OVEY COMO adversit developed `IS the lines been Dment Illustrages a Nard NiW WILL SXCERY worder energies

Part 3 – Practice Paper – E

exemplifies the \$\ author showing

nis proprocomposition authors reach

and dedication, authors hard to reach

the tost at hand. These attributes

and the central idea of overcoming

addersity.

Practice Paper A – Score Level 3

Holistically, the response best fits the criteria for Level 3.

Practice Paper B – Score Level 1

Holistically, the response best fits the criteria for Level 1.

Practice Paper C – Score Level 2

Holistically, the response best fits the criteria for Level 2.

Practice Paper D – Score Level 4

Holistically, the response best fits the criteria for Level 4.

Practice Paper E – Score Level 3

Holistically, the response best fits the criteria for Level 3.

Map to the Common Core Learning Standards Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) June 2017

Question	Type	Credit	Weight	Standard
1	MC	1	1	RL.5 (11-12)
2	MC	1	1	RL.4 (11-12)
3	MC	1	1	RL.3 (11-12)
4	MC	1	1	RL.4 (11-12)
5	MC	1	1	RL.3 (11-12)
6	MC	1	1	RL.2 (11-12)
7	MC	1	1	RL.3 (11-12)
8	MC	1	1	RL.2 (11-12)
9	MC	1	1	RL.5 (11-12)
10	MC	1	1	RL.2 (11-12)
11	MC	1	1	RL.5 (11-12)
12	MC	1	1	RL.6 (11-12)
13	MC	1	1	L.5 (11-12)
14	MC	1	1	L.5 (11-12)
15	MC	1	1	RI.4 (11-12)
16	MC	1	1	RI.3 (11-12)
17	MC	1	1	RI.5 (11-12)
18	MC	1	1	RI.3 (11-12)
19	MC	1	1	RI.5 (11-12)
20	MC	1	1	RI.2 (11-12)
21	MC	1	1	RI.3 (11-12)
22	MC	1	1	RI.4 (11-12)
23	MC	1	1	RI.2 (11-12)
24	MC	1	1	RI.5 (11-12)
Part 2				RI.1-6&10(11-12)
Argument	Essay	6	4	W.1, 4&9(11–12)
Essay				L.1-6(11-12)
Part 3				RI.1-6&10(11-12)
Expository	Response	4	2	W.2, 4&9(11–12)
Response				L.1-6(11-12)

The Chart for Determining the Final Examination Score for the June 2017 Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) will be posted on the Department's web site at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/assessment/ on the day of the examination. Conversion charts provided for previous administrations of the Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) must NOT be used to determine students' final scores for this administration.

Online Submission of Teacher Evaluations of the Test to the Department

Suggestions and feedback from teachers provide an important contribution to the test development process. The Department provides an online evaluation form for State assessments. It contains spaces for teachers to respond to several specific questions and to make suggestions. Instructions for completing the evaluation form are as follows:

- 1. Go to http://www.forms2.nysed.gov/emsc/osa/exameval/reexameval.cfm.
- 2. Select the test title.
- 3. Complete the required demographic fields.
- 4. Complete each evaluation question and provide comments in the space provided.
- 5. Click the SUBMIT button at the bottom of the page to submit the completed form.