FOR TEACHERS ONLY

The University of the State of New York

REGENTS HIGH SCHOOL EXAMINATION

UNITED STATES HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT

Wednesday, August 12, 2009 — 12:30 to 3:30 p.m., only

SCORING KEY FOR PART I AND RATING GUIDE FOR PART II (THEMATIC ESSAY)

Updated information regarding the rating of this examination may be posted on the New York State Education Department's web site during the rating period. Visit the site <u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/osa/</u> and select the link "Examination Scoring Information" for any recently posted information regarding this examination. This site should be checked before the rating process for this examination begins and at least one more time before the final scores for the examination are recorded.

Contents of the Rating Guide

For **Part I** (Multiple-Choice Questions):

• Scoring Key

For **Part II** (thematic) essay:

- A content-specific rubric
- Prescored answer papers. Score levels 5 and 1 have two papers each, and score levels 4, 3, and 2 have three papers each. They are ordered by score level from high to low.
- Commentary explaining the specific score awarded to each paper
- Five prescored practice papers

General:

- Test Specifications
- Web addresses for the test-specific conversion chart and teacher evaluation forms

Copyright 2009 The University of the State of New York THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT Albany, New York 12234



United States History and Government August 12, 2009

Part I

1 3	26 2
2 1	27 1
3 4	28 2
4 4	29 3
5 1	30 2
6 3	31 4
7 4	32 4
8 4	33 4
9 2	34 3
10 4	35 3
11 3	36 1
12 1	37 4
13 1	38 3
14 2	39 4
15 1	40 1
16 2	41 4
17 1	42 2
18 3	43 2
19 4	44 4
204	45 3
21 2	46 2
22 3	47 1
23 1	48 3
24 4	49 2
25 1	50 3

UNITED STATES HISTORY and GOVERNMENT

Mechanics of Rating

The following procedures are to be used in rating papers for this examination. More detailed directions for the organization of the rating process and procedures for rating the examination are included in the *Information Booklet for Scoring the Regents Examination in Global History and Geography and United States History and Government.*

Scoring the Part I Multiple-Choice Questions

On the detachable answer sheet, indicate by means of a checkmark each incorrect or omitted answer to multiple-choice questions; do not place a checkmark beside a correct answer. Use only red ink or red pencil. In the box provided on the answer sheet, record the number of questions the student answered correctly in Part I.

Rating the Essay Question

(1) Follow your school's procedures for training raters. This process should include:

Introduction to the task—

- Raters read the task
- Raters identify the answers to the task
- Raters discuss possible answers and summarize expectations for student responses

Introduction to the rubric and anchor papers—

- Trainer leads review of specific rubric with reference to the task
- Trainer reviews procedures for assigning holistic scores, i.e., by matching evidence from the response to the rubric
- Trainer leads review of each anchor paper and commentary

Practice scoring individually—

- Raters score a set of five papers independently without looking at the scores and commentaries provided
- Trainer records scores and leads discussion until the raters feel confident enough to move on to actual rating
- (2) When actual rating begins, each rater should record his or her individual rating for a student's essay on the rating sheet provided, *not* directly on the student's essay or answer sheet. The rater should *not* correct the student's work by making insertions or changes of any kind.

5

Cut Here

(3) Each essay must be rated by at least two raters; a third rater will be necessary to resolve scores that differ by more than one point.

United States History and Government Content-Specific Rubric Thematic Essay August 2009

Theme:	Government—Supreme Court Decisions The United States Supreme Court has played a major role in United States history. The Court's decisions have had a significant impact on many aspects of American society.
Task:	 Select <i>two</i> Supreme Court cases that have had an impact on American society and for <i>each</i> Describe the historical circumstances surrounding the case Explain the Supreme Court's decision in the case Discuss an impact this decision has had on American society
Some sug	nay use any appropriate Supreme Court case from your study of United States history. gestions you might wish to consider include <i>Worcester</i> v. <i>Georgia</i> (1832), <i>Dred Scott</i> d (1857), <i>Northern Securities Co.</i> v. <i>United States</i> (1904), <i>Korematsu</i> v. <i>United States</i>

Scoring Notes:

 This thematic essay has a minimum of *six* components (describing the historical circumstances for *each* of *two* Supreme Court cases, explaining the Supreme Court's decision in *each* case, and discussing an impact of *each* decision on American society).

(1944), Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (1954), Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States (1964), Miranda v. Arizona (1966), Roe v. Wade (1973), and United States v. Nixon (1974).

- 2. The description of the historical circumstances surrounding the case may focus on the particulars of the case such as Linda Brown being forced to attend a segregated school farther away from her home than a local white school *or* it may take a more historical approach by discussing discrimination during the years of Jim Crow laws that preceded the *Brown* case.
- 3. Cases with similar elements such as *Plessy* v. *Ferguson/Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* or *Schenck* v. *United States/Korematsu* v. *United States* may be used as long as all aspects of the task are developed for both.
- 4. Responses that either inaccurately identify the name of the case or do not name the case may receive credit for developing some aspects of the task.
- 5. The impact of the Supreme Court's decision on American society may be immediate or long term.
- 6. As is the case with many historical topics, the impact of the Supreme Court's decision on American society may be discussed from a variety of perspectives as long as the position taken is supported by accurate historical facts and examples.

Score of 5:

- Thoroughly develops *all* aspects of the task evenly and in depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *each* of *two* Supreme Court cases, explaining the Supreme Court's decision in *each* case, and discussing an impact that *each* decision has had on American society
- Is more analytical than descriptive (analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., *Korematsu* v. *United States:* describes anti-Asian sentiment prior to World War II and its connection to the perceived threat posed by Japanese Americans after Pearl Harbor and their subsequent relocation from the West Coast, explaining the Supreme Court decision upholding the exclusion order, and then discussing how civil liberties and due process may be limited during times of war and linking it to events in the 21st century; *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka:* describes the long-term practice of "separate but equal" in segregated public facilities including schools, explains the court's application of the equal protection clause to the order to integrate schools "with all deliberate speed," and then discusses how the decision accelerated integration and the civil rights movement
- Richly supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details, e.g., *Korematsu* v. United States: yellow peril; Gentlemen's Agreement; Pearl Harbor; Franklin D. Roosevelt; Executive Order 9066; West Coast; ²/₃ were citizens; Nisei; property loss; Manzanar; Schenck v. United States; 1988 congressional apology and reparations; Guantanamo Bay Prison; Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka: Reconstruction; Jim Crow laws; Plessy v. Ferguson; Linda Brown; Thurgood Marshall; NAACP; 14th amendment; Earl Warren; unanimous decision; desegregation; Little Rock Nine in 1957; 1964 Civil Rights Act; busing; de jure vs. de facto segregation
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Score of 4:

- Develops *all* aspects of the task but may do so somewhat unevenly by discussing all aspects of the task for one Supreme Court case more thoroughly than for the second Supreme Court case *or* by discussing one aspect of the task less thoroughly than the other aspects of the task for both Supreme Court cases
- Is both descriptive and analytical (applies, analyzes, evaluates, and/or creates* information), e.g., *Korematsu* v. *United States:* describes widespread distrust of West Coast Japanese Americans after Pearl Harbor and their relocation to internment camps, explaining the decision of the Court upholding the exclusion order as a wartime necessity, and discussing how the decision set a precedent for restricting civil liberties during future national crises; *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka:* describes the conditions faced by African Americans in segregated public facilities, explains the decision outlawing "separate but equal" schools, and discusses how the decision increased the pressure to integrate other public facilities
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are beyond a restatement of the theme

Score of 3:

- Develops *all* aspects of the task with little depth *or* develops *at least four* aspects of the task in some depth
- Is more descriptive than analytical (applies, may analyze and/or evaluate information)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some minor inaccuracies
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that may be a restatement of the theme

Examples of addressing at least four aspects of the task in some depth at Level 3

Holistic Scoring Reminder: These examples apply only to the evaluation of bullet 1 of the rubric. A response meeting the criteria below does not, by itself, make it a Level 3 response.

- 1. Discusses all *three* aspects of the task with some depth for *one* case and only *one* aspect for the *second* case.
- 2. Discusses any *two* aspects of the task with some depth for *both* cases.
- **Note:** If *all* aspects of the task for *one* Supreme Court case have been thoroughly developed evenly and in depth and if the response meets most of the other Level 5 criteria, the overall response may be a Level 3 paper.

Score of 2:

- Minimally develops *all* aspects of the task *or* develops *at least three* aspects of the task in some depth
- Is primarily descriptive; may include faulty, weak, or isolated application or analysis
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details; may include some inaccuracies
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion

Examples of addressing at least three aspects of the task in some depth at Level 2

Holistic Scoring Reminder: These examples apply only to the evaluation of bullet 1 of the rubric. A response meeting the criteria below does not, by itself, make it a Level 2 response.

- 1. Discusses all *three* aspects of the task in some depth for only *one* case.
- 2. Discusses any *two* aspects of the task for *one* case and only *one* aspect for the *second* case.

Score of 1:

- Minimally develops some aspects of the task
- Is descriptive; may lack understanding, application, or analysis
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, or details; may include inaccuracies
- May demonstrate a weakness in organization; may lack focus; may contain digressions; may not clearly identify which aspect of the task is being addressed; may lack an introduction and/or a conclusion

Score of 0:

Fails to develop the task or may only refer to the theme in a general way; OR includes no relevant facts, examples, or details; OR includes only the theme, task, or suggestions as copied from the test booklet; OR is illegible; OR is a blank paper

^{*}The term *create* as used by Anderson/Krathwohl, et al. in their 2001 revision of Bloom's *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives* refers to the highest level of the cognitive domain. This usage of create is similar to Bloom's use of the term *synthesis*. Creating implies an insightful reorganization of information into a new pattern or whole. While a Level 5 paper will contain analysis and/or evaluation of information, a very strong paper may also include examples of creating information as defined by Anderson and Krathwohl.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – A

although the Superce Court does not create new legislation how Does it execute the laws of this nation, it brandishes much power in being able to standy upon a law; the words constitution or unconstitutional. In northern Securities Co. V. UMarted States, The ofore Roosewelt broke up a trust and in Brown V. Board of Educatur, the separate bit equal clause of Very V. Terguson was nullified. The lasting effect that the Supreme Court created through these two cases is that northern Securities (o. v. U.S. set the procedent that the U.S. government could greater regulate Presences and the decision of Brown V. Board of Education led to gelater tolerance, but increased racial tensions as well, Starting under Rocewelt, business began to bow to the government indead of the other way around and the idea plaine faire folicy was taken down There was indeed geater Ederance for African Americans and other minorities within America, but the social tensions between Caucanian and African Americans were superially hot. Finally, the lecisions more in both cases were certainly inflerenced by the abuses and corruption that existed before. Roosevelt's agen were glued to many of the abuses that beg business condened and it compelled to take action. One of the first contanies he decided to take on was the partien scurtees Company. He was determined to break up the trust that they had formed for he had perceived that their trust was hamped to the nation the lawsuit reached the Sepreme Court and the Suprame Court ruled that the trust that hattiern Securities had formed was illegol and harmful

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – A

to the notion and to form such truste would be improper Winning this case, showed that the government under Roosenalt at that time, could price ther mogul comparises the U.S. Steel Confortion on Standard Oil Trust to bow to the government. Moreover, it disminited the idea of complete laiser faire After and That now, even the Popublicans had to take greater enterest and central of big business Ever some the "sedana to but yeal" clause of the Pleny v. terguan case, segregation withen the nation has readily set in Segregation took place in jobs Jublic transportation, and public faceletier. Other Aprean Americans began to see the injustice in having these laws and that afarate but aquel could never truly be achieved. The series of casso under Thurgood Murchall (a MAPER lawyer) that led to Brain V. Board of Education of Topeka when the "separate gelause" was desmonthed, it set in a trans of greater tolerance and reduced discrimination, more so in the porthe The South as a whole more new test to pregregation but this usistance led to de involvement of the federal government in suprising these laws. Aprean-American could go to facilities, have jobs, housing, and an adviation that was me deried to them. However, since segregation had been so instilled in the South, there was some culture clash between the culture of African Americans and that of Carcasians. He resistance that come against desegregation and the impatience of African Americans at a until the show progress of descape gation and to fierce infrantations between the two groups and heightened tension, Furthermore instead of de jure sequegation, de facto sequention became non prominint as

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay–Level 5 – A

Apican-Aminecan and Cancusians becaus seperated by economic petors rather than by low. In both cases, the degenerative and regative conditions influenced the decisions made in the cases and the actions taken afterward, In Northern Securities V. United States, the compt practices of big business had become rampant and big business ran undredered. Trusts eliminoted competition and created menopolies that increased the concentration of money in the house of the weatthy and allowed confanses to explore their customers and extend their tentecles of influence into the couste, and thus control government. Prior to Brown V. board of Education of Theka, the disastrous by chologied effects of suggestion that imprinted therefits of inprenity on African Americans and the obvious, that Aprican American communities were now here equal to that of Caucosion pecilities notivated the Supreme Court to believe that reprate But equal was an aboundity. Moreover, the institute could be seen in segregating every public facility and that segregation had during bein simply finded by hate and prejudice and not judicious judgment in the form of legislation. Rester government regulation of business and greater tolerance in America, but escalated racial to tonser were the prints bore by the Supremo Court in their decisions in Northern Securities Co. V. United States and Brown V. Board of Education of Topeka. The decision in Northern Securities Co. V. United States set the Arecedent that big business would bow to the government and the policy of complete hands off on business was replaced by auguented government involvement in

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – A

to cronce and more decre to NA marin them ecus mansurg Moria in

Anchor Level 5-A

The response:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Northern Securities Co. v. United States* and *Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision, and discussing an impact on American society
- Is more analytical than descriptive (*Northern Securities Co.:* the Supreme Court ruled that the trust that the Northern Securities had formed was illegal and harmful to the nation and to form such trusts would be improper; trusts eliminated competition and created monopolies that increased the concentration of money in the hands of the wealthy and allowed companies to exploit their customers, extend their tentacles of influence into the Senate, and thus control government; the decision in *Northern Securities* set the precedent that big business would bow to the government and the policy of complete hands off on business was replaced by augmented government involvement in business; *Brown:* ever since the "separate but equal" clause of the *Plessy* v. *Ferguson* case, segregation within the nation had readily set in; instead of de jure segregation, de facto segregation became more prominent as African Americans and Caucasians became segregated by economic factors rather than by law; the disastrous psychological effects of segregation that imprinted thoughts of inferiority on African Americans and that African American communities were nowhere equal to that of Caucasian facilities, motivated the Supreme Court to believe that "separate but equal" was an absurdity)
- Richly supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Northern Securities Co.:* Theodore Roosevelt; laissez-faire; United States Steel Corporation; Standard Oil Trust; Republicans; widespread corruption; *Brown:* racial tensions; series of cases under Thurgood Marshall; NAACP lawyer; culture clash)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that go far beyond a restatement of the theme by addressing important concepts

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. Sophisticated analyses and unusually perceptive comprehension of the complexity of the cases enhance the response.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – B

Established as an institution to interpre Stales system of Courts has shaped the U nilad State of american life. There have been many controverseal issues over the course of this country's history and decision mandated by the United States Suprene Court have rocked the foundation of this rationand radicalized american . Often rulings by Supreme Court justices influence much Society me than the laws they address; they characterize the allitudes of the people and have great bearing on potitics and morale 1990's decision race issues unsolved since Reconstruction ea hot topic among politicians and citizens alike, Trow segregation of african- americans in public the fun becoming contested as many activists sourg facilities was Plessy u derguson ruling that had made such an renting impact years earlier. The call for reconsideration would in 1954 when the Supreme Court under Earl Warren would "separate but equal" principle established Fless エン、 The Court would then inconstitutional, mandate the descopegation of schools "with all deliberate from the -an order that would most much resistance able for years to come. american Brown Decision radicalized education and roused - sentements from those opposed. Man powerful a factoral insisted Claiming fear of 402

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – B

that the whing violated the powers resorved to the states and that the usue of Descapegation should the states Le ttle which occured instance like that Decision. schools fought the desegregation of Kock arkansas trough this would prove insuccessful here. the Bro N NG face of poord knever The of Education decision changed Board purer and society and proved to be an immense s nthe progress of african - americans enhops one of the most controversial usues - even toda be one of the most alluentra thas proved to preme Cruit)s and 1770s have ever been made. In the decisions to rights acturate promoted women's right Control and abortion. Since the laws pared hom stale state feminists pushal for a decision that would apply to all The usue of abortion was and Continues to be formost -on the reals amorican citizens in electing of Adlow mindad deologing and establish ny morald AD L rota the decision in for 12, tick has made an 10 indevidual in american Arciety-no pack on even Ming the matter the on the word. rever - debron ned that prome \sim ليحليه prohibitanabortion in the * Stale remer 0 womans constitu fateda request \mathcal{T} a Shas he obersion ععت mal

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 5 – B

ean some a rolio voi liver e years later demonstrated an obe eral majorit No. Dupreme (our of That alea inthe rc he Supreme (reflects the thinking of theage. to shape american i has contra <u>_</u> ous and ecom factor in politics, Certain determinant The V, Wade 0 e to provede for the en Uleral mervature and tweer ٤, moricar 1xaus influence the choice of a partys candidates, litics and nstance in the 2008 election the Republicans the brocrab. dearly pro-lefe and were 52 n offer Kols, Leade The United States Supreme Court has troversedity role yours sonits nine incomprehensible mon have changed the course of amer iety and merican culture shaped X undouttelle 1 Contenue Loday and belles lure - for 1 worder 1 Joopo The S

The response:

- Thoroughly develops all aspects of the task evenly and in depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Roe* v. *Wade*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision, and discussing an impact on American society
- Is more analytical than descriptive (*Brown:* the Jim Crow segregation of African Americans in public facilities was becoming contested as many activists sought to overturn the *Plessy* v. *Ferguson* ruling that had made such an impact years earlier; the court would then mandate the desegregation of schools with all deliberate speed—an order that would meet much resistance from the American people for years to come; many people, claiming fear of too powerful a federal government, insisted that the ruling violated the powers reserved to the states and that the issue of desegregation should be left to the states; *Roe:* since the laws varied from state to state, feminists pushed for a decision that would apply to all; in its ruling, the Supreme Court determined that it was unconstitutional for a state to prohibit an abortion in the first trimester of pregnancy as it violated a woman's constitutional right to privacy; *Roe* v. *Wade* will continue to provide for the ever-growing chasm between conservative and liberal views in American politics and influence the choice of party candidates)
- Richly supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* Reconstruction; Earl Warren; "separate but equal"; Little Rock, Arkansas; federal power; *Roe:* 1960s and 1970s women's rights activists; birth control; abortion; liberal majority; 2008 elections; Republicans were clearly pro-life; Democrats were for keeping *Roe* in effect)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that cites the controversial nature of the decisions and a conclusion that notes the power of nine men and women to change American society

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 5. The response demonstrates a good understanding of the impact of the two decisions on United States government and politics. The exploration of federal-state relations in *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and the divisive political fallout of *Roe* v. *Wade* indicates a strong understanding of the topic.

Throughout United States history, The U.S. government, the Supreme Court in particular, has physed a significant role on our nations society. The decisions made by our Supreme Court impact the way we live today as American citizens. In particular, decisions made by the court regarding our freedoms and rights can limit or impact our daily activities. One court case exemplifies the power the government has over our daily freedoms is Scheck VS. the United States (1919). When Wilson brought the United States into World War I, the decision was made to bring back the draft, or demanding military services from any qualifying individual. In such a stressful time of crisis, many citizens protested this reinactment and spoke out against it, as well as other extreme war-time efforts. In 1919, Scheck an american citizen who spoke out against the war-time procedures such as the draft, was avrested for handing out pemphets that preswaded others to do the same. Scheck protested that such an arrest violated his first amendment right to freedom of speech and press. Ettax Eventually, the case was appealled all the way up to the Supreme Court. They stated that during a time of war or crisis, individual freedoms will sometimes be sacrificed in order to protect national security. From this case, the phrase

evolved "clear and present danger". The Supreme Court ruled that Scheck pop posed a clear and present danger to society. This case is an example where the Supreme Court usually supports the government during war time. The Court did this again in Korematsu during World Upr II. As a result of this case, freedoms that we are gairunteed under the Constitution may be ignored at times by the American Govermentin order to protect national security. The justices compared Schenck's actions to yelling "Fire" in a crowded theater, which may cause harm. In this example, the rights to freedom of speech are limited by the Supreme Court decision of 1919. The court case of Gideon V. Wainwright is an example of a Supreme Court decision that granted us with the rights promised by the constitution, Unlike Scherk V. U.S. Bicause of this case of determination and strong will, all accused and set on trial are guardent Legal aid, even if they are unable to afford it. Clavance Gideon was a man who was accused of to bery in his small hometown, Uneducated and poor, Gideon was unable to put up a fair fight against the opposing lawyer during his trial, Gideon was sent to jail, and he protested that his constitutional right gave him the right to a lawyer. Through much strenuous

The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task but does so somewhat unevenly by discussing all aspects of the task for *Schenck* v. *United States* more thoroughly than for *Gideon* v. *Wainwright*
- Is both descriptive and analytical (*Schenck:* protested that his arrest violated his first amendment right of freedom of speech; the Supreme Court ruled that Schenck posed a "clear and present danger" to society; case is an example of where the Supreme Court usually supports the government during wartime; *Gideon:* uneducated and poor, Gideon was unable to put up a fair fight against the opposing lawyer during his trial; not only did Clarence Gideon receive a lawyer, but other accused members of society are provided with legal assistance today, even if they are unable to pay for it)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Schenck:* President Wilson; World War I; draft, pamphlets; national security; yelling fire in a crowded theater; Korematsu; *Gideon:* sent to jail; letters to the Supreme Court; ordered a new trial)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are slightly beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. The response indicates comprehension of the historical forces prompting the *Schenck* v. *United States* decision and the long-lasting impact of the "clear and present danger" doctrine. In contrast, the treatment of *Gideon* v. *Wainwright* is more superficial and general.

The United States Supreme Court has the authority to deem a law unconstitutional and uphold democratic principles of AMERICAN SUCIETY. US a result, the supreme court has had a great impact on society throughout history. Brown V. Board of Education of Topeka ended the well-established practice of segregation and paved the way for AFrican AMERican equality. Similarly Roev. Wade ruled in favor of women's freedom of choice and has since provoked much debate Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka exhibits the supreme court influence over the course of history. From the Civil War, to the case in 1954, segregated public facilities for African Americans + whites were deemed acceptable as longas they were equal, Jim (Row laws were established to uphold segregation, severely limiting black rights. This "separate but equal" principle had been affirmed by Plessy v. Ferguson. Us a result, separate schools, rest rooms, parks, water fountains, etc. were set up for Blacks However, such faglities were Certainly not equal; Black facilities were of lower Guality? Brown V. Board of Ed. ruled in favor of Black equality + Civil rights. The supreme court

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 4 – B

declared segregated public schools unconstitutional because separating children by race was wrong. As a result of the ruling, all schools were ordered to be descaregated + integrate Black students. African American students initially faced much adversity, as many whites opposed integration. For example, in Liffle Rock, AK, the state gov't tried to deter integration to keep the white population satisfied. It took federal troops to enforce the decision. The case also had wide spread effects on the African American Civil Rights movement, encouraging Blacks to fight against other racial injustices prown v. Board of Ed. ultimately helped to establish a more equal American society in which an African American man could become President.

Roe V. Wade was a supreme court case that positively effected women's rights in today's society. Prior to the ruling, women were not equal to men in status or in freedoms. Abortion was banned in most states. However, during the feminist movement many women believed they should have the freedom to choose + Roe v. tuade gave them that power. The supreme court ruled Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 4 – B

were entitled to the right have on abortion wort tU right)e_ States. N.P.C. Shou (mso) DGS arouse tat are or ite. NICE

Anchor Level 4-B

The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task but does so somewhat unevenly by discussing all aspects of the task for *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* more thoroughly than for *Roe* v. *Wade*
- Is both descriptive and analytical (*Brown:* from the Civil War to 1954, segregated public facilities for African Americans and whites were deemed acceptable as long as they were equal; the Supreme Court declared segregated public schools unconstitutional because separating children by race was wrong; the case also had widespread effects on the African American civil rights movement, encouraging blacks to fight against other racial injustices; *Roe:* prior to the ruling, women were not equal to men in status or in freedoms; the Supreme Court decision said that women had the right to privacy and abortions should be allowed in all states; since 1973, the case has aroused controversy between those that are pro-life and those that are pro-choice)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* Jim Crow; "separate but equal"; *Plessy* v. *Ferguson;* Little Rock, Arkansas; federal troops; *Roe:* feminist movement)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that are slightly beyond a restatement of the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. Understanding of *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* is illustrated by astute generalizations and rich detail. That same level of detail is missing in the discussion of *Roe* v. *Wade*.

the role of the Suprence burt is to eleternine of laws are constitutional or not. The Allerance There have been a plethura of cases in which civil liberhes have been protected. Two such cases were Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka in 1954 and United States U. Dixon in 1973. Both had great impact on society, one was one step in the diversion towards true equality for Aprican aneericans, and the other shewed that not even the president was above the law; that the law is blind and that even me equal almost a hundred years after the livit war and the when afficient excertions are were freed anen Cano. there was still no equality, the Tim Crow laws were in place which limited the freedom African americans. Segregation separateet Clacks and whiles correpletely the ate i different restaurants, went to bollycon in different places, and went to different schools. acks and the whites did not integrate, not only by choice, but by law. according to the leur these segregailed schools were separate equal, unprhenately this was not

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 4 – C

the case. The level of any black establishment was far inferior than that of a way slite establishment In 1954 a Supreme Court Fitting ease, Brown O. Board of Topeka of Kausas charged all thest and made segregation illegal. an aprican auerican girt have to travel a for distance to go to school arel bavel dangenus railroa of Tracks even theregh there was a white sellod just numertes from her hence. Her father brought the case all the coary to the supreme Court. the with Black Schools herelinferion equipment, books, desks and other supplies that white schools, as well. The Supreme Court ruled that separate was not equal and ruled that segregation was illegal. This was a monumental carse in that it was a step in the direction of equality although it was extremely difficult in certain arcas of the South, like Little Rock, Unkansons where troops well to be sent to protect black students, whites and blacks finally work and learn together, another extremely important case was the

Vited States a Mixon. Alladente Michand aller the Under Dixon a couple of Gercylans broke into the Democratic Concentia, when these were conget Nixon supposedly told servene to pay Kum to seep quiet about the White House's powledge and involvement. More widence was needle public with the publication of the peulagen Papens. When it cause to light at Nixa head taped all of his concensations Compress asked to hear Kiem, but Mixan repused deliving executive moeledge. H wes brought to the supreme Court and very at he herd to give up the tapes, Hold Dixon to That it was an obstruction of justice although Wixa frally did he had taped are the incriminating section. Dixan probably here been finel guilty hard h resigned berald toral his vice presidents became mesident. This case uneer the efficiency of the quemment aro that the septem of decks and balances

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 4 – C

cilly 7 2 11.

Anchor Level 4-C

The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task but does so somewhat unevenly by addressing *United States* v. *Nixon* more thoroughly than *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka*
- Is both descriptive and analytical (*Brown:* almost a hundred years after the Civil War when slaves were freed, there was still no equality for African Americans; the Supreme Court ruled that separate was not equal and ruled that segregation was illegal; it was extremely difficult in certain areas of the South, like Little Rock, Arkansas, where troops had to be sent to protect black students; *Nixon:* evidence was made public with the publication of the Pentagon Papers; when it came to light that Nixon had taped all of his conversations, Congress asked to hear them, but Nixon refused, claiming executive privilege; the Supreme Court told Nixon that he had to give up the tapes, that it was obstruction of justice; the system of checks and balances worked; nobody, including the President, was above the law)
- Supports the theme with relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* Jim Crow laws; segregation; ate in different restaurants; "separate but equal"; *Nixon:* burglars paid to keep them quiet; taped over the incriminating section; Nixon probably would have been found guilty had he not resigned; Gerald Ford became President); includes an inaccuracy (*Nixon:* 1973 Democratic Convention)
- Demonstrates a logical and clear plan of organization; includes an introduction that connects both cases to the concept of equality for all Americans and a conclusion that restates the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 4. The discussion of the rule of law and checks and balances in *United States* v. *Nixon* is especially notable. However, the discussion of *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* lacks the same substance and depth.

The united States supreme cash has the made many decision, which shaped American Society. The Spame rout cases "Brown v. Board of Education of TODERON" IN 1954 and "HOW & PHOMA MORENT UNREL States" in 1964 arrestly changed thereitan souchy BECOUSE they led to an increase of Civil rightsfor minorities living in the united States The Sprime case "Bound Board of Earcanin of topeka came to be to many raisons. Many schools were segregated by rare and this made many children have to travel four to ecceive an Education, when there were a nearby school that they were not allowed to attend due to their race. Many people fund this unfair and brought it to the sipkine cart.

The spicme cant wed in the case "Bown ... Exarch of Education" that all public schools have to be integrated; schools welk to be zoned by 1000 than, not rare. The united states gravented all utizens the right to an education, and it is unfair to have children travelling for to Earn when there is a much much accessible school noutry. The case "Biblion v. Baard of Education of Topetra" Ked to a grat change in Amman society because SENCENS WERE Now terred to integrate. This integration LECT to more collicity among all races. This case LECT to incidents, such as "the utitle Rock wine" Atomsas in Actorises Attended. Mine students living in Attensas were rejected admittence to a primorily autocisal, public subjected admittence to a primorily autocisal, public subject Analys were called in to utile race to ensure that these nine students were allowed admittance. This eight shows have "about Bouch of Eculation of tipeta" dia Change racial segurgation in Arterican schools; this submit case helped to integrate American Science.

The 1964 CONCRETE CONTROL of ALLANDER MOTELY. United States "Greatly impaction American Society and it came to be for many reasons. The United States federal government whis interspote commerce, and the united States alexander that all public paces be integrated. The Heart of Albantic Motel in Georgia denied Americans the privilege of renting rains because the mater claimed that notels are private paces, not public. The African Americans that was denied a rain took-this rate the superne caust because they felt that this treatment uses unfalls.

The stounited states sprine card nicolin

the cose "Heart of Atlanta Notel V. United States that denying rams to pape of socific races was undered. The More more business is interstate connerce becase people with more is fertime in most coses people withing more are from at of state and travelling. The fectual government has the nation to require interstate compare; includible is states and businesies cannot make their acen lows when dealing with interstate annore. "Heart of Atlantic More v. United States" ruled that hotels and more could not dealy access based on rase.

"HEAR OF AHJANTA MOREL V. UNITED STATES" CONTROL OF AHJANTA MOREL V. UNITED STATES" IN LOW YEL ANOTHER COSE TO CONFERE INTERPORTANT. THIS CASE LED TO INTERPOLIENT IN POTELS AND MITTELY ON AS MORE HEAR TO INTERPOLIES AND MITTELY ON AS MORE HEARD TO INTERPOLIES ADDRESS SOLUTION CONCE CONTROL STATES SUPERIC COLORES, SUCH AS BRUENV. BOOKA OF EDUCATION OF TOPOLOGY" AND "HEARD OF PHILANTIC MORELY.

united states" arethy impacted and changed
American Society, "Brown V. Bacird of Education of
TOPETCO" and "HEAR of PHIOMIC MOTEL " United
States" both help lead to a max integrated
Society. This integration te nelped lead to
equality among all rares in the United
states,

Anchor Level 3-A

The response:

- Develops most aspects of the task in some depth by addressing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Heart of Atlanta Motel* v. *United States*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision in *Heart of Atlanta Motel* v. *United States* and discussing an impact of both cases on American society
- Is more descriptive than analytical (*Brown:* many schools were segregated by race; this case led to incidents like the "Little Rock Nine" in Arkansas; *Heart of Atlanta Motel:* the Heart of Atlanta Motel in Georgia denied African Americans the privilege of renting rooms because the motel claimed that hotels are private places, not public; the United States Supreme Court ruled that denying rooms to people of specific races was unlawful; it was yet another case to enforce integration); includes faulty and weak analysis (*Brown:* the United States guarantees all citizens the right to an education, and it is unfair to have children traveling far to learn when there is a much more accessible school nearby)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Heart of Atlanta Motel:* the right to regulate interstate commerce)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion stating that both decisions led to increased civil rights and integration

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The response demonstrates knowledge of how the federal government used its power over interstate commerce to enforce integration. A lack of historical detail limits its overall quality.

Throughout history, the United States Supreme Cart has
played a large, role in influencing society. Howers The Supreme
Cart's decisions often have long-term effects on societal aspects
of life. For example, issues such as industrial corporations,
freedom of speech, and abortion have all been decided upon by
the supreme Carrt. The Judicial Branch has especially played and olawery
a major rote in dealing with civil rights as demonstrated by
Dred Scott v. Sanford and Brown v. Board of Education of
Topeka. In both cases, the supreme Cart's verdicts highly
influenced society for African Americans and those living and Guilwar
throughout the Civil Rights periods.
In the cart case of Dred Scott v. Sanfard, a slave
named Dred Scott war attempted to sue his owner for his
freedom. Scott had lived in a free territory (an area above the
36'30 line) and thus believed that he became a free citizen.
The Supreme Cart ruled that Died Scott was not a citizen,
and cald therefore not sue in a court of law. Furthermore,
the Cost declared that Congress had no right to bar slavery
from any area. In the decade leading up to the Civil War
the Dred Scott case of 1857 served to firstler divide the
North and South knritories and increase the sectional strife
between abolitionists and slaveholders.
Another civil rights cart case that had extreme

societal consequences was Brown v. Board of Education of

d

Topeka of 1954. This case, almost a century after the Dred
Scott Dectsion, concerned a little African American girl named
Linda Brown who was denied access to a whithe school of which
she fived closest to. The Brain family argued that if Linda
and not be taught in the white school she would have to
walk miles art of her way to the rearest school that
would accept her. The spreme Cart ruled that the all-white
School most allow Linda to admittance, and also ralled
desegregation measures the beginning of desegregation measures.
As a result of this decision and the desegregation that
ensued in schools, restaurants, and hospitals, new race riots
erupted in a number of large cities. Brown v. Board of
Education had a entroned the verdict in a previous case -
Plessy v. Feguson, which had affirmed the idea that African
American's were to be "separate but equal". This overturning
angered many whites who believed in lasting segregation, and
thus refueled the violence seen in the race roots of the
major citles.
The decisions made by the United States Supreme
Cart have a significant impact on many aspects of
American society throughout history. The Supreme Cart
has had an especially lasting effect on the issue of and slavery
civil rights". Whereas in the nineteenth century, about African
Americans had lost their stance as a result of the Dred

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 3 – B

Scott Decision, in the twentieth century, African Americans
were just beginning to be treated with justice, as seen by the Braun v. Board of Education. enerther in any case, it is clear that the United States Supreme Cart toos
the Braun v. Board of Education. enerthing in any case
it is clear that the United States Supreme Cart has
have largely affected American society throughout the
eras of the Civil War and Civil Rights period.

Anchor Level 3-B

The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task with little depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Dred Scott* v. *Sanford* and *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision, and discussing an impact on American society
- Is more descriptive than analytical (*Dred Scott:* Scott had lived in a free territory above the 36°30' line; the court declared that Congress had no right to bar slavery from any area; in the decade leading up to the Civil War, the *Dred Scott* case of 1857 served to further divide the North and South and increase the sectional strife between abolitionists and slaveholders; *Brown:* Linda Brown was denied access to a white school that she lived closest to; the Supreme Court ruled that the all-white school must allow Linda admittance and also called for the beginning of desegregation measures; this overturning angered many whites who believed in lasting segregation); includes faulty analysis (*Brown:* refueled the violence seen in the race riots of the major cities)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (*Dred Scott:* a slave; not a citizen; *Brown:* almost a century after the *Dred Scott* decision; desegregation that ensued in schools, restaurants, and hospitals; *Plessy* v. *Ferguson;* "separate but equal")
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that reiterate the importance of the cases for African Americans

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The response addresses the tasks for *Dred Scott* v. *Sanford* accurately but without elaboration. The discussion of the impact of *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* includes an inaccurate focus on urban race riots.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 3 – C

Throughout history the Supreme Court has placed a vital role in the opernment. They have expanded buss and disagreed with those they thought against the constitution. The highest lourt has been the reduces of the most malor issues to this date and Always get the tipal Say on the issue. The decisions OF these esteemed judges are Critical to American Socerty, it Charges the Agits we have and paints the time line _____ the early twentieth Century after the case of Plessey U. Ferginson, Society was or "Seperate but equal" in regards to bre public places. Segregation was these an unspoten rufe, Blacks lould not enter one a bullite business, while a white man would never think to enter a place of Black men Even, thing trom schols to libraries to bathrooms were egregated. Until 1954 Lohen a little give trom lopita decided the didn't want to go to School. This airl lived literally blocks away trom a white school but toried to go mites across than to attend

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 3 – C

a School referred for colored fids to a little air that was whair and made no finge of the colore to the Supreme Cell. S (appa) She of lase that became Known as Brown U. the Board of Education of Topela. In the end the court ruled Segregation wrong and that "separate but equal" was the longer valid. It was no longer a there to have public places being dominated by a one Color System. It ruled that Seperate was not equal and Soid Public places to begin intergrating, but without a fet date Little Brawn was allowed attend the school night up the rood, allomponied by opvernment quards. This had a on the society of the Impact time taking actions and polyber torcing away the norma evenione to mingle with eachother. It Charged everything Society was built on and Creaked Unity one loses in American history were extending individual and treatme <u>Vights</u> about for lighten had come Chert justice it became a more towards

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 3 – C

Individual rights when they build, the seprene Court was expanding the rights given to prople being that of Miranda V. Arizona in 1966. Miranda was a make being accused of rape and Fishapping. White being questioned he was Laid Some incriminating things which were later used in Court against him. After being prosecuted and convicted as quilty Miranda tought that he was not aware of his rights to Sermain Sitent After appealing to the court that he was not intermed of any such rights the court ruled in Favor of a retrial of Mircorda without the use of his Statements. they ruled it uncoeffictional to pot inform him by his nation and that all to times before arresting someone they must be intermed of their "Miranda nght?" The ferm was loined and after this case and tollowingue tegal procedures including 6000000 arreting people, they are to be read that "the a right to remain Sikent. Applything you say or do can be lifed against you in the court" This transformed the procedure of the indictment,

norr 64 plor L (m CI

Anchor Level 3-C

The response:

- Develops most aspects of the task in some depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Miranda* v. *Arizona*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision in each case, and discussing the impact of *Miranda* v. *Arizona* on American society
- Is more descriptive than analytical (*Brown:* in the early 20th century, after the case of *Plessy* v. *Ferguson*, society was one of "separate but equal" in regards to public places; the court ruled segregation wrong and that "separate but equal" was no longer valid; *Miranda:* while being questioned, Miranda said some incriminating things which were later used in court against him; after Earl Warren had come to power as Chief Justice, there was a move toward individual rights; before arresting someone, they must be informed of their Miranda rights); includes faulty analysis (*Brown:* segregation was an unspoken rule; it changed everything society was built on and created unity)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* schools to libraries to bathrooms were segregated; *Miranda:* accused of rape and kidnapping; right to remain silent; retrial); includes some inaccuracies (*Brown:* said public places had to begin integrating; Brown was accompanied by government guards; *Miranda:* this transformed the procedure of indictment)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion emphasizing the effect of the supreme court on individual rights

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. A clear understanding of *Miranda* v. *Arizona* is evident. However, the treatment of *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* is characterized by broad overstatements, misinformation, and a lack of detail.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 2 – A

Throughout united states history, the suprenu Court and their decisions have had a significant impact and played a major role on what the United States is taday. Two of the many court cases which prove this Statement are Brown us The Board of (1954) Education and Roe vs Wade, (1973) Both Court cases made an impact on whited states nistory Brown is Board of Education of Topera In 1954 was about whether seperate by equal was constitutional or not thirty years prior to this in the Plessy us Ferguson ase It was dedated constitutional. In the case some perican American kids had to and walk former to sensol, through rough peighborhoods to get to a "black" school when the "white" school was plaser and Sofer. when the case was prought up to the supreme Court the debated it then passed the decision saying "separate but equal was unconstitution. The decision Impacted society because segnegation soon ended and discrimination decreased
slightly. The second case also impacted Society

The second case is poeus wade in 1973 This case had to deal with whether maning an allophian was constitutional or not A girl wanted to have an abortion but it was ineque in her state. She fought for the right when it was brought to the supreme Court the decision was made 5 to 4. the first tri-mester it was pro-choice, Second tritmuster was dependent on circumstances and third trimester was pro-life. The decision still stands today at a 5 to 4 majority. Across the name people still debote the issue. It is very controversial and that is one impoch of the decision. Another impact is that there are many ranies and campains Eighting on way or another. Cart case deasions made by the Sypreme Cart Mave influenced American history a lot. Whether the impacts are longterm or short term they still have an IMPACI-

The response:

- Minimally develops all aspects of the task by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Roe* v. *Wade*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision, and mentioning an impact on American society
- Is primarily descriptive (*Brown:* in the *Plessy* v. *Ferguson* case "separate but equal" was declared constitutional; the Supreme Court debated the case then made the decision saying "separate but equal" was unconstitutional; discrimination decreased slightly; *Roe:* a girl wanted to have an abortion but it was illegal in her state; the decision was made 5 to 4; across the nation people still debate the issue); includes faulty analysis (*Brown:* the decision impacted society because segregation soon ended; *Roe:* the first trimester was pro-choice, second trimester was dependent on circumstances; and third trimester was pro-life)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* African American kids walked farther to school; *Roe:* led to rallies and campaigns fighting one way or another)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that is a restatement of the theme and a simplistic conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. Over-generalized statements throughout the response suggest limited understanding of the cases.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 2 – B

9 The United States Supreme Caut has phylad a major role in the Linited states \mathcal{C} Shstory. The cart's decisions have had a significant impart on moiny aspects of $\langle \rangle$ SP American Society The Brown r. Board of education of Topekg CI 9 Case had an impact of Anelian Society. This case hilighted that seperate but equal ? is not equal. A young girl hold to wank by \bigtriangledown a school full of caucasions togo to a black I school, that was not equal. The caust came to the V decision that these truthites should be 9 able to attend the same school R Another case that impacted the "American society was Dred Scott r. stanford. V For this case a Blave brought their 8 skill owner to court, because the \heartsuit She currer braight his slave with 9 him to chother state & the slave belived \mathcal{C} that tocause he is in anothe state he is 9 of free slave. But the court ruled trout Once aslave awayse aslave, sickes ⁵⁷ where considered property to held no rights.

travant to the been hard tayed monor α Me $\Theta(1)$ EUPTEME Court how HMCriCon Joci B 0F mond attend schools with caucasion)OU

Anchor Level 2-B

The response:

- Minimally develops most aspects of the task by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Dred Scott* v. *Sanford*, stating the Supreme Court's decision in each case, and mentioning an impact of *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* on American society
- Is primarily descriptive (*Brown:* a young girl had to walk by a school full of Caucasians to go to a black school that was not equal; the court came to the decision that blacks and whites should be able to attend the same school; now, because of *Brown*, I can attend schools with Caucasians; *Dred Scott:* the slave owner brought his slave with him to another state and the slave believed that because he was in another state he was free; slaves were considered property and had no rights)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* separate but equal is not equal)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; restates the theme in the introduction and concludes with a statement about the personal impact of *Brown*

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. The response presents a cursory treatment of the tasks and fails to mention the impact of the *Dred Scott* decision.

The upged okted cast cases and red of Britton physica man tok The unfled Draw motory. The carts designs have had a stant craces chart Impact as meny capeto of angelan socrety. when achrowledding these and aded there are a few there a few carolder. The first thang to pander of The infed states V.D Aran 90 1974. The other cope to example 9 scherk v. 2 the united states. when was the first president to be grapeconed the was bought to 19/1 for addition addition of hading Straten. another bear ne was braght to the because he had PILEODI TENDER OD, HOLE NO RECORDEC why not meeting. Prally upon was apart of a good alled creep; that typed to keep afron on OFFAR. They opted on other people, of tall they ast agent. One day a safets be a people of tope on the door on thought H'S SIFON SHIP 1154 P, 40 70 provided

agos and colled the police. when start 20112 rol 1294 at the add crow aburations. For ally when responded as the president bebe anything thing and rapper to home or man gaptime. The court would of Fard han gualty of medad and readon. That 30 what nappenend on the case when us the whited states. another case that ampacted the contract solder was shered and a state ballar at ev acce shares bet at parets to people about the war. These packets were considered popularda. Shench thought nothing of 97. he belived Ne was protected by the 1st anonent. Prally menon was brack to trained anance. During war three Freedom of operan 90 pmgted. That an the staffiche of the KORI prent is the softed states. And the made states and as had a mass and a



Anchor Level 2-C

The response:

- Develops some aspects of the task in some depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *United States* v. *Nixon* and *Schenck* v. *United States* and explaining the Supreme Court's decision in *Schenck* v. *United States*
- Is primarily descriptive (*Nixon:* they spied on other people until they got caught; *Schenck:* Schenck sent out packets to people about the war; during wartime, freedom of speech is limited)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Nixon:* CREEP; Nixon resigned; *Schenck:* propaganda; first amendment); includes inaccuracies (*Nixon:* Nixon was the first President to be impeached; he was brought to trial for upholding information; illegal recordings)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that restate the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. The response demonstrates some general knowledge about two significant cases; however, it fails to address all aspects of the task and is poorly worded.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 1 – A

Supreme Court cases have had a posting affect on us. Especially those p long Dier years. These count cases helpe the ligh what the United States + olege ettate Brown vs. The Board of Education involved an african american child to walk CREARING REDGO on integrat 1 outres 10 Jenool, mile the white school wers ad it not been for oser. H school 1. sould ot he many mixeel like it is today. Date allowed at white schools which is not the young girl was forced to why phere walt the the integrated after decided to go to court appen there go to the school onich help his child is closer though it was white Re @ supreme Court case v. Mixon United Stertes d due to the oc watergate Sandal, When Mixon hied covering up his post and got caught. you broughto to the supremi court ne decided and it _____OCS 40

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 1 – A

Mari dent count Callx 1120 ha 4004 nome all a DH e NI 11 0. \mathbf{O} Jeun ndo

Anchor Level 1-A

The response:

- Minimally develops some aspects of the task by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *United States* v. *Nixon*
- Is descriptive (*Brown:* her father decided to go to court to help his child go to the school which was closer though it was white; *Nixon:* Nixon tried covering up his past and got caught); lacks understanding (*Brown:* involved an African American child to walk farther to an integrated school; helped to mix all cultures into almost every school, which is how most schools are today)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Nixon:* Watergate scandal); includes an inaccuracy (*Nixon:* he was brought to the Supreme Court and it was decided to impeach President Nixon)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that restates the theme and a conclusion that makes inaccurate generalizations about the cases

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. The response demonstrates only a minimal understanding of the cases.

Anchor Paper – Thematic Essay – Level 1 – B

1 hrough but the many years that nited States has hein a Country Cases been many court have Thore big out comes. Whiteester v With (reorgia, Horematsu United States. Krown education, and one that think had Ĵ huge impact on everyone, A IVON US Inited Stater Nixion a president tor preatness. too nany looked ON and would lead Mad was involved Should he OR 11 fle Scandq 91 (A higgest /S history Waterate Scandal. 1 ixon 5 denier for days he with as more nothing to 00 evidence carre to be made Knoce 100h qui Nixon recorded every ways Conversati Gue! he had, Aerson or telen hone. and Her too hore reak ed, Once he was al evidence WOS then opullity. Here hing bout water to +a|him a making Jixon then caught. resigned 20S

president first and

Anchor Level 1-B

The response:

- Minimally develops some aspects of the task by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *United States* v. *Nixon* and stating an impact of the case on American society
- Is descriptive (*Nixon:* Nixon always recorded every conversation he had; Nixon then resigned, making him the first and only President to ever resign)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Nixon:* scandal; Watergate); includes an inaccuracy (*Nixon:* Nixon denied for five days that he had nothing to do with it)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes a brief introduction that lists some suggested cases and lacks a conclusion

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. Details of the Watergate affair are cited with no connection to the role played by the Supreme Court. Only slight understanding of the case is implied.

Thematic Essay—Practice Paper – A

The Supreme Court has played active role in shaping American Society. Throughout American history, the supreme Gunt decisions have established important principles and created trends. Two landmark cases that have had a profound impact on the nation are the Dired Scott Case and Brown V. Board of Education. The Dred Scatt case was one of the most significant trials in American history The case took place during a time period when there was much turmoil about slavery and its role in society. Many northerners feared the expansion of slavery Westward, as they did not want the "slave power" to grow. Southerner, however, were quite antent with their free labor and naturally wonted to boing skives with them when they expanded. There were asenies of compromises drawn up dictating where slavery was and was not allowed. Dred Scott was a slave whose owner took him to a free territory. Since the

area was technically declared free, Dred Scott recided to take his owner court with hopes that he would be considered legally free. Unfortunately for him, the court ruled that atrican americans were not citizens, and therefore did not have the night to a trial. He also stated that the tederal quemment did not have the authority to banslavery anywhere. This badid the Missouri Compromise the Compromise of 1850. Jone pople tried to work around this Vectoration, such as Stephen Douglas with his freeport doctrine. He stated that a state can been slaven, by not creating any provisions to ensure its Trimately, this case increased Scrvival. U turmoil surrounding slaves, and contributed civil war to the Another landmark case was Brown v. the Board of Education. this case arose during the Right's movement of the 1950's. Americans were maan

exercise rights that had been granted to then Juing the reconstruct Period. Although written a paper there were money things preventing Atrican American's from actually exercising them rights. For example, the KU KIUX Klan Fried to intradate blacks from voting. The Plessy v. Pergusen case said that as long as facilities were equal, segregation was legal. However, in reality facilités were not equal. "lehite" facilities were pretty much always in better Condition. In the Brown V. Board of Education Case, Linda Brocen and her tather went to court and equested that anda be allowed attend a White school Olcause it was right near her house and nearest black School was miles away. The court rule that Schools hade to desegregate and indid the Plessy V. Erguson hou ase. the MMA 7919

Thematic Essay—Practice Paper – A

not indicate a time frame and therefore many schools did NOF the heling. This hold to the teensas. incident lock, CITT P 10 President Kennedy sent Troops to guard children black mp went uno school. Many ΛÒ < $) \cap$ () blacks SC100/S. 11 2CN tIn 1P Private attendance S GPCION noni increase because Many hites saw C. this as a haven acks. cases had ()th a protound Impart-American history cha nchonged its course. P and (Onhouses nad large role in so, iPta establishes Marles MAT predents





SNUO ICMA MIT TV VGZES NE Charge nover hospeet Im

Thematic Essay—Practice Paper – C

The United States Supreme Court has played a major role in United States history. The Court's decision a significant impact on many espects of American society. Two such cases were Miranda V. Arizona and Shenck v. United States of America. Each impached society differently.

Miranda v. Arizons was a landmirk court cise that had to do with the rights of the eccused, mininda had been grasted byt did not know his rights and was not them. As a result he sued the stake informed of Brizona. The Supreme Court ruled in favor of mininda and suriety a person being arnsted must todays how in be read their rights and agree to knowing them. The purt case is an opposite reflection of Minne, v. Antona.

Thematic Essay—Practice Paper – C

The other rose that was importations Shinck u. United States of America. In the World 9 man in a movie thertre around Shenck fin and proved and Chaotic sum as a result. He was Arnsted countered stating he was exercising his freedom of bnt Speech The court Stated back that in war fine persona right car be limited and that you can't say necessarily averathing you want to in Ans/rc.

Shurck v. U.S.A and Mirunda v. Anion were the major supreme court roves that have left a 13110 IMa American society. One grants rights while the others 22 Irmited them. Give had to deal with the idea of unis personal rightra It is because of our great snsten like these that America the great makin decisions Action it his promotion to the to be

Anerean History has seen the United States Supreme Court impact society quarting. The Courts decisions have played a major role in our history. These cases were reajor problems is our Government and had made their way to the highest court where they made the final decision In 1944 the Supere Court was mought the case of Korenatsu V. The United States, When pear harbor was attacked in WWIT by the Saparese, The United States tued to Protect itself from Possible Japanese spip in the country. The United States then proceeded to act on this by finding Japanese americans and putting them in Interment Camps to Keep an eye on them and make sure they are not spip. Many Japanese aneucano lost their jobs, their horres, and are other personal belonginge after being Put into these camps. after mi Korenatsu was caught not obeying the exclusion order, he trud to legally take action against the United States, His Case made it all the way up to the Supreme Court aken they Ruled against his favor, with the notion that the United States, during a period of Crisis of was, May infringe on all citizens and non-citizens rights to protect other people. This ruling spours that the court will usually support the government during wantine, They may put you is a Camp if they thick you are a threat. many years later the Survivors of these Internet Camps received

money from our government as an apollogy for what had happened, but they still reserve that right. Ter the years later in 1954, The Supreme Court was prought a different kind of case This case dealt with the Segregation of public schools by race. The Board of Education Topeka was Brought up on a major problem in the United States that ceased to be fixed since the Civil War, Equal rights of all citizens regardless of race. The Brown family took sides against the law that Blacks and white could not go to school together. This was one of many laws made to split the society apart by color. Their mission was intergration of Schools, intergration of Decisty. The Supreme Court heard this case and ruled in their favor, Blacks and white should be able to go and learn in whatever public school they want to. This has made a great inpact in our pociety. The intergration of public schools was at first rejected and turned violent, but over time the public learned to live with each other. and our Society today is mixed much further than before. Because of this ruling our Solerance for other cultures tos raised Synficantly. These two Supreme Court cases have shaped todays Decety and laws, we learned from our mistakes) become a better nation, a claser nation because of these Supreme Court decisions

played a major roll within the United States
of america. The decision that the spreme
court has made has changed American society
is some kind of way. The major sphencicant
cases that name made a difference. Consist, of
Brown V. Board of anxation of Topeka (1954)
and Miranda V. Arizona (1966).
Brain v. Brand of Education of
Typeka was a court case which involved
racial segregation rights. There was a African
American family who had lived are mile
from a "unite" school and lived several miles
fran an African american school; thurefore
the mother had flaight with the school as
well as the don't to allow her children to
attend an all-unite school. The court clid nele
in pawr of the Brown family and stated that
nacial segregation is illegal! This changed the
American society anamatically. Many white
American, were extremally anguy, Delieving
that there should be a separation of schools
alle 59 race. However, the African Americans
had felt that progress was occurring in helping

them gain many more preedom nights. Bultiple
mixed emotions had accurred as a result of
the court nulling infavor of Brown during the
Brown v. Brand of Education court case.
Minanda V. Arizona is another importeent
court case that is used known throughout the
V.S. Still till this day. Whanda was arrested
in 191010 and when she had been arested she
was never read her rights. and boot Since
She was never read her rights to not
Khowing she had the right to remain silent
anything she did or said would be used
against ner. This angenet winners; therefore
she was not argument to the cart & The
aut did nule in favor of Minanda, stating
that a person has to be read their night,
if currented. This changed American society
by Mirandia's determination and as shown on
just about every Television show and movie,
when a person is currented they are need their
rights. As a result of this court case
many people were saved knowing they
name the right to remain silent.
These with causes have changed
These was contain further calounger

Societto, in ma minano ma nnonre ma sentus declaiding that anahanar 2001 American

Practice Paper A—Score Level 3

The response:

• Develops all aspects of the task but does so somewhat unevenly by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Dred Scott* v. *Sanford* and *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* more thoroughly than the remaining aspects of the task

- Is more descriptive than analytical (*Dred Scott:* many northerners feared the expansion of slavery as they did not want the "slave power" to grow; the court ruled that African Americans were not citizens; Stephen Douglas stated that a state can ban slavery by not creating any provisions to ensure its survival; *Brown:* although written on paper, many things prevented African Americans from actually exercising their rights; the court ruled that schools had to desegregate and undid the *Plessy* v. *Ferguson* case; private school attendance began to increase because many whites saw this as a haven from blacks)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (*Dred Scott:* series of compromises; Missouri Compromise; Freeport Doctrine; Civil War; *Brown:* civil rights movement of the 1950s; Reconstruction; Ku Klux Klan; Linda Brown; Little Rock, Arkansas); includes some inaccuracies (*Dred Scott:* undid the Compromise of 1850; *Brown:* President Kennedy sent troops to Little Rock)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction that labels the cases as landmarks and a conclusion noting the importance of each case in establishing precedents

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The descriptions of the historical circumstances surrounding each case indicate understanding of each era. However, the important ideas mentioned in the rest of the response are not adequately explored.

The response:

Fails to develop the task; includes no relevant facts about United States Supreme Court cases

Conclusion: The response fits the criteria for level 0. Although the response is lengthy and contains much information about two famous trials, it describes the State of Tennessee Scopes trial under *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and describes the Nuremberg war crimes tribunal as another United States Supreme Court case.

Practice Paper C—Score Level 1

The response:

- Minimally develops some aspects of the task by mentioning the historical circumstances surrounding *Miranda* v. *Arizona*, stating the Supreme Court's decision in *Miranda* v. *Arizona* and *Schenck* v. *United States*, and mentioning a impact of *Miranda* v. *Arizona*
- Is descriptive (*Miranda:* Miranda had been arrested but did not know his rights and was not informed of them; the Supreme Court ruled in favor of Miranda and now in today's society a person being arrested must be read their rights and agree to knowing them; *Schenck:* the court stated that in wartime personal rights can be limited and that you cannot say necessarily everything you want to in public)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Miranda:* rights of the accused; *Schenck:* World War I era); includes an inaccuracy (*Schenck:* a man in a movie theater named Schenck yelled "fire" and caused a chaotic scene as a result)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction that restates the theme and a conclusion that observes one case granted rights and the other reduced them

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 1. Very limited information about *Miranda* v. *Arizona* is presented. Furthermore, the response confuses Justice Holmes' analogy of yelling fire in a crowded theater with the actual events surrounding *Schenck* v. *United States*.

The response:

- Develops all aspects of the task with little depth by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Korematsu* v. *United States* and *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka*, explaining the Supreme Court's decision, and discussing an impact on American society
- Is more descriptive than analytical (*Korematsu:* many Japanese Americans lost their jobs, their homes, and all other personal belongings after being put into these camps; the United States, during a period of crisis or war, may infringe on all citizen's and non-citizen's rights to protect other people; many years later, the survivors of these internment camps received money from our government as an apology for what had happened, but they still reserved that right; *Brown:* brought up a major problem in the United States that ceased to be fixed since the Civil War; the Supreme Court heard this case and ruled in their favor; the integration of public schools was at first rejected and turned violent); includes weak analysis (*Brown:* blacks and whites should be able to go and learn in whatever public school they want to)
- Includes some relevant facts, examples, and details (*Korematsu:* Pearl Harbor; World War II; possible Japanese spies; internment camps; exclusion order; *Brown:* segregation)
- Demonstrates a satisfactory plan of organization; includes an introduction that is a restatement of the theme and a conclusion that is partially inaccurate

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 3. The response presents sound information about each case but neglects to clearly develop its impact on American society.

Practice Paper E—Score Level 2

The response:

- Minimally develops all aspects of the task by describing the historical circumstances surrounding *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka* and *Miranda* v. *Arizona*, stating the Supreme Court's decision, and mentioning an impact on American society
- Is primarily descriptive (*Brown:* the mother had fought with the school as well as the court to allow her children to attend an all-white school; the court did rule in favor of the Brown family and stated that racial segregation is illegal; many white Americans were extremely angry, believing that there should be a separation of schools due to race; *Miranda:* never read her rights not knowing she had the right to remain silent; the court did rule in favor of Miranda, stating that a person has to be read their rights if arrested)
- Includes few relevant facts, examples, and details (*Brown:* several miles from an African American school); includes an inaccuracy (*Miranda:* refers to Miranda as "she" throughout the response)
- Demonstrates a general plan of organization; includes an introduction and a conclusion that generally restate the theme

Conclusion: Overall, the response fits the criteria for Level 2. The response refers to the cases in a general way and fails to show that they are landmark decisions that had a significant impact on American society.

United States History and Government Specifications August 2009

Part I Multiple-Choice Questions by Standard

Standard	Question Numbers
1—United States and New York History	2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20, 21, 22, 25, 27,
	30, 32, 37, 38, 43, 44, 48
2—World History	34, 35, 40, 41
3—Geography	1, 18, 31, 47
4—Economics	8, 15, 16, 19, 23, 26, 28, 29, 33, 42, 49, 50
5—Civics, Citizenship, and Government	4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 24, 36, 39, 45, 46

Parts II and III by Theme and Standard

	Theme	STANDARDS
Thematic Essay	Supreme Court Decisions:	Standards 1 and 5: United States
	Citizenship;	and New York History;
	Constitutional Principles;	Civics, Citizenship, and
	Civic Values	Government
Document-based Essay	Presidential Decisions and	Standards 1, 3, 4, and 5: United
	Actions; Constitutional	States and New York History;
	Principles; Government;	Geography; Economics;
	Change	Civics, Citizenship, and
		Government

Notes:

Part I and Part II scoring information is found in Volume 1 of the Rating Guide.

Part III scoring information is found in Volume 2 of the Rating Guide.

The Chart for Determining the Final Examination Score for the August 2009 Regents Examination in United States History and Government will be posted on the Department's web site <u>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/osa/</u> on the day of the examination. Conversion charts provided for the previous administrations of the United States History and Government examination must NOT be used to determine students' final scores for this administration.

Submitting Teacher Evaluations of the Test to the Department

Suggestions and feedback from teachers provide an important contribution to the test development process. The Department provides an online evaluation form for State assessments. It contains spaces for teachers to respond to several specific questions and to make suggestions. Instructions for completing the evaluation form are as follows:

- 1. Go to http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/osa/exameval.
- 2. Select the test title.
- 3. Complete the required demographic fields.
- 4. Complete each evaluation question and provide comments in the space provided.
- 5. Click the SUBMIT button at the bottom of the page to submit the completed form.